

CYBERSECURITY PLANNING COMMITTEE
FRIDAY, August 4, 2023, 3:00 PM

1. WELCOME AND CALL TO ORDER

Ms. Gutierrez called the meeting to order at 3:02 p.m. and welcomed everyone to the meeting.

2. ROLL CALL

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mr. Peter Mantos, Chair
Mr. Raja Sambandam
Mr. Carlos Lobato
Dr. Bradley K. Purdy
Brigadier General Miguel Aguilar
Mr. Robert Benavidez

Mr. Nathan C. Brown
Ms. Cassandra Hayne
Dr. Lorie Liebrock
Mr. Michael W. Good
Mr. Bill A. York
Dr. Srinivas Mukkamala

MEMBERS ABSENT

Ms. Tracy Lopez
Mr. William (Tim) Presley
Senator Michael Padilla

OTHERS PRESENT

Melissa Gutierrez, DoIT
Andrew Buschbom
Flori Martinez
Todd Glanzer
Joshua Yadao

Sarah Gambill, CISA
Rick Comeau
Dan Garcia
William Campos
Jeff Roth

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MOTION A motion was made by Mr. Lobato and seconded by Dr. Liebrock to approve the agenda as presented.
There being no opposition, the motion passed.

4. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

MOTION A motion was made by Mr. Sambandam and seconded by Mr. Lobato to approve the minutes of the July 21, 2023 meeting as presented.
There being no opposition the motion passed.

5. Subcommittee Reports

a. Plan Subcommittee – Carlos Lobato

Has been working with Deloitte on the draft of the Cybersecurity Statewide Plan. Most recent focus has been conversations with regard to obtaining local consent from State agencies. Will meet again this coming Monday to review current draft of the plan, as well as continuing discussions with

Deloitte regarding things such as metrics or priorities, based on areas identified by the Capabilities Assessment.

Mantos – Thanked Mr. Lobato. Asked him to explain what consent is in this context and why it is important.

Lobato – Consent with other state agencies involves identifying priorities statewide where cybersecurity may need improvement, based on the Capabilities Assessment, and instead of providing funding to all of these separate agencies actually identifying cybersecurity services where needed and then taking advantage of economy subscale. This would provide the best benefit statewide in reducing cybersecurity risk. Asked if Mr. Mantos or Mr. Sambandam had anything further to add.

Mantos – Mr. Lobato covered this very well. This is just in alignment with the strategy that there isn't a whole lot of funding to go around to cover 100-200 different entities to accomplish much, so instead this is a unified approach to offer some extended guided services. Some interesting comments came up in the Plan committee about sustainability. Are these services something the counties, the tribes, the schools need and is it sustainable after the current funding runs out? This is an important consideration. As this committee is wrapping up its work wanted to make sure that this is captured.

Asked Mr. Benavidez for his report.

b. Engagement Subcommittee – Robert L. Benavidez

Benavidez – Very consistent with Mr. Lobato's report. Work has been focused on the draft plan from Deloitte. Starting to look at how to obtain the local consent. Will be leading that effort, reaching out to the agencies, helping them understand the planning proposition and the strategy involved, ultimately trying to secure the local consent.

Mantos – Thanked him for this report.

6. Discuss Cybersecurity Plan - Deloitte

Mantos – Output of this Committee is of course the Plan, which is coming together. Asked Mr. Glanzer and/or William to report on this, and if possible could they share the timeline graphic.

Glanzer – Screen shared the Timeline. Shared a couple of highlights; conducting some things in parallel as mentioned at last meeting, where the final coordination and review of the plan has been initiated as needed for the submission, but the plan will continue to evolve moving forward. This has been posted for the Committee members and sent out by Ms. Gutierrez, with a request for review. His team is setting up some individual sessions with Committee members who are not on the Planning Subcommittee, just to make sure there has been an effective review, highlighting any items that may need adjustment or to answer

any questions moving forward trying to get the final concurrence on the Plan version by the next Committee meeting, in conjunction with other expected activities. Final updates to the Plan are continuing and it has been reviewed. The individual contacts are upcoming with members. If there is any additional feedback from Committee members please send to him, William or Melissa. Timeline remains on track, based on the guidance to date. Expect to remain on track to have it ready by the end of the month and then work on submission with DHSEM and the grant submission process, based on the current NOFO guidance.

Asked Mr. Comeau from Deloitte to update on a couple of things he has to share in his outreach across different engagements Deloitte has with the grant program as well as feedback from FEMA and other parties.

Comeau – Thanked the Committee for the opportunity to assist in finalizing the Plan. From his perspective things are on track. Has the opportunity to facilitate a panel session at a meeting on August 8th. Should be very informative and will address the state and local cybersecurity grant program. Gave details; three state CISOs will be attending, two of which have had their plans approved, New Hampshire and the State of Washington. Will capture lessons learned and information shared to bring back to this Planning Committee. FY2023 SOCTP NOFO will be released sometime in August and will have to be factored into the planning equation in addition to updating the initial FY2022, or year one, investment NOFO application, investments and projects associated with year one. The planning process will soon begin for the investment projects for year two, as that grant application process is expected to open sometime this month. FEMA and CISA have definitely streamlined many of the processes and forms, including the investment justification form as well as the project worksheet/spreadsheet for year two, FY2023, second phase or second portion of the four-year state and local cybersecurity grant program. As he learns more and gets more information next week will share that back.

