



New Mexico Department of Transportation  
Transit and Rail Division

**FY 2016 Statewide Transit  
Application/RPO Prioritization and  
Budget Award Recommendation Meeting**

APRIL 16, 2015 – 10:00 AM

# NMDOT, Transit and Rail Division, 1350 Alta Vista (SB4), 2nd floor

## AGENDA

FY 2016 Statewide Transit

Application/RPO Prioritization and Preliminary Budget Award Recommendation Meeting

April 16, 2015 - 10:00 AM

NMDOT, Transit and Rail Division, 1350 Alta Vista (SB4), 2nd floor

- I. Welcome and Introductions
- II. Overview
- III. Section 5310 – Enhanced Mobility of Seniors & Individuals with Disabilities Transportation Services
- IV.
  - a. Review of §5310 Budget Requests and Regional Prioritization
  - b. Budget Recommendation  
Questions and/or discussion
- V. Section 5311 – Rural Public Transit
  - a. Review of §5311 Budget Requests and Regional Prioritization
  - b. Funding Distribution Index and Ranking
  - c. Budget Recommendation  
Questions and/or discussion
- VI. Next Steps To Finalize FY 16 Budgets
- VII. Other Issues
  - a. FY 2017 Transit application process
  - b. Private Non-profit procurement of vehicles
  - c. Title VI
  - d. NMSTARS.org – New Mexico State Transit Accounting and Ridership System (UPDATE)
  - e. Rural National Transit Database
  - f. Transit and Rail Division - Website:
  - g. Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE)
  - h. Questions

**ESTIMATED FEDERAL TRANSIT GRANT BALANCES  
FY 2016**

Grant Type	Requests for Federal Funds	Availability of Federal Funds	Federal Funds Recommended	Balance of Federal Funds
Section 5310 - Total Federal	\$2,364,608.75	\$3,087,843.00	\$1,915,964.60	\$1,171,878.40
-Section 5310 (Capital) - Rural/Small Urban	\$1,089,346.00	\$1,886,147.00	\$1,089,345.60	\$796,801.40
-Section 5310 - Albuquerque	\$1,275,262.75	\$1,201,696.00	\$826,619.00	\$375,077.00
Section 5311- Total Federal	\$13,933,923.75	\$12,200,406.00	\$10,777,091.59	\$1,423,314.41
-Section 5311 Administration/Operating	\$10,383,407.75	\$10,715,925.00	\$9,430,296.59	\$1,285,628.41
-Capital (Sections 5339 and 5311)	\$3,550,516.00	\$1,484,481.00	\$1,346,795.00	\$137,686.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$16,298,532.50</b>	<b>\$15,288,249.00</b>	<b>\$12,693,056.19</b>	<b>\$2,595,192.81</b>

# SECTION 5310

## FY 16 SECTION 5310 FUNDS REQUESTED

### RURAL - FY 16 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTED

Subgrantee	City	Total Capital	Federal Capital 80%	Local Capital 20%	Total Federal & Local	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description
Coyote Canyon	Brimhall	\$101,800.00	\$81,440.00	\$20,360.00				1- Dodge Caravan SE with wheelchair lift @ \$50,200 & 1- 10 Passenger Paratransit Van @ \$51,600. Total \$101,800
DreamTree Project, Inc	Taos	\$35,970.00	\$28,776.00	\$7,194.00				1- 12 Passenger Van. Total \$35,970
NCRID	Española	\$261,000.00	\$208,800.00	\$52,200.00				2 - 8 Passenger Vans & 2 - 13 Passenger Buses. Total \$208,800
Zia Therapy	Alamogordo	\$60,000.00	\$48,000.00	\$12,000.00				1 - 13 Passenger Bus with wheelchair lift. Total \$60,000
Empowerment, Inc.	Gallup	\$110,000.00	\$88,000.00	\$22,000.00				2- Large Vans with wheelchair lifts @ \$55,000 each. Total \$110,000
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$568,770.00</b>	<b>\$455,016.00</b>	<b>\$113,754.00</b>				

### ALBUQUERQUE - FY 16 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL/OPERATING BUDGET REQUESTED

Subgrantee	City	Total Capital	Federal Capital 80%	Local Capital 20%	Total Federal & Local	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description
Adelante	Albuquerque	\$260,544.00	\$208,435.20	\$52,108.80				6 - 10 Passenger Vans with wheelchair lift @ \$43,424 each. Total \$260,544.00
ARCA	Albuquerque	\$207,915.33	\$166,332.26	\$41,583.07	\$130,076.30	\$65,038.15	\$65,038.15	2 - Minivans w/ flex wheelchair, 2- 22 Passenger @ \$191,322.08. 7- Google Nexus Tablets @ \$16,593.25
Cornucopia Adult & Family Services, I	Albuquerque	\$206,000.00	\$164,800.00	\$41,200.00				2- Passenger Vans with wheelchair lifts @ \$53,000 each & 2- Low Floor Buses @ \$50,000 each. Total \$206,000.00
LifeROOTS, Inc	Albuquerque	\$129,039.11	\$103,231.29	\$25,807.82				3 - Vans, 2-without wheelchair lifts & 1 with wheelchair lift. Total \$129,039.11
Mandy's Special Farm	Albuquerque	\$110,580.00	\$88,464.00	\$22,116.00				2 - 12 + 2 Passenger ADA Shuttle Bus with wheelchair lift. Total \$110,580.00
Rio Metro RTD	Albuquerque	\$680,000.00	\$544,000.00	\$136,000.00				8 - 15 Passenger Vans with wheelchair lifts. Total \$680,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$1,594,078.44</b>	<b>\$1,275,262.75</b>	<b>\$318,815.69</b>	<b>\$130,076.30</b>	<b>\$65,038.15</b>	<b>\$65,038.15</b>	

### SMALL URBAN - FY 16 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTED

Subgrantee	City	Total Capital	Federal Capital 80%	Local Capital 20%	Total Federal & Local	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description
City of Santa Fe	Santa Fe	\$192,834.00	\$154,267.20	\$38,566.80				3 - MV-1 Minivans with CNG conversion
PMS - San Juan County	Farmington	\$230,078.00	\$184,062.40	\$46,015.60				3 - 8 Passenger Vans + 2 wheelchair lifts @ \$138,918 & 2- 10 Passenger Vans with wheelchair lift @ \$91,160. Total \$230,078
Progressive Residential Services	Las Cruces	\$70,000.00	\$56,000.00	\$14,000.00				1- Mini Van with wheelchair lift @ \$34,000 and 1- Van with wheelchair lift @ \$36,000. Total \$70,000
Tresco, Inc	Las Cruces	\$300,000.00	\$240,000.00	\$60,000.00				3 - Low Floor Minivans & 3 - High Top Paratransit Vans. Total \$300,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$792,912.00</b>	<b>\$634,329.60</b>	<b>\$158,582.40</b>				

### ALBUQUERQUE, RURAL, AND SMALL URBAN - FY 16 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL/OPERATING BUDGET REQUESTED

	Total Federal & Local Capital	Federal Capital 80%	Local Capital 20%	Total Federal & Local Operating	Federal Operating 50%	Local Operating 50%	Total Capital & Operating - Federal and Local
	\$2,955,760.44	\$2,364,608.35	\$591,152.09	\$130,076.30	\$65,038.15	\$65,038.15	<b>\$3,085,836.74</b>

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY  
REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS

**FISCAL YEAR 2016**  
FOR 49 U.S.C. §5310 APPLICATIONS ONLY

**§5310: Enhanced Mobility of Seniors & Individuals with Disabilities Transportation Services**

1. Planning and Coordination:

- Does Applicant coordinate services with other disabled and elderly providers?
- Do they have a plan for anticipated disabled and elderly growth in the community?
- Is the project included in the RPO/MPO Coordinated Public Transit Human Services Transportation Plan?

2. Regional Need and Justification:

- Does applicant serve regional market demands?
- Is there a clear and defined need for this service?

3. Maintenance, Safety, and ADA:

- Does the applicant have comprehensive maintenance plan?
- Does the applicant have someone trained to recognize maintenance concerns?
- Are applicant's drivers trained in passenger safety and sensitivity?  
in wheelchair lift operations?

