

**CONNECT NEW MEXICO COUNCIL MEETING**  
**All Virtual**  
**Thursday, Date: June 29, 2023, 1:30 – 3:30 PM**

**1. WELCOME AND CALL TO ORDER**

Video recording time: 00:00:04

The meeting of the Connect New Mexico Council was called to order by Renee Narvaiz, at 1:30 pm, on Thursday, June 29, 2023, in Santa Fe, New Mexico. Ms. Narvaiz introduced herself and reviewed general rules and procedures regarding the meeting.

**MEMBERS PRESENT-**

Kimball Sekaquaptewa, Chair	Leonard Manzanares
Luis Reyes, Co-Chair	Eli Guinnee
Secretary Peter Mantos	Tico Charlee
Ovidiu Viorica	Launa Waller
Jim Ruybal	Joseph Navarette
Steve Grey	Katherine Crociata

**MEMBERS ABSENT-**

Godfrey Enjady	Bogi Malecki
Alex Greenberg	

**OTHERS PRESENT**

Renee Narvaiz, IT, Public Information Manager  
Natalie Runyan, GIS  
Joanne Hovis, T. Fremin, Vanessa Willock, Cameron Graham, Sandeep Taxali, Dianne Lindstrom, Kitty Qualman, Jerry Smith, Melanie Goodman, Jeff

**2. APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA AND MINUTES**

Video recording time: 00:00:57

**MOTION** A motion was made by Mr. Luis Reyes and seconded by Mr. Steve Grey to approve the agenda as presented.

**VOTE** No opposition, motion passed.

**MOTION:** A motion was made by Mr. Ovidiu Viorica to approve the minutes of the May 15, 2023 meeting and seconded by Ms. Launa Waller.

**VOTE** No opposition, motion passed.

**3. CHAIR UPDATES/COMMENTS**

Video recording time: 00:02:00

Kimball Sekaquaptewa-

Welcomed the group to the meeting. Ms. Sekaquaptewa noted that the big celebration of the day is the awarding of \$675 million plus for New Mexico, in addition to the approximate \$100 million from NTIA Middle Mile for Plateau, commenting that this provides New Mexico the opportunity and potential to do even more; the question now being how to proceed in the best way and what partnerships and collaborations should be made. Upcoming events will be discussed in the OBAE update.

**4. Change to the Meeting Day and Time, Vote on Resolution**

Video recording time: 00:03:40

Chair Sekaquaptewa asked Ms. Narvaiz to screen-share the proposed resolution. Chair Sekaquaptewa stated that she had requested this change due to a time conflict with meetings

related to her regular employment. She expressed her appreciation for the Council's consideration to make this change permanent. She asked Ms. Narvaiz to read the resolution, which she did.

Narvaiz – Returning to hybrid format, working on scheduling meeting room at the Capitol Building. Will be sending out information regarding this when finalized. Primary change is meeting day changed to third Thursday of the month at 1:30 p.m.

Kimball – Possibility of quarterly in-person meetings in the future. Noted availability of per diem for those who are not state employees. Will revisit quarterly in-person meetings at a later date. Asked for motion to adopt the resolution.

**MOTION:** Motion was made by Mr. Luis Reyes to adopt the resolution as presented. Seconded by both Ms. Waller and Ms. Crociata.

**VOTE:** No opposition, motion passed.

Kimball – Thanked everyone for their cooperation in this matter.

**5. 3-Year Plan/5-Year Plan – Comments from members – Kimball Sekaquaptewa**

Video Recording time: 00:07:07

Kimball – Asked for feedback. Stated she has her own “red line” notes, but has not summarized formally for submission to OBAE yet. Feedback needs to be submitted soon, possibly next two weeks, for consideration in 5-year Plan. Does Council want to schedule a special meeting to discuss feedback to be submitted by the Council as a whole or do members prefer to submit feedback individually.

Reyes – How often will this plan be reviewed? Will there be other opportunities to modify or amend the plan as issues arise?

Kimball – 3-Year Plan is an annual plan for the State. 5-Year Plan is an initial proposal for BEAD which will be followed up with the Final Proposal. Asked someone from OBAE to help answer this question.

Sandeep – Kimball is correct; 3-Year Plan an annual plan provided to the State Legislature on January 1<sup>st</sup> of each year; current version is on Connect New Mexico website. Feedback for this plan can be considered currently or reserved for next iteration published 01/01/2024.

