

## CYBERSECURITY PLANNING COMMITTEE

Virtual Meeting  
Friday December 16, 2022 at 3:00 p.m.

### MINUTES

#### 1. Welcome & Call to Order

Ms. Narvaiz called the meeting to order at 3:02 pm and welcomed everyone to the meeting. Ms. Narvaiz called the roll and announced a quorum was present for conducting business by voicing those she did not see present.

#### 2. Roll Call –

##### Members Present:

Peter Mantos  
Dr. Lorie M. Liebrock  
Raja Sambandam  
Nathan C. Brown  
William A. York  
Carlos S. Lobato  
Sen. Michael Padilla  
Robert (Bobby) L. Benavidez

Dr. Bradley K. Purdy  
William (Tim) Pressley  
Dr. Srinivas Mukkamala  
Michael W. Good  
Tracy Lopez

##### Not Present

Cassandra Hayne  
Brigadier General Miguel Aguilar

##### Others Present:

Todd Baran, DOIT General Councilor  
Renee Narvaiz, DOIT  
Melissa Gutierrez, DOIT  
Todd Glanzer, Deloitte  
Bradley Crowe  
Caleb Raymer, NM EMNRD

#### 3. Approval of Agenda

**Senator Padilla moved, seconded by Mr. Benavidez, to approve the agenda as published. The motion was approved without objection.**

#### 4. Approval of Meeting Minutes

- a. November 18, 2022

**Mr. Sambandam moved, seconded by Senator Padilla, to approve the**

**November 18, 2022 minutes as presented. The motion was approved without objection.**

b. December 2, 2022

**Dr. Liebrock moved, seconded by Senator Padilla, to approve the December 2, 2022 minutes as presented. The motion was approved without objection.**

## **5. Subcommittee Reports**

### **a. Plan Subcommittee – Carlos Lobato**

Mr. Lobato had three major issues to report. The first was the exception submitted. One milestone to be done by December 31 was selecting a cybersecurity framework for actual development of the plan. The Committee selected the framework offered in 800-53 and will be cherry-picking those parts to meet the requirements, including the monthly NOFO requirements, which will be met. Mr. Sambandam, Mr. Baran, and he met to upload the mapping into the folder. Deloitte did an amazing job and he lauded Mr. Glanzer on it.

He reviewed the Deloitte upgraded scope of work earlier in the day and it makes total sense. There is also the collaboration with the Engagement Subcommittee and Mr. Benavidez, with whom he met to be working on the same page and maintain good communication. There is a lot of work before the Plan Subcommittee and Mr. Glanzer would help update the mapping.

Mr. Glanzer showed the matrix on the framework and went through it for how it would fit. The basis for much of the work from CESA and DHS comes from this documentation. It started in Planning Subcommittee and broke it out under the 157 controls and mapped it with the 800-53 controls as the first group. Then added mapping to the NOFO 1-16 requirements and mapping between NOFO controls and elements that were associated with benchmarks, including how to state the performance goals. He wanted to tie the matrix with the State activities and what DHS wants the Committee to do. It is an internal work product with all 300+ controls, folded into the 16 required elements and then prioritize them in the distinct plan - not to be submitted.

After discussion in the next few meetings, it will be posted in the folder under the Planning Subcommittee.

**b. Engagement Subcommittee – Robert L. Benavidez**

Mr. Benavidez reported the Engagement Subcommittee had conversations this week about the engagement plan and the ten areas of engagement, which included State government.

Three members are working on membership recruitment and will report on that more in the future.

Senator Padilla added that they were being pulled in 93 directions and it is a tough time for all. With a list from the members, he will share the contact information in the list for further involvement.

Chair Mantos thanked Senator Padilla for that offer. Chair Mantos was concerned about having a quorum of the whole Committee at meetings of the subcommittee meetings, making them subject to OMA requirements. He asked that when meetings were held, to ensure they did not have a quorum of the Committee present.

**6. Deloitte Contract Update – Mr. Sambandam and Mr. Glanzer.**

Mr. Sambandam said they were working with Deloitte in multiple back and forth to keep the services with State oversight with the Deloitte legal team. The contract is ready for Committee Approval to get the contract in place.

Mr. Baran felt it was compliant with a good scope of work and already seeing products.