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY  
REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS

**FISCAL YEAR 2016**  
FOR 49 U.S.C. §5310 APPLICATIONS ONLY

Name of Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_

**§5310: Enhanced Mobility of Seniors & Individuals with Disabilities Transportation Services**

Please circle score as applicable:

- |                                     |             |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Planning and Coordination:       | HIGH        |
|                                     | Medium High |
|                                     | MEDIUM      |
|                                     | Medium Low  |
|                                     | LOW         |
| 2. Regional Need and Justification: | HIGH        |
|                                     | Medium High |
|                                     | MEDIUM      |
|                                     | Medium Low  |
|                                     | LOW         |
| 3. Maintenance, Safety, and ADA:    | HIGH        |
|                                     | Medium High |
|                                     | MEDIUM      |
|                                     | Medium Low  |
|                                     | LOW         |

## SECTION 5310 RPO PRIORITIZATION

**FY 16**

<b>Program</b>	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>RTPO</b>	<b>Prioritization</b>
5310	Adelante Development Center, Inc.	MRRTPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	ARCA	MRRTPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	Cornucopia Adult Services	MRRTPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	Coyote Canyon	NWRTPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	DreamTree, Inc.	NPRTPO	HIGH
5310	Mandy's Special Farm	MRRTPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	LifeROOTS	MRRTPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	NCRTD	NPRTPO	HIGH
5310	PMS - San Juan County	NWRTPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	Progressive Residential Services	SCRTPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	Rio Metro RTD	MRRTPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	City of Santa Fe	Santa Fe MPO	HIGH
5310	Tresco	SCRTPO	HIGH
5310	ZEE Inc. / Empowerment Inc.	NWRTPO	HIGH
5310	Zia Therapy	SERTPO	HIGH



## FY 16 SECTION 5310 FUNDS RECOMMENDED

### RURAL - FY 16 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDED

Subgrantee	City	Total Capital	Federal Capital 80%	Local Capital 20%	Total Federal & Local	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description
Coyote Canyon	Brimhall	\$101,800.00	\$81,440.00	\$20,360.00				1 - Dodge Caravan SE with wheelchair lift @ \$50,200 & 1- 10 Passenger Paratransit Van @ \$51,600. Total \$101,800.00
DreamTree Project, Inc	Taos	\$35,970.00	\$28,776.00	\$7,194.00				1 - 12 Passenger Van. Total \$35,970.00
NCRID	Española	\$261,000.00	\$208,800.00	\$52,200.00				2 - 8 Passenger Vans & 2 - 13 Passenger Buses. Total \$208,800.00
Zia Therapy	Alamogordo	\$60,000.00	\$48,000.00	\$12,000.00				1 - 13 Passenger Bus with wheelchair lift. Total \$60,000.00
Empowerment, Inc.	Gallup	\$110,000.00	\$88,000.00	\$22,000.00				2 - Large Vans with wheelchair lifts @ \$55,000 each. Total \$110,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$568,770.00</b>	<b>\$455,016.00</b>	<b>\$113,754.00</b>				

### ALBUQUERQUE - FY 16 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL/OPERATING BUDGET RECOMMENDED

Subgrantee	City	Total Capital	Federal Capital 80%	Local Capital 20%	Total Federal & Local	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description			
Adelante	Albuquerque	\$173,696.00	\$138,956.80	\$34,739.20				4 - 10 Passenger Vans with wheelchair Lift @ \$43,424 each. Total \$173,696.00			
ARCA	Albuquerque	\$95,661.04	\$76,528.83	\$19,132.21				\$130,076.30	\$65,038.15	\$65,038.15	1 - Minivan w/ flex wheelchair & 1- 22 Passenger @ \$143,491.56 7- Google Nexus Tablets @ \$16,593.25
Cornucopia Adult & Family Services, Inc.	Albuquerque	\$103,000.00	\$82,400.00	\$20,600.00				1 - Wheelchairlift equipped Passenger Van @ \$53,000 each & 1- Lowfloor Bus @ \$50,000 each. Total \$103,000.00			
LifeROOTS, Inc	Albuquerque	\$129,039.11	\$103,231.29	\$25,807.82				2 - Vans, 1-without wheelchair lifts and 1 with wheelchair lift. Total \$129,039.11			
Mandy's Special Farm	Albuquerque	\$110,580.00	\$88,464.00	\$22,116.00				2 - 12 + 2 Passenger ADA shuttle bus with wheelchair lift. Total \$110,580.00			
Rio Metro RTD	Albuquerque	\$340,000.00	\$272,000.00	\$68,000.00	\$130,076.30	\$65,038.15	\$65,038.15	4 - 15 Passenger Vans with wheelchair lifts. Total \$340,000.00			
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$951,976.15</b>	<b>\$761,580.92</b>	<b>\$190,395.23</b>							

### SMALL URBAN - FY 16 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDED

Subgrantee	City	Total Capital	Federal Capital 80%	Local Capital 20%	Total Federal & Local	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description
City of Santa Fe	Santa Fe	\$192,834.00	\$154,267.20	\$38,566.80				3 - MV-1 Minivans with CNG conversion. Total \$192,834.00
PMS - San Juan County	Farmington	\$230,078.00	\$184,062.40	\$46,015.60				3 - 8 Passenger Vans + 2 wheelchair lifts @ \$138,918 & 2 - 10 Passenger Vans with wheelchair lift @ \$91,160. Total \$230,078.00
Progressive Residential Services	Las Cruces	\$70,000.00	\$56,000.00	\$14,000.00				1 - Minivan with wheelchair lift @ \$34,000 and 1 - Van with wheelchair lift @ \$36,000. Total \$70,000.00
Tresco, Inc	Las Cruces	\$300,000.00	\$240,000.00	\$60,000.00				3 - Lowfloor Minivans and 3 - High Top Paratransit Vans. Total \$300,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$792,912.00</b>	<b>\$634,329.60</b>	<b>\$158,582.40</b>				

### ALBUQUERQUE, RURAL, AND SMALL URBAN - FY 16 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL/OPERATING BUDGET RECOMMENDED

	Total Federal & Local Capital	Federal Capital 80%	Local Capital 20%	Total Federal & Local Operating	Federal Operating 50%	Local Operating 50%	Total Capital & Operating - Federal and Local
	\$2,313,658.15	\$1,850,926.52	\$462,731.63	\$130,076.30	\$65,038.15	\$65,038.15	<b>\$2,443,734.45</b>

## SECTION 5310 RECOMMENDATION HISTORY FY 2016

Program Applicants	City	Vehicles	Lift/ ramp	Other Request	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Total Current and Previous Awards
Adelante	Albuquerque	4 - 10 Passenger Vans	Yes		2	8	2	4	6	6	3	6	8	6	4	55
ARCA	Albuquerque	1 - Minivan & 1- 22 Passenger Bus	Yes	Smartphone & Training			2	3	2	2	3	3	4	4	2	25
City of Santa Fe	Santa Fe	3 - MV-1 Minivans with CNG conversion													3	3
Cornucopia Adult & Family Services	Albuquerque	1 - Passenger Van & 1- Lowfloor Bus	Yes												2	2
Coyote Canyon	Gallup	2 Vans	Yes		0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	2	8
Dream Tree Project, Inc.	Taos	1 - 12 Passenger Van													1	1
Empowerment, Inc.	Gallup	2 - Large Vans	Yes											2	2	4
LifeRoots, Inc.	Albuquerque	2 - Vans, 1 without wheelchair lifts & 1 with wheelchair lift	Yes												2	2
Mandy's Special Farm	Albuquerque	2 - 12 + 2 Passenger Bus	Yes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	4
NCRID	Espanola	2 - 8 Passenger Vans & 2 - 13 Passenger Buses													4	4
PMS - San Juan County	Farmington	3 - 8 Passenger Vans & 2 - 10 Passenger Vans	Yes										3	4	5	12
Progressive Residential Services	Las Cruces	1- Minivan & 1 - Van	Yes											2	2	4
Rio Metro RTD	Albuquerque	4 - 15 Passenger Vans	Yes										3	4	4	11
Tresco Inc.	Las Cruces	3 - Lowfloor Minivans & 3 - Hightop Paratransit Vans	Yes		0	2	2	2	2	6	3	4	6	6	6	39
Zia Therapy	Alamogordo	1 - 13 Passenger Bus	Yes				1	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	8
<b>TOTAL</b>					<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>182</b>