The 5-Year Plan is a draft being developed in collaboration with CTC. Hopeful draft will be available for review in July. Efforts being defined with respect to feedback from stakeholders. Recipient of this plan is NTIA. Public dissemination process a little different.

Katherine – Likes idea of special meeting. Realizes scheduling is difficult but feels like more is accomplished in a very focused meeting.

Kimball – CTC having conversations for input on 5-Year Plan. Perhaps Council should do one of these, may have to be in Special Meeting format. Opportunity to share feedback with authors of 5-Year Plan. Consider Agenda discussion in open meeting format with CTC.

Viorica – Supports this. There have been other engagement opportunities such as the recent summit which many Council members attended which included interviews with various stakeholders. Supports one of these interviews being this Council in a public meeting discussing priorities for the 5-Year Plan. 5-Year Plan distillation of all input from statewide

stakeholders regarding how broadband expansion should take place and what the priorities should be from New Mexico's perspective including tribes, rural communities, counties, municipalities, etc. The Council should definitely be an additional input point/voice for those working on the 5-Year Plan. CTC has been attending various working group meetings and had input in collecting survey information on the utility poles attachments Catchment working group meetings. Timing of the essence, draft due in mid-July; drop-dead deadline for submission to NTIA is end of August

Grey – Which of these two plans is more important for OBAE, now that the funding has come out?

Kimball – Assumption is that they are aligned, but there may be some strategy involved.

Sandeep – They are complimentary and there is overlap. 3-Year Plan addresses goals, objectives, has strategic priorities and initiatives to achieve those priorities, sort of a high-level action plan, tactical and strategic at the same time. 5-Year Plan covers many of the same components but also includes many of the prescribed requirements by NTIA, gave examples. 5-Year Plan and Final Proposal clearly delineated by NTIA. Many of the components are in the 3-Year Plan, they are complimentary but a different angle of what NTIA is looking for versus OBAE's 3-Year Plan with respect to tactical strategy. 5-Year Plan most important to BEAD because that is what will be published and given to NTIA. Initial Proposal due six months after June 26<sup>th</sup> announcement of funding award and must be posted for public comment; will be a critical document and will describe the Plan for the design of the grant program under BEAD. Both are important but for different purposes, some purposes complimentary and some duplicative.

Grey – Will the 5-Year Plan also be posted on the website as the 3-Year Plan is?

Sandeep – Asked Ms. Hovis to provide perspective on his answer regarding the 5-Year Plan versus the Initial Proposal.

Hovis – Ms. Hovis agreed with what Sandeep said, adding an additional element, noting the criticality of the 5-Year Plan as it is the first of several plans required by the federal government in a very specific format in order for the \$675 million to be released to New Mexico, and is the initial step of a multiphase process. She then gave further details regarding the 5-Year Plan, the two volumes of the Initial Proposal which will result in the initiation of the Grant Program which then leads into the Final Proposal which, when approved, will allow the funds to be released to the state. She did note that there does not appear to be any risk to this funding coming to the state, but this series of plans is necessary to comply with the federal requirements.

Grey – Counties and municipalities have been meeting and he wants to be clear on the information he is giving them regarding this process. Asked if there will be two plans, one of which is an action plan, before the grant program is executed.

Hovis – There will be two plans before the grant program is executed, the first will be the 5-Year Action Plan due to be presented to the federal government in August, and the second plan will be submitted to the federal government before the end of the year and will have to be published for public comment before it is submitted.

Grey – Thanked Ms. Hovis for the clarification.

Kimball – Will there ever be a list submitted for approval by NTIA regarding specific amounts awarded to designated entities or will there just be a framework for the grant application process and approval of the final projects will be done by the state and/or the Governor.

Hovis – Will actually be both. After NTIA approves the 5-Year Action Plan and the Initial Proposal then the state can execute the grant program, which will probably occur next year and may be in multiple phases considering the amount of funding involved. Gave additional details of development of grant program, Initial Proposal, Final Proposal and dissemination of funding. There is specific scoring criteria for the grants and she gave some details. Also shared she can do a more extensive briefing on this at some point in the future if desired. Much less state flexibility than perceived when legislation first came out.

