

From: [Lisa Parrott](#)
To: [Lange, Dylan, SOS](#)
Subject: [EXT] Comments regarding proposed changes to election rules Title 1 Chapter 10 Part 15
Date: Thursday, August 27, 2020 10:16:51 AM

Dear Mr. Lange:

Please make these comments a part of the record in **tomorrow's** Zoom Public Meeting.

To my understanding **1.10.15** is currently labeled "Vacant," so I am puzzled by the proposed change to **1.10.15.4 "DURATION: Permanent."** What is the wording or phrase being changed to "permanent?"

In **1.10.15.9 Interposing Election Challenges:**

Section D is written broadly. Who defines the term "**orderly?**" Would it be the same people the challengers are there to observe?

Section E. Who defines the term "**indiscriminately or without good cause?**" Would it be the same people whose actions you are challenging?

Section F. Challengers must have the authority to approach voters or talk to voters to possibly determine a voter's intent, especially during in-person voting situations which are being challenged.

Section G. Does the term "video cameras or recording devices" include taking pictures with a cell phone? In some instances, this may be the only way a challenger can obtain the evidence necessary to support his or her challenge.

Section H. Especially in the situation of "mail-in ballots" where various groups are processing ballots at the same time in different areas of the room, if only one challenger may interpose a challenge at a time, the challenger who observes the situation he or she is concerned about must leave their post and then go to the one person in the room who is allowed to voice the challenge, explain the situation to the "lead" challenger. While this is occurring both of those challengers' areas are being unsupervised. **The person who witnesses the infraction of the election code must be able to interpose the challenge. Too much time and information can be lost otherwise.**

Sincerely,
Lisa F. Parrott
2494 Cherokee Circle
Las Cruces, NM 88011-9024
(575) 496-5983