# SECTION 5311

## REQUESTED - FY 16 SECTION 5311 ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING BUDGET

RATIO										
Subgrantees	Total Administration (w/D+A)	Federal Share Administration	Local Share Administration	Total Net Operating	Federal Share Operating	Local Share Operating	Total Requests	Total Federal	Total Local	RATIO - ADMIN to OP
Carlsbad, City of	\$185,894.00	\$148,715.20	\$37,178.80	\$456,615.70	\$228,307.85	\$228,307.85	\$642,509.70	\$377,023.05	\$265,486.65	0.41
Clovis, City of	\$347,473.00	\$277,978.40	\$69,494.60	\$549,119.00	\$274,559.50	\$274,559.50	\$896,592.00	\$552,537.90	\$344,054.10	0.63
Community Pantry	\$169,550.00	\$135,640.00	\$33,910.00	\$460,700.00	\$230,350.00	\$230,350.00	\$630,250.00	\$365,990.00	\$264,260.00	0.37
Golden Spread	\$81,061.00	\$64,848.80	\$16,212.20	\$129,946.00	\$64,973.00	\$64,973.00	\$211,007.00	\$129,821.80	\$81,185.20	0.62
Grant County - SWRTD	\$312,370.00	\$249,896.00	\$62,474.00	\$874,600.00	\$437,300.00	\$437,300.00	\$1,186,970.00	\$687,196.00	\$499,774.00	0.36
Hatch Area Medical	\$110,732.50	\$88,586.00	\$22,146.50	\$237,600.00	\$118,800.00	\$118,800.00	\$348,332.50	\$207,386.00	\$140,946.50	0.47
Hobbs, City of	\$132,000.00	\$105,600.00	\$26,400.00	\$792,003.80	\$396,001.90	\$396,001.90	\$924,003.80	\$501,601.90	\$422,401.90	0.17
Pueblo of Laguna	\$32,650.00	\$26,120.00	\$6,530.00	\$161,789.00	\$80,894.50	\$80,894.50	\$194,439.00	\$107,014.50	\$87,424.50	0.20
Las Vegas, City of	\$90,850.00	\$72,680.00	\$18,170.00	\$188,018.00	\$94,009.00	\$94,009.00	\$278,868.00	\$166,689.00	\$112,179.00	0.48
Los Alamos County	\$194,910.00	\$155,928.00	\$38,982.00	\$3,181,720.00	\$1,590,860.00	\$1,590,860.00	\$3,376,630.00	\$1,746,788.00	\$1,629,842.00	0.06
Milan, Village of	\$121,964.00	\$97,571.20	\$24,392.80	\$284,740.00	\$142,370.00	\$142,370.00	\$406,704.00	\$239,941.20	\$166,762.80	0.43
Navajo Nation	\$156,011.00	\$124,808.80	\$31,202.20	\$827,318.00	\$413,659.00	\$413,659.00	\$983,329.00	\$538,467.80	\$444,861.20	0.19
NCRTD	\$782,061.00	\$625,648.80	\$156,412.20	\$2,295,854.00	\$1,147,927.00	\$1,147,927.00	\$3,077,915.00	\$1,773,575.80	\$1,304,339.20	0.34
Portales, City of	\$68,441.25	\$54,753.00	\$13,688.25	\$174,954.00	\$87,477.00	\$87,477.00	\$243,395.25	\$142,230.00	\$101,165.25	0.39
Red River, Town of	\$60,221.00	\$48,176.80	\$12,044.20	\$97,216.00	\$48,608.00	\$48,608.00	\$157,437.00	\$96,784.80	\$60,652.20	0.62
Rio Metro RTD	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,361,000.00	\$680,500.00	\$680,500.00	\$1,361,000.00	\$680,500.00	\$680,500.00	0.00
Roswell, City of	\$285,840.00	\$228,672.00	\$57,168.00	\$1,280,030.00	\$640,015.00	\$640,015.00	\$1,565,870.00	\$868,687.00	\$697,183.00	0.22
Ruidoso Downs	\$53,507.00	\$42,805.60	\$10,701.40	\$150,509.00	\$75,254.50	\$75,254.50	\$204,016.00	\$118,060.10	\$85,955.90	0.36
Socorro, City of	\$69,687.00	\$55,749.60	\$13,937.40	\$206,277.00	\$103,138.50	\$103,138.50	\$275,964.00	\$158,888.10	\$117,075.90	0.34
Taos, Town of	\$146,800.00	\$117,440.00	\$29,360.00	\$517,000.00	\$258,500.00	\$258,500.00	\$663,800.00	\$375,940.00	\$287,860.00	0.28
Zia Therapy	\$237,986.00	\$190,388.80	\$47,597.20	\$715,792.00	\$357,896.00	\$357,896.00	\$953,778.00	\$548,284.80	\$405,493.20	0.33
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,640,008.75</b>	<b>\$2,912,007.00</b>	<b>\$728,001.75</b>	<b>\$14,942,801.50</b>	<b>\$7,471,400.75</b>	<b>\$7,471,400.75</b>	<b>\$18,582,810.25</b>	<b>\$10,383,407.75</b>	<b>\$8,199,402.50</b>	<b>0.24</b>

## FY 16 SECTION 5311 CAPITAL REQUEST

Subgrantees	TOTAL CAPITAL	Federal Share at 80%	Local Share at 20%	Capital to Vendor at 80%	Capital to Subgrantee at 80%	Vehicles Total	Vehicle 80%	Capital Equipment Description
Carlsbad, City of	\$58,000.00	\$46,400.00	\$11,600.00	\$0.00	\$46,400.00	\$58,000.00	\$46,400.00	1- 12 Passenger Van with wheelchair lift and ramp @ \$58,000.00. Total \$58,000
Clovis, City of	\$55,000.00	\$44,000.00	\$11,000.00	\$0.00	\$44,000.00	\$55,000.00	\$44,000.00	1- Passenger Van with two wheelchair stations. Total \$55,000
Community Pantry	\$225,000.00	\$180,000.00	\$45,000.00	\$164,000.00	\$16,000.00	\$205,000.00	\$164,000.00	3- El Dorado Aerotechs @ \$68,333 each, Bus Shelters @ \$12,000, Mobile Radios @ \$ 3,000, and Computers @ \$5,000. Total 225,000.00.
Golden Spread	\$136,116.00	\$108,892.80	\$27,223.20	\$106,093.00	\$2,800.00	\$106,093.00	\$84,874.40	1- Passenger Bus, 1- 15 Passenger Van with wheelchair lift, 2- Computers @ 41,750. Total \$136,116.25
Grant County - SWRTD	\$108,500.00	\$86,800.00	\$21,700.00	\$85,600.00	\$1,200.00	\$107,000.00	\$85,600.00	1- Passenger Bus @ \$107,000 and Mobile Radios @ \$1,200. Total \$108,500
Hatch Area Medical								<b>NO CAPITAL REQUESTED</b>
Hobbs, City of	\$244,257.00	\$195,405.60	\$48,851.40	\$0.00	\$195,405.60	\$209,258.00	\$195,405.60	2 - Low Floor 18 Passenger Bus @ \$104,629 each, Surveillance System @ \$25,000 and Benches and Signage @ \$10,000. Total \$244,257.50
Pueblo of Laguna	\$36,185.00	\$28,948.00	\$7,237.00	\$0.00	\$28,948.00	\$36,185.00	\$28,948.00	1 - 15 Passenger Bus with wheelchair lift @ \$36,185.
Las Vegas, City of	\$52,647.00	\$42,117.60	\$10,529.40	\$42,117.60	\$0.00	\$52,647.00	\$42,117.60	1- 9 Passenger Van with wheelchair lift and ramp @ \$52,647.50. Total \$52,647.50
Los Alamos County	\$690,000.00	\$552,000.00	\$138,000.00	\$0.00	\$552,000.00	\$690,000.00	\$552,000.00	2 - Heavy Duty Fixed-Route Buses @ \$345,000 each. Total \$690,000
Milan, Village of	\$55,000.00	\$44,000.00	\$11,000.00	\$44,000.00	\$0.00	\$55,000.00	\$44,000.00	1- Passenger Bus with logo @ \$55,000. Total \$55,000
Navajo Nation	\$510,000.00	\$408,000.00	\$102,000.00	\$0.00	\$408,000.00	\$470,000.00	\$376,000.00	1- Passenger Bus @ \$400,000, Surveillance System @ \$20,000, 1- 15 Passenger Van with wheelchair lift @ \$70,000, and Computer @ \$2,000. Total 510,000.
NCRTD	\$1,397,490.00	\$1,117,992.00	\$279,498.00	\$0.00	\$1,117,992.00	\$559,490.00	\$447,592.00	1 - Passenger Bus @ \$559,490, Bus Shelters @ \$50,000, Final design of Maintenance Facility, Fueling Station, & Wash Bay @ \$503,000, Emergency electrical generator @ \$60,000, Photo-Voltaic Power @ \$150,000 and Vehicle storage for Santa Fe and Taos @ \$75,000. Total \$1,397,490.00
Portales, City of								<b>NO CAPITAL REQUESTED</b>
Red River, Town of								<b>NO CAPITAL REQUESTED</b>
Rio Metro RTD	\$255,000.00	\$204,000.00	\$51,000.00	\$204,000.00	\$0.00	\$255,000.00	\$204,000.00	3 - 15 Passenger Buses with wheelchair lift @ \$85,000 each. Total \$255,000
Roswell, City of	\$333,000.00	\$266,400.00	\$66,600.00	\$330,000.00	\$3,000.00	\$330,000.00	\$264,000.00	1- Passenger Bus @ \$250,000, 1- 15 Passenger Van with wheelchair lift @ \$80,000, and Computer @ \$3,000. Total \$333,000
Ruidoso Downs								<b>NO CAPITAL REQUESTED</b>
Socorro, City of	\$61,950.00	\$49,560.00	\$12,390.00	\$49,560.00	\$0.00	\$61,950.00	\$49,560.00	1 - El Dorado National Amerivan PT Low Floor Mini Van (CNG) @ \$61,950
Taos, Town of	\$80,000.00	\$64,000.00	\$16,000.00	\$0.00	\$64,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Plan, Design, and possibly construct a building extension for the mechanics and a driver's restroom. Total \$80,000
Zia Therapy	\$140,000.00	\$112,000.00	\$28,000.00	\$110,400.00	\$1,600.00	\$138,000.00	\$110,400.00	1 - 23 Passenger Bus with ramp @ \$138,000 and Computers and Monitors @ \$2,000. Total \$140,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$4,438,145.00</b>	<b>\$3,550,516.00</b>	<b>\$887,629.00</b>	<b>\$1,135,770.60</b>	<b>\$2,481,345.60</b>	<b>\$3,388,623.00</b>	<b>\$2,738,897.60</b>	