Kimball – Thanked Ms. Hovis. Yes, this is becoming more evident. Addressed Mr. Grey's question with regard to counties and municipalities. Perhaps there should be a second round, final award for the pilot, as these ISPs would be best suited for success with the BEAD funding. Asked council members what their best ideas are with respect to use of the state funding flexibility while BEAD is more prescriptive. Asked members to keep these issues in mind as they give feedback for the 5- Year Plan and 3-Year Plan and how they can influence both of these. Try to keep in mind there are always gaps in the funding and how can these gaps be filled with other funding available. Asked if Mr. Reyes' initial question was answered and whether he had any follow-up to that.

Reyes – It was covered. Expressed thanks for the information provided.

Viorica – Thanked Ms. Runyan and her team for their work maximizing the \$575 million portion of this funding which was on top of \$100 million guaranteed, noting that this was a large collaborative effort.

During the PROP meeting on Tuesday he was asked if the \$675 million included the high-cost allocation or if this was going to be a separate amount yet to be determined and announced. Does anyone have additional input or intel regarding this?

Hovis – Her understanding is that this \$675 million does include the high-cost portion. NTIA has not released breakdown on how the high-cost analysis was done and what the high-cost locations are. Significance of high-cost locations could be considerable and gave details of this and what impacts it may have.

Grey – Will OBAE be the lead on the Plan and grant program?

Kimball – OBAE will be the lead. This Council is an interest group which will be interviewed for the plan. Council has more influence on the \$70 million than the BEAD dollars. Need to think about how to influence the second level. This is where the Council may have the most impact. Will be very challenging for municipalities and counties to apply, and they will need more technical support and assistance.

Question in chat from Dianne: Need to know NTIA's definition of CAIs, Community Anchor Institutions, and is the funding for these included in \$675 million.

Hovis – Good questions. NTIA allowing the states to develop the definition of CAIs, part of volume 1 of the Initial Proposal, being developed over the next couple of months. NTIA asking states to develop this definition in consultation with stakeholders and then it will be put out for public comment, which will be incorporated as appropriate and then submitted to NTIA.

Unknown how interventionist NTIA will be in this process. Funding for CAIs is included in the \$675 million, but bad news is there may be nothing left for CAIs, as these are third behind unserved locations and underserved locations in the statute. Hopefully bidders for unserved locations will also build to CAIs in the process, but state will not be able to allocate specific funding for CAIs.

Grey – Can we tell people that the CAIs should get engaged now with OBAE?

Kimball – What would they ask for? Think that falls more under the \$70 million, making sure that CAIs are a priority. We were not expecting this large amount, hoping for between \$600-700 million initially, had heard \$620 million and then Natalie managed to get the \$675 million, thanked her for this. Thought CAIs were already considered as “served” on the map, so were probably not a big factor in funding anyway.

Hovis – Depends on the definition of Community Anchor. Different kinds of anchors and different levels of service from state to state. Example: Schools much better served than libraries; government building better served than rural healthcare facilities, senior centers or assisted living facilities. Comes down to definition of Community Anchor. NTIA requiring state to define Community Anchors, mapping them and then giving companies and anchors opportunity to contest whether they are actually served or not, through the challenge process. Local governments, anchor institutions and ISPs will have opportunity to challenge the map to try to make it as good as possible for grant making purposes. Very important from a data standpoint to have best possible data and to make sure federal government has better data – federal policy based on this data and data needs to be as good as possible. Mostly a data exercise, prioritization in the statute is unserved, underserved and then anchors, which means great majority of states will never get to the anchors, most of funding will go to unserved locations. Even if a community anchor institution is in an unserved location it does not qualify under the definition of unserved for Tier 1 prioritization.

Kimball – Natalie’s statement in chat, did challenge FCC that CAIs were served as enterprise sites. Asked Natalie to elaborate. What should be done to correct these Anchor Institutions and is that a priority?

Runyan – Other categories of facilities that could qualify. Building data model to accommodate all possible entities that might be considered an Anchor Institution and get attributes assigned to them. Who has Enterprise service? Are these locations using grant money to pay for this subscription? Working on a statewide inventory.

Kimball – Dianne commented in chat – Utah is using Dollar General Stores in small communities as Community Anchor Institutions. Is this parking lot Wi-Fi?

BEAD focused on residential. Is telehealth dynamic getting addressed or not in the process? Any other comments or suggestions?