Commented that Karen Wessel, program lead for the NICE framework, will also be at the meeting next week and will be doing a presentation with Andy Hanks about some of the work she has done with him, as the CISO for the State of Montana. Will be getting a lot of information on the NICE framework. She is very interested in helping the states understand the NICE framework. If there is interest in the future could have her attend a meeting or do a presentation to help accomplish the goal and objective of implementing the NICE framework in New Mexico and with local governments in New Mexico. Let him know if there is interest in this.

Mantos – Asked Mr. Comeau to put link in the chat for the meeting he mentioned for those interested and able to attend.

Comeau – Yes, will do so, but believes registration is closed, but will put a link to the website for the conference that will be in Salt Lake City. People who are interested can contact him directly about registration.

Mantos – Questions regarding the Cybersecurity Plan. Believes most have seen the draft. Asked Melissa if she could put a link in the chat for this.

Lobato – Strongly feels Committee should consider the offer Rick gave of having Ms. Wessel come to do training and awareness of the NICE framework for staff development.

Mantos – Not on the agenda but would like to discuss later in this meeting open-ended items to be mindful of during the transition to the Advisory Council, and this would certainly be one of these.

Noted that Dr. Mukkamala has joined the meeting as well as Brig. Gen. Aguilar. Appreciate their attendance.

7. Timeline for Finalizing Plan and Submission

Mantos – Anything further to add to the review done earlier in the meeting by Mr. Glanzer? Any questions about this? Listed as a separate agenda topic but may have already satisfied this point.

Glanzer – Noted that Mr. Comeau will be gathering information at the upcoming conference.

Expect NOFO release with additional guidance for next year and clarifications on the program as is. Believes things are in a good place with development and finalization. Based on current timeline should be able to make any tweaks needed to avoid having to rework after submission based on additional guidance. Should be ready to submit by end of August.

Mantos – Usually have an agenda item of seed list for next meetings, but running low on remaining meetings. Would like to review minutes regarding the work already done to identify three things: 1) Work the committee has left to complete. 2) Anything that may have “fallen through the cracks” or may have been overlooked. 3). List of things the new Advisory Council would specifically need to pick up to ensure continuity. As this was not an agenda item today would not pursue this now, but please email anything of this nature to Melissa to have this information for next meeting agenda development.

Any further items to consider for next meeting agenda?

8. Public Comment

Liebrock – Asked Mr. Lovato and Mr. Campos if next meeting was the planned date for preliminary vote on the Draft Plan by the entire Committee.

Lobato – Yes, on August 18th. Thanked Dr. Liebrock for the reminder. This would be something to not let “fall through the cracks”.

Mantos – Thanked Dr. Liebrock and Mr. Lobato for this reminder. Very confident

the Plan will be very good. Realized Deloitte did much of the heavy lifting in this regard. Had good input, good discussions, good direction. Will have a product to be proud of which can be built upon moving forward.

Lobato – Deloitte has done a fantastic job along with the collaboration and feedback from various subcommittees, producing this draft of the statewide Cybersecurity Plan. He has read through this and encouraged all Committee members to take the time to go through this initial Draft Plan and send their feedback to Mr. Comeau and other members of the Deloitte team.

Mantos – Thanked Mr. Lobato for his comments.

Sambandam – Great effort, a lot of collaboration, lots of comments, appreciates everyone's input into the process. Has seen comments and suggestions received so far. A very good exercise, very much in alignment with what other states are doing. Attended recent meetings with CISOs and CIOs from other states, very much in the same direction as other states. Pleased to see this has come to a very cohesive conclusion at this point. Eager to get through the process, get the necessary approval and submit the document as per SB280 to the Governor and to the Legislature. Unable to adequately express thanks to all who participated and provided input.

Mantos – Had broad participation. Ms. Hayne has represented the judiciary and has been pursuing efforts behind the scenes, bringing many in the judiciary into this effort; this is much appreciated.

Recognized Brig. Gen. Aguilar and thanked him for his participation.

Aguilar – Apologized for lack of participation the last couple of months due to schedule conflicts.

Just reviewed draft of the Cooperative Cyber Plan between states that is being proposed to the Council of Governors. Might be good to share with Mr. Glanzer, if feasible, and others, in order to balance what the governors are about to propose with respect to cooperation between the states regarding cyber to make sure New Mexico is aligned. There are some parts he thinks are OK, but he's not a technical person, so it may be helpful for others to look at this.

Sambandam – The document Gen. Aguilar is referring to has been routed by the Governor's policy people. He and Ms. Gutierrez have looked at this and it is a much more high-level, very holistic, in principle document. They are good with it. Asked Ms. Gutierrez if she had anything additional to share.

Gutierrez – Nothing more to share. Thanked Mr. Sambandam for the opportunity to add.

Mantos – Asked Mr. Brown if he was familiar with the document just mentioned.

Brown – Asked what the name of the document was.

Sambandam – This may be available only on a need-to-know basis currently.

Mantos – Alright. Naturally would want the Dept. of Homeland Security involved with this and will make sure that they are.

Any further comments?

9. Adjournment:

MOTION: A motion to adjourn was made by Mr. Lobato, seconded by Dr. Liebrock to adjourn the meeting.

There being no objection the motion passed.

There being no further business before the Committee the meeting adjourned at 3:35 p.m.



Jason Johnson
Cabinet Secretary Designate