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS

FOR 49 U.S.C. §5311 APPLICATIONS ONLY

FY 2016

**§5311: Rural Public Transit**

1. Rural Public Transportation Planning and Regional Coordination:

- Does the applicant have a short/long term transit plan?
- Is this plan adopted by the applicants governing body?
- Is there coordination with other transit systems and other modes of transportation, including tribal entities?
- Is ongoing funding part of the plan?
- Has a formal process been established for public input?

2. Regional Need and Justification for the System:

- Does a regional transit/transportation plan exist that includes this service? If not, what is the basis for the need for this service?
- Does a regional need exist to serve the demand in this area?
- How strong is the need to continue/begin this system?

3. Level of marketing of the Transit System - Public Served (ridership):

- Does the transit system have a marketing plan?
- Has it been implemented?
- Is there a clear direction to increase ridership?  
Including paratransit?

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY REGIONAL PLANNING  
ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS  
FOR 49 U.S.C. §5311 APPLICATIONS ONLY FY 2016

Name of Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_

1. Rural Public Transportation Planning and Regional Coordination:

HIGH

Medium high

MEDIUM

Medium Low

LOW

2. Regional Need and Justification for the System:

HIGH

Medium high

MEDIUM

Medium Low

LOW

3. Level of marketing of the Transit System - Public Served (ridership):

HIGH

Medium high

MEDIUM

Medium Low

LOW

## FY 16 SECTION 5311 APPLICATION RPO PRIORITIZATION

<b>Program</b>	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>RTPO</b>	<b>Prioritization</b>
5311	City of Carlsbad (Carlsbad Municipal Transit)	SERPO	HIGH
5311	City of Clovis (Clovis Area Transit System)	SERPO	HIGH
5311	The Community Pantry (Gallup Express)	NWRPO	HIGH
5311	Golden Spread Rural Frontier Coalition	NERPO	HIGH
5311	Grant County - SWRTD (Corre Caminos)	SWRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5311	Hatch Area Medical Center Foundation dba Rio Grande Transit	SCRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5311	City of Hobbs	SERPO	HIGH
5311	Pueblo of Laguna	NWRPO	HIGH
5311	City of Las Vegas (Meadow City Express)	NERPO	HIGH
5311	Los Alamos County (Atomic City Transit)	NPRPO	HIGH
5311	Village of Milan (Cibola Area Transit System)	NWRPO	HIGH
5311	Navajo Nation	NWRPO	-
5311	NCRTD (North Central Regional Transit District)	NPRPO	HIGH
5311	City of Portales	SERPO	HIGH
5311	Town of Red River (Miner's Express)	NPRPO	HIGH
5311	RMRTD (Rio Metro Regional Transit District)	MRRTPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5311	City of Roswell (Pecos Trails Transit)	SERPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5311	City of Ruidoso Downs (Lincoln County Transit)	SERPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5311	City of Socorro	SCRPO	HIGH
5311	Town of Taos (The Chile Line)	NPRPO	HIGH
5311	Zia Therapy Center, Inc. (Z-Trans)	SERPO	HIGH



## SECTION 5311 FUNDING DISTRIBUTION INDEX

### **Individual Performance Indicators:**

1. FFY 2014 §5311 Ridership
  2. Total Administration/Operations (A/O) Ratio Based on FFY 15 Award
  3. Cost Per Passenger Trip Based on FFY 2014 Ridership and FFY 2014 Expended Total A/O Budget
  4. FFY 2014 Total A/O Cost Per Vehicle Mile
  5. FFY 2016 Regional Planning Organization Prioritization
  6. Percent FFY 2014 A/O Federal Award Expended
  7. Percent of Total State §5311 Ridership FFY 2014: Percent of State Total FFY 2014 Federal §5311 A/O Award Ratio
- In early March 2015, Rural Transit Providers were sent their individual data to review and provide comments.
  - After validating the data, transit providers were ranked in each performance indicator and the ranks were added up to create a composite §5311 Transit Funding Index Rank.
  - The §5311 Transit Funding Index Rank will then place the transit providers into groups that reflect their performance.

- Funding decisions were based on available funding, and an applicant's Transit Funding Index Rank.
- Apart from the final §5311 Transit Funding Index Rank, all providers were targeted at a maximum Administration/Operations ratio (A:O ratio) based on the size of their respective FY 2014 vehicle fleets **regardless of funding source for the vehicles.**
  - ❖ Five transit vehicles or less: Maximum A:O ratio of 0.80
  - ❖ Six to 10 transit vehicles: Maximum A:O ratio of 0.75
  - ❖ 11 to 20 transit vehicles: Maximum A:O ratio of 0.70
  - ❖ 21 to 30 transit vehicles: Maximum A:O ratio of 0.65
  - ❖ 31 transit vehicles or more: Maximum A:O ratio of 0.60
- All providers receiving a total federal administration and operating award over \$500,000, but less than \$1,000,000 will be limited to a maximum A:O ratio of 0.6. Providers receiving a total federal administration and operating award of \$1,000,000 or more will be limited to a maximum A:O ratio of 0.5.
- In order to conserve federal transit grant funding, rural transit agencies will no longer be able to utilize Capital Cost of Contracting as a funding mechanism through NMDOT's Transit and Rail Division.
- Subgrantees with incomplete data for the Transit Funding Index Rank will be held at a flat budget and a vehicle fleet size appropriate A:O ratio (i.e., the program started in FFY 2015).