Viorica – CAIs are considered as receiving mass market services. They appear as a mix on the FCC map and are a work in progress as described by Ms. Runyan. A lot to be done there. Public schools generally have good connectivity, gigabit + capabilities for the networks that serve them because they are eligible for ERate. Schools were target of public school capital outlay council program which helped tremendously. Libraries were focus of a similar effort developed by the State Library and a large percentage are served by fiberoptic infrastructure and have good connectivity. Rural clinics and other similar CAIs, but particularly rural clinics, are eligible for the rural healthcare program, the sister program to ERate, and OBAE is truly

ramping up the effort to provide technical assistance and specifically focusing on rural clinics to make sure they are taking advantage of the rural healthcare federal funding available to them. Similar program to ERate, want to maximize that. New project managers at OBAE dedicating time to get this started, will take some time, but so will all these other programs. Telehealth will be picking up shortly, technical assistance being developed. Would like to chat with Mr. Grey about technical assistance needs for counties and municipalities. OBAE had some recent success partnering with communities, municipalities and counties developing some pilot projects, want to scale this to reach entities not reached previously. More resource development needs to be done but structure is being put in place. More to come later in the summer and early Fall.

Kimball – Great news. Great reminder is that just over a year ago OBAE only had one person on staff and now there are about 15. Project staffing has about 55 people, definitely making progress, still growing. Are there other outlets that could provide help through an intergovernmental agreement? Example: funds for technical assistance from OBAE or another state agency, which she has heard may exist. Perhaps working with philanthropic community who have grant making mechanisms in place or a network of experts who could aid in pre- and post-grant compliance.

Grey – Senator Ben Ray Lujan chair of Broadband Committee. Council should inform Senator of issues arising that are not “a fit for New Mexico”. Senator working closely with NTIA.

Kimball – Asked Ms. Goodman if she has thoughts or suggestions on how to express the uniqueness of New Mexico and the need for more flexibility.

Goodman – Great suggestion. As a member of the Senator’s staff here in the State she stated they would welcome the opportunity to visit directly with the Connect New Mexico Council and OBAE on this. He has said publicly, as Mr. Grey mentioned, wants to work toward making sure the program fits New Mexico’s needs. Will work on follow up on this. Could do something informal. If Council would like something in writing could start the conversation with the policy aspect as well, if that would be helpful.

Grey – That’s good. Should do something like that. If there are enough things that are not going to be really helpful, bring these to the Senator’s attention that these may need to be fixed or changed.

Kimball – Information gathering might be a critical first step, understanding where the high-cost areas are, who would be excluded for lack of the 25% match, etc. Our plan will be best if there is more information. When will NTIA release how the formula was worked out relative to GIS mapping, this seems to be a critical piece of information needed to do a good job at this time.

Goodman – Would be very helpful if Council would start making a list of concerns and perhaps get a conversation scheduled via ZOOM if needed. Hearing the pending question to NTIA on how the formula was used to determine the allocation.

Kimball – Point of tying this to GIS is the process of attempting to inventory the state to determine strategy and priorities of funding. Communities need to know where they stand. Because the state can create the grant making process that will inform the rule and the rules are currently being written. Understanding the metrics by which the funding formula was employed with respect to how high-cost areas were determined, as a community the approach to the solution might be different; having a better idea of what to ask for and how to ask for that. More granular data will help make better use of the funding from a grassroots

perspective. Will empower the smaller, new entrants, counties, municipalities, tribes, etc., to determine how much effort to put into this process. Not going to be an easy grant to write. Need to go into the process with eyes wide open.

Runyan – Have a web map they are attempting to publish for public consumption, can be sorted by jurisdictional boundaries, will summarize the number of unserved in the area, etc. Reviewed details further. Getting information as to which areas were classified as high-cost would be helpful for all jurisdictions to know what they are advocating for.

Kimball – Thanked Ms. Runyan for this information.

Viorica – Summary of conversation; although \$675 million is a large sum it will probably not be sufficient for what New Mexico has to do to solve the problem in its entirety. New Mexico needs to leverage additional sources of funding, continue to leverage E-rates, continue to leverage for schools and libraries, rural healthcare/health clinics, etc. Continue to pursue tribal connectivity program, about half of the tribes and pueblos received funding through this, some amounts will have to be supplemented. A second window expected to open shortly, \$1 billion available only for tribes and pueblos. The better the grant applications are the better chance these entities have for being approved. Projects need to be done regardless. Developing proposals is first step. Urged the Council and OBAE to roll the \$5 million award for technical assistance so money is available for the grant writing which will guide these projects and applications the different entities will have to submit to the applicable programs. Good to have a program designed and “shovel ready” and pursue all funding resources available and sooner or later funding will materialize and the work can be done.

