

From: Rhonda Reeves <aocrjr@nmcourts.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2023 11:44 AM

To: Adams, Leann, NMDOT <Leann.Adams@dot.nm.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Written comment on replacement of 18.20.11 NMAC Rule

CAUTION: This email originated outside of our organization. Exercise caution prior to clicking on links or opening attachments.

Good morning,

Please find my written comment on the replacement of the rule below.

In review of the replacement of 18.20.11 NMAC Rule I agree that the update was long overdue. The concern to me would be the people who are currently supervised under companies or agencies who would no longer be in compliance and how that affects them and their compliance and/or sentence. As an employee of the courts who previously worked directly with these departments I believe there needs to be something that is provided along with the non compliance paperwork, showing when and how the equipment was authorized and checked for compliance. With that the Courts will have more accurate information and the defendants will have fairer results. In short; the new requirements are great, but what happens to the people already on the old system? What if their equipment doesn't meet these requirements? Is there something saying these people need to get them replaced?

Thank you,

Rhonda Reeves

Program/Project Coordinator

Court Operations Division Court Compliance Unit

333 Rio Rancho Blvd NE Ste 201

Rio Rancho, NM 87124

nmcompliance-grp@nmcourts.gov Phone: 855-268-7804 Fax: 505-896-2293