# 1) FY 14 RIDERSHIP

Subgrantee	Ridership	Subgrantee	Rank (1 = Highest)
Carlsbad, City of	51,103	Carlsbad, City of	12
Clovis, City of	68,143	Clovis, City of	8
Community Pantry	104,120	Community Pantry	6
Golden Spread	9,815	Golden Spread	20
Grant County - SWRTD	109,581	Grant County - SWRTD	5
Hatch Area Medical	16,188	Hatch Area Medical	17
Hobbs, City of	54,135	Hobbs, City of	11
Pueblo of Laguna	6,390	Pueblo of Laguna	21
Las Vegas, City of	16,260	Las Vegas, City of	16
Los Alamos County	526,296	Los Alamos County	1
Milan, Village of	41,147	Milan, Village of	13
Navajo Nation	67,232	Navajo Nation	9
NCRTD	208,695	NCRTD	2
Portales, City of	18,545	Portales, City of	15
Red River, Town of	31,278	Red River, Town of	14
Rio Metro RTD	80,534	Rio Metro RTD	7
Roswell, City of	207,007	Roswell, City of	3
Ruidoso Downs	10,615	Ruidoso Downs	19
Socorro, City of	16,099	Socorro, City of	18
Taos, Town of	67,163	Taos, Town of	10
Zia Therapy	118,698	Zia Therapy	4
<b>FY 14 TOTAL</b>	<b>1,829,044</b>	<b>% CHANGE FROM FY 13</b>	
<b>FY 13 TOTAL</b>	<b>1,699,739</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	

## 2) ADMINISTRATIVE/OPERATING (A/O) RATIO BASED ON FY 15 AWARD

Subgrantee	Administrative (Federal & Local)	Operating (Federal & Local)	Ratio – A to O	Subgrantee	Rank
Carlsbad, City of	\$176,207.50	\$437,374.00	0.40	Carlsbad, City of	15
Clovis, City of	\$308,406.25	\$525,008.00	0.59	Clovis, City of	18
Community Pantry	\$149,515.00	\$508,420.00	0.29	Community Pantry	9
Golden Spread	\$81,061.25	\$129,946.00	0.62	Golden Spread	20
Grant County - SWRTD	\$283,675.00	\$867,516.00	0.33	Grant County - SWRTD	11
Hatch Area Medical	\$39,732.50	\$205,600.00	0.19	Hatch Area Medical	4
Hobbs, City of	\$78,152.50	\$601,910.00	0.13	Hobbs, City of	3
Pueblo of Laguna	\$29,500.00	\$150,190.00	0.20	Pueblo of Laguna	5
Las Vegas, City of	\$89,516.25	\$163,608.00	0.55	Las Vegas, City of	17
Los Alamos County	\$183,741.25	\$2,616,992.00	0.07	Los Alamos County	1
Milan, Village of	\$94,900.00	\$175,662.00	0.54	Milan, Village of	16
Navajo Nation	\$134,051.25	\$597,706.00	0.22	Navajo Nation	7
NCRTD	\$651,717.50	\$1,913,212.00	0.34	NCRTD	13
Portales, City of	\$68,441.25	\$174,954.00	0.39	Portales, City of	14
Red River, Town of	\$61,433.75	\$96,082.00	0.64	Red River, Town of	21
Rio Metro RTD	\$141,000.00	\$1,350,000.00	0.10	Rio Metro RTD	2
Roswell, City of	\$240,283.75	\$1,147,970.00	0.21	Roswell, City of	6
Ruidoso Downs	\$51,500.00	\$84,800.00	0.61	Ruidoso Downs	19
Socorro, City of	\$45,023.75	\$142,720.00	0.32	Socorro, City of	10
Taos, Town of	\$153,800.00	\$454,458.00	0.34	Taos, Town of	12
Zia Therapy	\$218,983.75	\$809,292.00	0.27	Zia Therapy	8
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,280,642.50</b>	<b>\$13,153,420.00</b>	<b>0.25</b>		
			<b>STATE AVERAGE FY 15</b>	<b>% CHANGE FROM FY 14</b>	
			<b>0.25</b>	<b>-3.8%</b>	
			<b>STATE AVERAGE FY 14</b>		
			<b>0.26</b>		

### 3) COST PER PASSENGER TRIP BASED ON FY 14 RIDERSHIP AND FY 14 EXPENDED TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING BUDGET

Subgrantee	Ridership	A + O Expended (Federal & Local)	Cost Per Trip	Subgrantee	Rank (lowest cost = best = 1)
Carlsbad, City of	51,103	\$580,096.36	\$11.35	Carlsbad, City of	15
Clovis, City of	68,143	\$752,900.20	\$11.05	Clovis, City of	12
Community Pantry	104,120	\$342,955.82	\$3.29	Community Pantry	1
Golden Spread	9,815	\$184,293.22	\$18.78	Golden Spread	19
Grant County - SWRTD	109,581	\$990,887.44	\$9.04	Grant County - SWRTD	9
Hatch Area Medical	16,188	\$261,432.40	\$16.15	Hatch Area Medical	18
Hobbs, City of	54,135	\$643,050.38	\$11.88	Hobbs, City of	16
Pueblo of Laguna	6,390	\$165,254.80	\$25.86	Pueblo of Laguna	21
Las Vegas, City of	16,260	\$228,353.36	\$14.04	Las Vegas, City of	17
Los Alamos County	526,296	\$2,047,957.22	\$3.89	Los Alamos County	2
Milan, Village of	41,147	\$294,581.47	\$7.16	Milan, Village of	7
Navajo Nation	67,232	\$667,947.47	\$9.93	Navajo Nation	10
NCRTD	208,695	\$2,318,613.66	\$11.11	NCRTD	14
Portales, City of	18,545	\$164,362.12	\$8.86	Portales, City of	8
Red River, Town of	31,278	\$146,263.62	\$4.68	Red River, Town of	3
Rio Metro RTD	80,534	\$1,773,909.70	\$22.03	Rio Metro RTD	20
Roswell, City of	207,007	\$1,197,090.40	\$5.78	Roswell, City of	4
Ruidoso Downs	10,615	\$115,550.84	\$10.89	Ruidoso Downs	11
Socorro, City of	16,099	\$177,950.94	\$11.05	Socorro, City of	12
Taos, Town of	67,163	\$458,842.61	\$6.83	Taos, Town of	5
Zia Therapy	118,698	\$833,444.44	\$7.02	Zia Therapy	6
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,829,044</b>	<b>\$14,345,738.47</b>	<b>\$7.84</b>		
			<b>FY 14 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>\$6.67</b>	<b>% CHANGE FROM FY 13</b>
			<b>FY 13 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>\$8.87</b>	<b>-24.8%</b>

#### 4) FY 14 SECTION 5311 TOTAL A/O COST PER VEHICLE MILE

Subgrantee	A + O Expended (Federal +Local)	Vehicle Miles	Cost Per Vehicle Mile	Subgrantee	Rank (lowest cost = best = 1)
Carlsbad, City of	\$580,096.36	258,288	\$2.25	Carlsbad, City of	10
Clovis, City of	\$752,900.20	212,838	\$3.54	Clovis, City of	15
Community Pantry	\$342,955.82	201,260	\$1.70	Community Pantry	4
Golden Spread	\$184,293.22	32,589	\$5.66	Golden Spread	19
Grant County - SWRTD	\$990,887.44	505,207	\$1.96	Grant County - SWRTD	8
Hatch Area Medical	\$261,432.40	334,227	\$0.78	Hatch Area Medical	1
Hobbs, City of	\$643,050.38	162,096	\$3.97	Hobbs, City of	18
Pueblo of Laguna	\$165,254.80	100,129	\$1.65	Pueblo of Laguna	3
Las Vegas, City of	\$228,353.36	62,850	\$3.63	Las Vegas, City of	17
Los Alamos County	\$2,047,957.22	700,633	\$2.92	Los Alamos County	13
Milan, Village of	\$294,581.47	142,524	\$2.07	Milan, Village of	9
Navajo Nation	\$667,947.47	366,881	\$1.82	Navajo Nation	6
NCRTD	\$2,318,613.66	924,703	\$2.51	NCRTD	11
Portales, City of	\$164,362.12	54,441	\$3.02	Portales, City of	13
Red River, Town of	\$146,263.62	24,386	\$6.00	Red River, Town of	21
Rio Metro RTD	\$1,773,909.70	302,368	\$5.87	Rio Metro RTD	20
Roswell, City of	\$1,197,090.40	394,316	\$3.04	Roswell, City of	14
Ruidoso Downs	\$115,550.84	62,238	\$1.86	Ruidoso Downs	7
Socorro, City of	\$177,950.94	104,072	\$1.71	Socorro, City of	5
Taos, Town of	\$458,842.61	175,388	\$2.62	Taos, Town of	12
Zia Therapy	\$833,444.44	507,453	\$1.64	Zia Therapy	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$14,345,738</b>	<b>5,628,887</b>	<b>\$2.55</b>		
			<b>FY 14 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>\$2.17</b>	<b>% CHANGE FROM FY 13</b>
			<b>FY 13 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>\$2.75</b>	<b>-21.1%</b>

## 5) RPO PRIORITIZATION OF FY 16 APPLICATIONS

APPLICANT	PRIORITIZATION	SCORE
Carlsbad, City of	HIGH	1
Clovis, City of	HIGH	1
Community Pantry	HIGH	1
Golden Spread	HIGH	1
Grant County - SWRTD	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Hatch Area Medical	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Hobbs, City of	HIGH	1
Pueblo of Laguna	HIGH	1
Las Vegas, City of	HIGH	1
Los Alamos County	HIGH	1
Milan, Village of	HIGH	1
Navajo Nation	-	6
NCRTD	HIGH	1
Portales, City of	HIGH	1
Red River, Town of	HIGH	1
Rio Metro RTD	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Roswell, City of	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Ruidoso Downs	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Socorro, City of	HIGH	1
Taos, Town of	HIGH	1
Zia Therapy	HIGH	1