Kimball – Have done a good job leveraging other programs. Kelly puts in a summary of the total funding brought to New Mexico through other programs which is impressive. A lot of people writing good proposals and doing good work. Hopefully can continue to build on this. Gave example of what is considered rural as opposed to urban. Made a note of this to give to Ms. Goodman for talk with policy staff about advocacy to make areas as eligible as possible for funding opportunities. Additional comments or questions?

## **6. CNM Council Grant Making Fund – Kelly Schlegel / Kimball Sekaquaptewa**

Video Recording time: 00:57:11

Vanessa Willock, new general counsel for OBAE introduced herself and confirmed that Ms. Schlegel is not available today. Looking forward to working with the Council.

Kimball – Welcomed Ms. Willock.

Will table this item for now. This pertains to the \$70 million flexible BEAD, what can be done with state funding. When interview with CTC occurs, bring those thoughts at that time, will give a little time for reflection.

## **7. Rulemaking update – Vanessa Willock, Tribal/State Collaboration Act amendment**

Video recording time: 00:58:24

\* House Bill 262, Senate Bill 452 (discussion on bringing people together)

Kimball – Gave background, has been approved in order to start the process of getting to a NOFO and expending those funds. Importance of tribal consultation has been discussed in the working group and adhering to the Tribal/State Collaboration Act and she had been asked that this be written in and Mr. Enjady had asked that the amendment be created.

Willock – Trying to get an understanding of this, has looked at some of the past meeting

minutes and opinions that Mr. Baran had done previously in his work with the Council. Agrees that this should be a fairly simple amendment. Needs to line this out and take it to the rule-making group, which appears to be the standing process and wants to stay consistent with that process. Should not take much time to get this done in the appropriate language. Expects to present this to the rule-making group for discussion in the next couple of weeks and then present to the full Council. Will need to follow the process, but should be able to just amend the necessary sections. Entire rule will need to be opened for public comment but believes this should move pretty quickly.

Kimball – Great! Any comments or questions? None.

Tribal-State Collaboration Act requires the agency, DoIT, to have a consultation process and discussion will have to be done as to how this can be accomplished in a meaningful way. This may be an oversimplification of the process, but appreciates this as the first step which will be reviewed in the working group and then brought to the Council when ready.

Willock – OK. Wonderful.

**8. OBAE Update – Vanessa Willock for Kelly Schlegel**

Video recording time: 01:00:54

Primary update; Celebrate the funding award! And now there is a lot of work to do. Ms. Hovis covered the next processes required, the Plans, the Proposals, etc., approximately a two-year process.

**Job openings**

17 currently. Just brought in two, now with a grant manager on board. Drew conducting interviews to get some financial people on board. Moving into new office space next week in Albuquerque.

○ **5-year Plan Progress**

Discussed previously in this meeting by Mr. Taxali and Ms. Hovis. Working on some legislation and budget planning for the upcoming session. Met with the Governor's Office and discussed ideas for legislation to include making the Connect New Mexico Fund permanent. Senator Padilla has opened a legislative file for this. Will update as drafts are prepared for collaboration and input as these move through the rule-making process.

Kimball – Some ideas went in during last session because of timing, without the Council's input, so Governor's Office has asked for essentially draft legislation to be done immediately, primarily a conversation as a work group, but asked other Council members to let her know if they want to join that conversation. Typically meet on Mondays, but have not been meeting regularly due to series of Monday holidays, but wanted to let Ms. Willock know when they are scheduled to meet.

Willock – Thanked Kimball for this info. Will try to do some draft off the back-end of that and get some ideas for input and engagement.

○ **Digital Equity Plan Progress**

Kimball – A lot of alignment with the BEAD plan, knows the process is data gathering at this point, most tribes completed letter of interest to receive the planning funds, similar to the state. Digital Equity Plan seems to be almost as detailed and prescriptive as BEAD. This is a lot for smaller entities who may only



have one person, such as a librarian, available to complete this plan alone. What kind of state support can be given, what data can be collected to lift the load for these smaller entities that are trying to create this plan.

Willcock – Asked Ms. Hovis if she wished to speak to this.

Hovis – Not able to answer this question. Believes this is an OBAE question. Defers to OBAE.

Willcock – Can touch base with Ms. Schlegel on this. Asked Mr. Viorica if he had an answer.