**Mr. Lobato moved, seconded by Dr. Mukkamala, to approve the contract with Deloitte. The motion passed without opposition.**

**7. Walk Through of Cybersecurity Controls – Mr. Sambandam and Mr. Glanzer.**

The Walk Through was covered earlier in the meeting.

**8. Timelines for Subcommittees (Activities, Deliverables, and Dates) Peter**

Chair Mantos shared the 2023 MMISR Lookahead on screen. It was a matrix timeline by quarter what the Committee is going to do. He mentioned the need for multi-factor authentication. After finishing each step, the next quarter will start with the SOC and making sure state agencies are all included, they will go to the Judicial entities so that all elements are staggered for each constituency that

we end in the blue for every constituency.

He would like either jointly or separately, to understand what each Subcommittee will do. He imagined they would be done separately because their work is not the same. He shared a suggested list of constituencies upon which he did a gap analysis. On the Identity column, it would specify a contact person for each category and develop a master list with more detail. He did not put a timeline on it.

Mr. Lobato supported a timeline to keep the whole Committee aware of what is coming up and especially if certain expertise was needed that another member has.

Dr. Liebrock said she did not have expertise in health care and had just used a couple of online resources to start contacting people. She invited someone who does have such expertise and would lead or help with that in particular, she would appreciate that.

Mr. Sambandam advised her we do have representation from NMDOH on the committee, so we are covered there.

Senator Padilla agreed we have very robust associations in the State, including the New Mexico Hospital Association, the New Mexico Medical Society, and the New Mexico Superintendent of Insurance, all of whom are easy to work with.

Mr. York agreed to help.

## **9. Public Comment**

Mr. Bradley Crowe commented about a similar initiative was using NM Counties Assn and Municipal League to help with inventorying who were great organizations with whom to align. He also suggested the industry partnerships in the State because of their competency with wide networks. He offered to help with that specific portion of the initiative.

Chair Mantos thanked them for the comments. He knew many of them were already on Mr. Benavides' radar and might seek to be on their agenda at one of their meetings.

Mr. Crowe pointed out that is exactly what other states are doing. That is a best practice and New Mexico has some unique organizations such as PSFA, Public Schools Authority and beyond. Just getting some of them together is helpful.

Senator Padilla added that probably some of them have already used some of their money on cybersecurity planning. That would help reduce costs.

Senator Padilla said he invited Bradley Crowe to come to the meeting. He works with such groups. That means less reinventing the wheel and wanted to acknowledge him and thank him for being here on this Friday afternoon.

Mr. Purdy, from last meeting, wondered how this would affect the grant for how Emergency Management would work. The current grant is targeted tariffs and prevention grant, and it references the DHS framework for countering threat terrorism and Goal 3 is really focused on the physical side and Goal 4 is focused on the cybersecurity side. So, FEMA is still funding state and local entities, it looks like Goal 4 is being taken over by the new grant we are working on here (SLGBT). We can reference what is done on with that.

Mr. Good had not heard much on and suggested having information to share with entities to incentivize participation and making information available to them.

Mr. Brown said he would comment more later on.

Chair Mantos heard great fodder in those comments for next meeting topics.

a. **Next Agenda Topics - January 6**

Mr. Lobato asked for more on timelines for the Subcommittee.

Mr. York suggested access to the grid.

Mr. Glanzer agreed to put the spreadsheet on.

Chair Mantos asked for an update from Mr. Glanzer.

Mr. Sambandam mentioned tying the resources in New Mexico together. Continuum into the workforce.

Chair Mantos asked if Dr. Liebrock was interested in that.

Dr. Liebrock agreed. They talked about a High School pipeline with security awareness when graduating. She offered to lead that discussion.

Chair Mantos thanked her and suggested what to include.

Senator Padilla suggested inviting the Secretary of Higher Education to find out what they are doing to train for cybersecurity.

Mr. Purdy didn't see funding for K-12 security training or for smaller communities. Right now such security training has no trainers, just IT specialists.

Mr. Good agreed.

## 10. Adjournment

Upon motion by Dr. Liebrock and second by Mr. Sambandam, the meeting was adjourned at 3:52 p.m.

DocuSigned by:  
*Peter Mantos*  
BA22C09B847E4DC...  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Peter Mantos, Chair

1/6/2023  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date