Pts.	Rank
1	H=High
2	MH=Medium High
3	M=Medium
4	ML= Medium Low
5	L=Low
6	Not Present

## 6) PERCENT FY 14 ADMINISTRATIVE/OPERATING BUDGET EXPENDED

Subgrantee	Total A + O Budget (Federal + Local)	A + O Expended (Federal + Local)	Percentage Spent	Subgrantee	Rank (Highest Percentage = best = 1)
Carlsbad, City of	\$590,627.76	\$580,096.36	98.2%	Carlsbad, City of	6
Clovis, City of	\$806,175.62	\$752,900.20	93.4%	Clovis, City of	11
Community Pantry	\$622,805.00	\$342,955.82	55.1%	Community Pantry	21
Golden Spread	\$204,119.85	\$184,293.22	90.3%	Golden Spread	15
Grant County - SWRTD	\$1,114,844.00	\$990,887.44	88.9%	Grant County - SWRTD	17
Hatch Area Medical	\$264,199.00	\$261,432.40	99.0%	Hatch Area Medical	5
Hobbs, City of	\$643,217.27	\$643,050.38	100.0%	Hobbs, City of	1
Pueblo of Laguna	\$178,211.50	\$165,254.80	92.7%	Pueblo of Laguna	13
Las Vegas, City of	\$241,807.20	\$228,353.36	94.4%	Las Vegas, City of	9
Los Alamos County	\$2,048,314.97	\$2,047,957.22	100.0%	Los Alamos County	1
Milan, Village of	\$306,672.23	\$294,581.47	96.1%	Milan, Village of	8
Navajo Nation	\$681,325.88	\$667,947.47	98.0%	Navajo Nation	7
NCRTD	\$2,339,611.00	\$2,318,613.66	99.1%	NCRTD	4
Portales, City of	\$235,025.15	\$164,362.12	69.9%	Portales, City of	20
Red River, Town of	\$156,486.50	\$146,263.62	93.5%	Red River, Town of	10
Rio Metro RTD	\$1,908,017.02	\$1,773,909.70	93.0%	Rio Metro RTD	12
Roswell, City of	\$1,293,378.24	\$1,197,090.40	92.6%	Roswell, City of	14
Ruidoso Downs	\$129,625.00	\$115,550.84	89.1%	Ruidoso Downs	16
Socorro, City of	\$178,052.50	\$177,950.94	99.9%	Socorro, City of	3
Taos, Town of	\$604,104.44	\$458,842.61	76.0%	Taos, Town of	19
Zia Therapy	\$986,903.28	\$833,444.44	84.5%	Zia Therapy	18
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$15,533,523.41</b>	<b>\$14,345,738.47</b>	<b>92.4%</b>		
			<b>FY 14 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>92.8%</b>	<b>% CHANGE FROM FY 13</b>
			<b>FY 13 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>95.5%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>



**7) PERCENT OF TOTAL STATE SECTION 5311 RIDERSHIP FY 14, PERCENT OF TOTAL STATE SECTION 5311 ADMINISTRATIVE/OPERATING FY 14 AWARD RATIO**

Subgrantee	Ridership	Percentage of State Total Ridership	Subgrantee	Total A+O Budget	A/O Percentage of State Total	Percentage Ridership: Percentage Total Federal Award Ratio	Subgrantee	RANK
Carlsbad, City of	51,103	2.8%	Carlsbad, City of	\$388,208.09	3.6%	0.79	Carlsbad, City of	10
Clovis, City of	68,143	3.7%	Clovis, City of	\$668,877.24	6.1%	0.61	Clovis, City of	13
Community Pantry	104,120	5.7%	Community Pantry	\$497,744.54	4.6%	1.25	Community Pantry	3
Golden Spread	9,815	0.5%	Golden Spread	\$141,831.74	1.3%	0.41	Golden Spread	16
Grant County - SWRTD	109,581	6.0%	Grant County - SWRTD	\$805,994.69	7.4%	0.81	Grant County - SWRTD	9
Hatch Area Medical	16,188	0.9%	Hatch Area Medical	\$208,923.36	1.9%	0.46	Hatch Area Medical	15
Hobbs, City of	54,135	3.0%	Hobbs, City of	\$391,472.08	3.6%	0.83	Hobbs, City of	8
Pueblo of Laguna	6,390	0.3%	Pueblo of Laguna	\$136,076.02	1.2%	0.28	Pueblo of Laguna	19
Las Vegas, City of	16,260	0.9%	Las Vegas, City of	\$200,010.17	1.8%	0.49	Las Vegas, City of	14
Los Alamos County	526,296	28.8%	Los Alamos County	\$1,137,866.81	10.4%	2.76	Los Alamos County	1
Milan, Village of	41,147	2.2%	Milan, Village of	\$216,636.38	2.0%	1.13	Milan, Village of	6
Navajo Nation	67,232	3.7%	Navajo Nation	\$538,812.36	4.9%	0.75	Navajo Nation	11
NCRTD	208,695	11.4%	NCRTD	\$1,581,685.92	14.5%	0.79	NCRTD	10
Portales, City of	18,545	1.0%	Portales, City of	\$96,728.46	0.9%	1.15	Portales, City of	5
Red River, Town of	31,278	1.7%	Red River, Town of	\$130,387.97	1.2%	1.43	Red River, Town of	2
Rio Metro RTD	80,534	4.4%	Rio Metro RTD	\$1,258,821.60	11.5%	0.38	Rio Metro RTD	17
Roswell, City of	207,007	11.3%	Roswell, City of	\$1,019,002.55	9.3%	1.21	Roswell, City of	4
Ruidoso Downs	10,615	0.6%	Ruidoso Downs	\$203,154.73	1.9%	0.31	Ruidoso Downs	18
Socorro, City of	16,099	0.9%	Socorro, City of	\$142,944.00	1.3%	0.67	Socorro, City of	12
Taos, Town of	67,163	3.7%	Taos, Town of	\$485,463.89	4.4%	0.83	Taos, Town of	8
Zia Therapy	118,698	6.5%	Zia Therapy	\$673,872.96	6.2%	1.05	Zia Therapy	7
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,829,044</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$10,924,515.56</b>	<b>100.0%</b>			

## SECTION 5311 FUNDING DISTRIBUTION INDEX - FY 16

AGENCY	FY 14 Ridership	Admin - Op. (A/O) Ratio Based on FY 15 Award	Cost Per Passenger Trip Based on FY 14 Ridership and FY13 Expended Total A/O Budget	FY 14 Total A/O Cost per Vehicle Mile	FY 16 RPO Prioritization	Percent FY 14 A/O Federal Award Expended	% of Total State Ridership FY14: % of State Total FY14 Federal Section 5311 A/O Award Ratio	Total Points	Average Rank	NAMES Based on Alphabetical Order	RANK (lowest # = best =1) (some have same rank)	SUBGRANTEES Based on Rank – Highest Listed First	Average Rank	RANK
Carlsbad, City of	12	15	15	10	1	6	10	69	9.9	Carlsbad, City of	13	Los Alamos County	2.9	1
Clovis, City of	8	18	12	15	1	11	13	78	11.1	Clovis, City of	16	Community Pantry	6.4	2
Community Pantry	6	9	1	4	1	21	3	45	6.4	Community Pantry	2	Zia Therapy	6.6	3
Golden Spread	20	20	19	19	1	15	16	110	15.7	Golden Spread	21	Roswell, City of	6.7	4
Grant County - SWRTD	5	11	9	8	2	17	9	61	8.7	Grant County - SWRTD	9	NCRTD	7.9	5
Hatch Area Medical	17	4	18	1	2	5	15	62	8.9	Hatch Area Medical	10	Navajo Nation	8.0	6
Hobbs, City of	11	3	16	18	1	1	8	58	8.3	Hobbs, City of	7	Hobbs, City of	8.3	7
Pueblo of Laguna	21	5	21	3	1	13	19	83	11.9	Pueblo of Laguna	18	Milan, Village of	8.6	8
Las Vegas, City of	16	17	17	17	1	9	14	91	13.0	Las Vegas, City of	19	Grant County - SWRTD	8.7	9
Los Alamos County	1	1	2	13	1	1	1	20	2.9	Los Alamos County	1	Hatch Area Medical	8.9	10
Milan, Village of	13	16	7	9	1	8	6	60	8.6	Milan, Village of	8	Socorro, City of	8.9	10
Navajo Nation	9	7	10	6	6	7	11	56	8.0	Navajo Nation	6	Taos, Town of	9.6	12
NCRTD	2	13	14	11	1	4	10	55	7.9	NCRTD	5	Carlsbad, City of	9.9	13
Portales, City of	15	14	8	13	1	20	5	76	10.9	Portales, City of	15	Red River, Town of	10.3	14
Red River, Town of	14	21	3	21	1	10	2	72	10.3	Red River, Town of	14	Portales, City of	10.9	15
Rio Metro RTD	7	2	20	20	2	12	17	80	11.4	Rio Metro RTD	17	Clovis, City of	11.1	16
Roswell, City of	3	6	4	14	2	14	4	47	6.7	Roswell, City of	4	Rio Metro RTD	11.4	17
Ruidoso Downs	19	19	11	7	2	16	18	92	13.1	Ruidoso Downs	20	Pueblo of Laguna	11.9	18
Socorro, City of	18	10	13	5	1	3	12	62	8.9	Socorro, City of	10	Las Vegas, City of	13.0	19
Taos, Town of	10	12	5	12	1	19	8	67	9.6	Taos, Town of	12	Ruidoso Downs	13.1	20
Zia Therapy	4	8	6	2	1	18	7	46	6.6	Zia Therapy	3	Golden Spread	15.7	21