Viorica – Working on a pilot, working with Chaves County and eastern NM University Roswell. Have done a tremendous job securing \$1.9 million for Digital Equity, computer literacy, connectivity and even hot spots, etc. Trying to add OBAE resources to that effort to complement the work they are doing and develop a very simple template that could be completed by a single person, with assistance from a project manager from OBAE and/or a grant writer/grant writing organization, and be competitive when sending to NTIA for Digital Equity awards. Trying to develop inputs that are easy to fill out and meet all the criteria to enable individual entities to get this work done in an easy fashion. Hope to have these templates available in a few months along with the technical assistance, as well as a list of vetted grant writing choices and entities.

Kimball – Encouraging.

Sandeep – Jennifer Nevarez is one of the liaisons for digital equity. Currently at Tesuque Pueblo leading a boot camp, along with Chris Mitchell from Institute of Local Self-Reliance. A lot of community outreach work has been done since April 2022. Compiling all of the community engagement and how that relates with respect to fostering adoption, meaningful usage and affordability. CTC has a heavy role in helping prepare the Digital Equity Plan, due later this year. All of the outreach work and analysis will yield a very compelling plan and produce a favorable result with respect to the NTIA funding.

Kimball – Awesome. How many people attending this boot camp?

Sandeep – Approximately 30, could be better, but will continue with these events over the next year.

Kimball – Thinks this is still a good number. Deep respect for Chris Mitchell and his subject matter expertise; works around the country so has perspective on different strategies from different communities and different states. Will add to the conversation.

Sandeep – Boot camp being recorded by an intern videographer. Will be able to have this available on the website for those unable to attend.

Kimball – Looking forward to sharing those links. Anything else on the OBAE update, questions/comments?

Willcock – Ms. Schlegel asked her to remind everyone to be patient. They are

fielding a lot of questions regarding the funding at this time.

Kimball – Can appreciate that. Everyone is anxious to get going. Council members all practitioners in this field and a lot of individuals “hawking their wares”, need to trust one another and ask each other questions, not so much sharing proposals, may be proprietary, but lean on each other to make good choices with the partners to be selected and how to move forward at this time. Processes do have to be set up and we all have to be patient.

Viorica – Revisit conversation about potential legislative action; asked how this Council feels about interim committees and presentations, as there will probably be quite a few of those. Wonders what the presence and position of this Council should be for these interim committees. Legislators want to know what is going on. They want to know that things are happening and what progress has been made. They also want to know ideas about funding and legislation action, etc. Additional discussion about this is warranted in his opinion, perhaps at a future meeting.

Kimball – Asked Ms. Narvaiz to make this an Agenda item for next meeting. This is critical. Learned last year that interim committee meetings are critical to staging your issue and getting early support.

Crociata – This is a budget session, unless there is a specific issue that gets on the Governor’s Call, everything has to be germane to the budget and the LFC starts building the budget in September.

Kimball – Any other committees that the Council should consider being on other than LFC?

Crociata – Science and technology is always a good fit for our issues.

Mantos – Science and technology is going to be the “biggie”. Senator Padilla was the Chair, and is a big champion of broadband. It is being chaired by Representative San Yana. They do get a lot of work done in the interim and they do this in different parts of the state. Good opportunity to get out and reach people. LFC very important, also, they have meetings in the interim as well.

Kimball – As a result of last year’s legislation this Council is now an advisory to OBAE, so supporting OBAE’s efforts is important. Learning what the role of the Council is now. Council has an opinion with respect to needs identified by the Council. Consider putting together a statement from the Council on the current status and what would be best moving forward. Asked who might be willing to pitch in and help define some of these points. No answer required at this time.

Crociata – Volunteered to work on this.

Kimball – We have leadership in this group in terms of legislative process. Good to tap into all of the talents available.

- Community Engagement
- CTC Engagement Update – CTC

**9. Public Comment:**

Video recording time: 01:19:06

Mr. Mantos did not hear the call for Public Comment and asked if this had been done prior to the call for motion to adjourn. This was clarified that indeed the call had been made for Public Comment.

No public comment was offered.

**10. Adjournment:**

Video recording time: 01:20:53

Ms. Narvaiz asked for a motion to adjourn.

Motion for adjournment: Mr. Viorica

2nd: Mr. Guinnee

No opposition, meeting adjourned at 2:55 p.m.