## SECTION 5311 FUNDING DISTRIBUTION INDEX - FY 16

RANK	SUBGRANTEES Based on Rank	RANK (lowest # - Best =1) (Some have same rank)	RANK AVERAGE	Potential Federal ADMIN Fund Increase	Potential Federal OPS Fund Increase
1	Los Alamos County	1	2.9	3%	7%
2	Community Pantry	2	6.4	3%	7%
3	Zia Therapy	3	6.6	3%	7%
4	Roswell, City of	4	6.7	3%	7%
5	NCRTD	5	7.9	3%	7%
6	Navajo Nation*	6	8.0	-	-
7	Milan, Village of	7	8.3	2%	4%
8	Hobbs, City of	8	8.6	2%	4%
9	Grant County - SWRTD	9	8.7	2%	4%
10	Hatch Area Medical	10	8.9	2%	4%
11	Socorro, City of	11	8.9	2%	4%
12	Carlsbad, City of	12	9.6	2%	4%
13	Taos, Town of	13	9.9	1%	2%
14	Red River, Town of	14	10.3	1%	2%
15	Rio Metro RTD	15	10.9	1%	2%
16	Portales, City of	16	11.1	1%	2%
17	Clovis, City of	17	11.4	1%	2%
18	Pueblo of Laguna	18	11.9	1%	2%
19	Las Vegas, City of	19	13.0	1%	2%
20	Ruidoso Downs	20	13.1	1%	2%
21	Golden Spread	21	15.7	1%	2%

\* Did not meet attendance requirement for RTPO Prioritization Meeting

**SECTION 5311 ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING  
BUDGETS RECOMMENDED AWARD FY 16**

Subgrantees	Administration (no D+A, for MOA)	Federal Share Administration	Local Share Administration	Net Operating	Federal Share Operating	Local Share Operating	Total Recommended	Total Federal	Total Local	RATIO - A to O
Carlsbad, City of	\$179,731.65	\$143,785.32	\$35,946.33	\$454,868.96	\$227,434.48	\$227,434.48	\$634,600.61	\$371,219.80	\$263,380.81	0.40
Clovis, City of	\$311,490.31	\$249,192.25	\$62,298.06	\$535,508.16	\$267,754.08	\$267,754.08	\$846,998.47	\$516,946.33	\$330,052.14	0.58
Community Pantry	\$169,550.00	\$135,640.00	\$33,910.00	\$460,700.00	\$230,350.00	\$230,350.00	\$630,250.00	\$365,990.00	\$264,260.00	0.37
Golden Spread	\$81,061.00	\$64,848.80	\$16,212.20	\$129,946.00	\$64,973.00	\$64,973.00	\$211,007.00	\$129,821.80	\$81,185.20	0.62
Grant County - SWRTD	\$312,370.00	\$249,896.00	\$62,474.00	\$874,600.00	\$437,300.00	\$437,300.00	\$1,186,970.00	\$687,196.00	\$499,774.00	0.36
Hatch Area Medical	\$110,732.50	\$88,586.00	\$22,146.50	\$237,600.00	\$118,800.00	\$118,800.00	\$348,332.50	\$207,386.00	\$140,946.50	0.47
Hobbs, City of	\$79,715.55	\$63,772.44	\$15,943.11	\$625,986.40	\$312,993.20	\$312,993.20	\$705,701.95	\$376,765.64	\$328,936.31	0.13
Pueblo of Laguna	\$29,795.00	\$23,836.00	\$5,959.00	\$153,193.80	\$76,596.90	\$76,596.90	\$182,988.80	\$100,432.90	\$82,555.90	0.19
Las Vegas, City of	\$90,411.41	\$72,329.13	\$18,082.28	\$166,880.16	\$83,440.08	\$83,440.08	\$257,291.57	\$155,769.21	\$101,522.36	0.54
Los Alamos County	\$189,253.49	\$151,402.79	\$37,850.70	\$2,800,181.44	\$1,400,090.72	\$1,400,090.72	\$2,989,434.93	\$1,551,493.51	\$1,437,941.42	0.07
Milan, Village of	\$96,798.00	\$77,438.40	\$19,359.60	\$182,688.48	\$91,344.24	\$91,344.24	\$279,486.48	\$168,782.64	\$110,703.84	0.53
Navajo Nation	\$134,051.25	\$107,241.00	\$26,810.25	\$597,706.00	\$298,853.00	\$298,853.00	\$731,757.25	\$406,094.00	\$325,663.25	0.22
NCRTD	\$671,269.03	\$537,015.22	\$134,253.81	\$2,047,136.84	\$1,023,568.42	\$1,023,568.42	\$2,718,405.87	\$1,560,583.64	\$1,157,822.23	0.33
Portales, City of	\$68,441.25	\$54,753.00	\$13,688.25	\$174,954.00	\$87,477.00	\$87,477.00	\$243,395.25	\$142,230.00	\$101,165.25	0.39
Red River, Town of	\$60,221.00	\$48,176.80	\$12,044.20	\$97,216.00	\$48,608.00	\$48,608.00	\$157,437.00	\$96,784.80	\$60,652.20	0.62
Rio Metro RTD	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,361,000.00	\$680,500.00	\$680,500.00	\$1,361,000.00	\$680,500.00	\$680,500.00	0.00
Roswell, City of	\$247,492.26	\$197,993.81	\$49,498.45	\$1,228,327.90	\$614,163.95	\$614,163.95	\$1,475,820.16	\$812,157.76	\$663,662.40	0.20
Ruidoso Downs	\$52,015.00	\$41,612.00	\$10,403.00	\$86,496.00	\$43,248.00	\$43,248.00	\$138,511.00	\$84,860.00	\$53,651.00	0.60
Socorro, City of	\$45,924.23	\$36,739.38	\$9,184.85	\$148,428.80	\$74,214.40	\$74,214.40	\$194,353.03	\$110,953.78	\$83,399.25	0.31
Taos, Town of	\$155,338.00	\$124,270.40	\$31,067.60	\$463,547.16	\$231,773.58	\$231,773.58	\$618,885.16	\$356,043.98	\$262,841.18	0.34
Zia Therapy	\$237,986.00	\$190,388.80	\$47,597.20	\$715,792.00	\$357,896.00	\$357,896.00	\$953,778.00	\$548,284.80	\$405,493.20	0.33
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,323,646.93</b>	<b>\$2,658,917.54</b>	<b>\$664,729.39</b>	<b>\$13,542,758.10</b>	<b>\$6,771,379.05</b>	<b>\$6,771,379.05</b>	<b>\$16,866,405.03</b>	<b>\$9,430,296.59</b>	<b>\$7,436,108.44</b>	

## FY 16 SECTION 5311 CAPITAL RECOMMENDED

Subgrantees	TOTAL CAPITAL	Federal Share at 80%	Local Share at 20%	Capital to Vendor at 80%	Capital to Subgrantee at 80%	Vehicles Total	Vehicle 80%	Capital Equipment Description
Carlsbad, City of	\$58,000.00	\$46,400.00	\$11,600.00	\$0.00	\$46,400.00	\$58,000.00	\$46,400.00	1- 12 Passenger Van with wheelchair lift and ramp. Total \$58,000
Clovis, City of	\$55,000.00	\$44,000.00	\$11,000.00	\$0.00	\$44,000.00	\$55,000.00	\$44,000.00	1- Passenger Van with two wheelchair stations. Total \$55,000
Community Pantry	\$68,333.00	\$54,666.40	\$13,666.60	\$54,666.40	\$0.00	\$68,333.00	\$54,666.40	1- El Dorado Aerotech. Total \$68,333
Golden Spread	\$41,750.00	\$33,400.00	\$8,350.00	\$33,400.00	\$0.00	\$41,750.00	\$33,400.00	1- 15 Passenger Van with wheelchair lift. Total \$41,750
Grant County - SWRTD	\$107,000.00	\$85,600.00	\$21,400.00	\$85,600.00	\$0.00	\$107,000.00	\$85,600.00	1- Passenger Bus. Total \$107,000
Hatch Area Medical								<b>NO CAPITAL REQUESTED</b>
Hobbs, City of	\$104,629.00	\$83,703.20	\$20,925.80	\$0.00	\$83,703.20	\$104,629.00	\$83,703.20	1 - Low Floor 18 Passenger Bus. Total \$104,629
Pueblo of Laguna	\$36,185.00	\$28,948.00	\$7,237.00	\$0.00	\$28,948.00	\$36,185.00	\$28,948.00	1 - 15 Passenger Bus with wheelchair lift. Total \$36,185
Las Vegas, City of	\$52,647.00	\$42,117.60	\$10,529.40	\$0.00	\$42,117.60	\$52,647.00	\$42,117.60	1- 15 Passenger Van with wheelchair lift and ramp. Total \$52,647.50
Los Alamos County	\$250,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$0.00	\$200,000.00	\$250,000.00	\$200,000.00	1 - Passenger Bus. Total \$250,000
Milan, Village of	\$55,000.00	\$44,000.00	\$11,000.00	\$44,000.00	\$0.00	\$55,000.00	\$44,000.00	1- Passenger Bus with logo. Total \$55,000
Navajo Nation	\$70,000.00	\$56,000.00	\$14,000.00	\$0.00	\$56,000.00	\$70,000.00	\$56,000.00	1 - 15 Passenger Van with wheelchair lift. Total \$70,000
NCRID	\$250,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$0.00	\$200,000.00	\$250,000.00	\$200,000.00	1 - Passenger Bus. Total \$250,000
Portales, City of								<b>NO CAPITAL REQUESTED</b>
Red River, Town of								<b>NO CAPITAL REQUESTED</b>
Rio Metro RTD	\$85,000.00	\$68,000.00	\$17,000.00	\$68,000.00	\$0.00	\$85,000.00	\$68,000.00	1 - 15 Passenger Bus with wheelchair lift. Total \$85,000
Roswell, City of	\$250,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$0.00	\$250,000.00	\$200,000.00	1- Passenger Bus. Total \$250,000
Ruidoso Downs								<b>NO CAPITAL REQUESTED</b>
Socorro, City of	\$61,950.00	\$49,560.00	\$12,390.00	\$49,560.00	\$0.00	\$61,950.00	\$49,560.00	1 - El Dorado National Amerivan PT Low Floor Mini Van (CNG) @ \$61,950
Taos, Town of								<b>NO CAPITAL REQUESTED</b>
Zia Therapy	\$138,000.00	\$110,400.00	\$27,600.00	\$110,400.00	\$0.00	\$138,000.00	\$110,400.00	1 - 23 Passenger Bus with ramp. Total \$138,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,683,494.00</b>	<b>\$1,346,795.20</b>	<b>\$336,698.80</b>	<b>\$645,626.40</b>	<b>\$701,168.80</b>	<b>\$1,683,494.00</b>	<b>\$1,346,795.20</b>	

## SECTION 5311 FY 2016 RECOMMENDATION HISTORY

Subgrantee	Recommended Vehicle(s) for FY 16	Lift/Ramp	2009 ARRA	2010	2011	2012	State of Good Repair (1st Round)	State of Good Repair (2nd Round)	2013	2014	2015	2016	Total Previous and Current Awards
Carlsbad, City of	1- 12 Passenger Van	Yes	5	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	9
Clovis, City of	1- Passenger Van	Yes	2	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	8
Community Pantry	1- El Dorado Aerotech	Yes	4	0	2	0	4	2	0	0	0	1	13
Golden Spread	1- 15 Passenger Van	Yes	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5
Grant County - SWRTD	1- Passenger Bus	Yes	2	1	1	1	3	1	0	1	1	1	12
Hatch Area Medical	No Capital Request		0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	3
Hobbs, City of	1 - Low Floor 18 Passenger Bus	Yes	2	0	1	0	3	1	0	0	1	1	9
Pueblo of Laguna	1 - 15 Passenger Bus	Yes	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	4
Las Vegas, City of	1- 9 Passenger Van	Yes	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	5
Los Alamos County	1- Passenger Bus	Yes	3	0	4	0	5	3	0	1	1	1	18
Milan, Village of	1- Passenger Bus	Yes	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	4
Navajo Nation	1- 15 Passenger Van	Yes	2	0	1	1	2	1	0	2	1	1	11
NCRID	1- Passenger Bus	Yes	0	0	1	1	13	7	0	1	1	1	25
Portales, City of	No Capital Request		2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	5
Red River, Town of	No Capital Request		3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
Rio Metro RTD	1 - 15 Passenger Bus	Yes	0	0	3	0	8	0	0	2	1	1	15
Roswell, City of	1- Passenger Bus	Yes	4	1	0	2	1	2	0	2	1	1	14
Ruidoso Downs	No Capital Request		3	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	7
Socorro, City of	1- Mini Van	Yes	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	7
Taos, Town of	No Capital Awarded		5	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	8
Zia Therapy	1- 23 Passenger Bus	Yes	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	8
<b>TOTAL</b>			44	2	20	6	50	21	2	17	16	16	194

VI. Finalizing FY 16 Budgets

- a. Memorandum of Agreements will be send out in early summer for signatures
- b. Start date: October 1, 2015
- c. End date: September 30, 2016
- d. Final line item budgets will be due back to NMDOT by September 30, 2015

VII. Other Issues

- a. FY 2017 Transit application process - Solicitation for Letters of Intent (§5310 and §5311) will go out in late May/early June 2015
- b. Private Non-profit procurement of vehicles
- c. Title VI
- d. NMSTARS.org – New Mexico State Transit Accounting and Ridership System - Update
- e. Rural National Transit Database
- f. Transit and Rail Division - Website: [http://dot.state.nm.us/content/nmdot/en/Transit\\_Rail.html](http://dot.state.nm.us/content/nmdot/en/Transit_Rail.html)
- g. Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE)
- h. Questions



## GENERAL APPLICATION AND PROGRAM YEAR TIMELINE SECTION 5310 and SECTION 5311

FFY15	FFY15	FFY15	FFY15	FFY15	FFY15	FFY15	FFY16	FFY16	FFY16	FFY16	FFY16	FFY16	FFY16	FFY16	FFY16	FFY16	FFY16	FFY16
Mar 2015	Apr 2015	May 2015	Jun 2015	Jul 2015	Aug 2015	Sept 2015	Oct 2015	Nov 2015	Dec 2015	Jan 2016	Feb 2016	Mar 2016	Apr 2016	May 2016	Jun 2016	Jul 2016	Aug 2016	Sept 2016
							<b>CLOSE OUT FY 15 GRANT</b>											
NMDOT will Review & Recomm Budgets		Statewide Budget Meeting followed by Award Letters for FY 16		NMDOT will develop and send out MOAs														
							<b>FY 2016 PROGRAM YEAR</b>											
		FY 17 - Open Application Process	Application Workshop at NMDOT			FY 17 Applications Due to NMDOT	RPO Meetings at RPO location	RPO Meetings at RPO location				NMDOT will Review & Recomm Budgets	NMDOT will conduct Statewide Budget Meeting	Subgrantee will receive Award Letters for FY 17	NMDOT will develop and send out MOAs			
		1. NMDOT will send out notice for Letters of Intent																
		2. Subgrantees will advertise intent in local newspaper																
		3. Subgrantee will prepare application																