



New Mexico Department of Transportation  
Transit and Rail Division

**FY 2015 Statewide Transit  
Application/RPO Prioritization and  
Budget Award Recommendation Meeting**

MAY 8, 2014

10:00 AM - 12:00 PM

NMDOT, Transit and Rail Division, 1350 Alta Vista (SB4), 2nd floor

**AGENDA**  
FY 2015 Statewide Transit  
Application/RPO Prioritization and Preliminary Budget Award Recommendation Meeting  
May 8, 2014 – 10:00 AM – 12 PM  
NMDOT, Transit and Rail Division, 1350 Alta Vista (SB4), 2nd floor

- I. Welcome and Introductions
- II. Overview
- III. Section 5310 – Enhanced Mobility of Seniors & Individuals with Disabilities Transportation Services
  - a. Review of §5310 Budget Requests and Regional Prioritization
  - b. Budget Recommendation  
Questions and/or discussion
- IV. Section 5311 – Rural Public Transit
  - a. Review of §5311 Budget Requests and Regional Prioritization
  - b. Funding Distribution Index and Ranking
  - c. Budget Recommendation  
Questions and/or discussion
- V. State of Good Repair
  - a. Remaining Balances - First Round
  - b. Remaining Balances - Second Round
- VI. Next Steps To Finalize FY 15 Budgets
- VII. Other Issues
  - a. FY 2016 Transit application process
  - b. Private Non-profit procurement of vehicles
  - c. Section 5311 site visit process
  - d. Title VI
  - e. NMSTARS.org – New Mexico State Transit Accounting and Ridership System (UPDATE)
  - f. Rural National Transit Database
  - g. Transit and Rail Division - Website: [http://dot.state.nm.us/content/nmdot/en/Transit\\_Rail.html](http://dot.state.nm.us/content/nmdot/en/Transit_Rail.html)
  - h. Questions

**ESTIMATED FEDERAL TRANSIT GRANT BALANCES  
FY 2015**

Grant Type	Requests for Federal Funds	Availability of Federal Funds	Federal Funds Recommended	Balance of Federal Funds
Section 5310 - Total Federal	\$1,733,635.20	\$2,942,508.11	\$1,488,136.00	\$1,454,372.11
-Section 5310 (Capital) - Rural/Small Urban	\$824,384.80	\$1,756,952.11	\$794,885.60	\$962,066.51
-Section 5310 - Albuquerque	\$909,250.40	\$1,185,556.00	\$693,250.40	\$492,305.60
Section 5311- Total Federal	\$15,880,056.45	\$12,545,733.85	\$10,949,753.80	\$1,595,980.05
-Section 5311 Administration/Operating	\$11,011,058.50	\$10,199,199.20	\$9,286,589.00	\$912,610.20
-Capital (Sections 5339 and 5311)	\$4,868,997.95	\$2,346,534.65	\$1,663,164.80	\$683,369.85
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$17,613,691.65</b>	<b>\$15,488,241.96</b>	<b>\$12,437,889.80</b>	<b>\$3,050,352.16</b>

# SECTION 5310

## FY 15 SECTION 5310 FUNDS REQUESTED

### RURAL - FY 15 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTED

Subgrantee	City	Total Capital	Federal Capital 80%	Local Capital 20%	Total Federal & Local	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description
Los Alamos County	Los Alamos	\$40,000.00	\$32,000.00	\$8,000.00				(1) Paratransit Mini Van @ \$40,000. TOTAL \$40,000.00
Santa Clara Pueblo Senior Center	Española	\$153,996.00	\$123,196.80	\$30,799.20				(1) Large Passenger Bus. TOTAL 153,996.00
Pueblo of Isleta	Isleta	\$185,000.00	\$148,000.00	\$37,000.00				(1) 36 Passenger Bus with 2w/c @ \$134,000, radios and base stations @ \$15,000, (1) Passenger van without w/c @ \$36,000. TOTAL \$185,000.00
Zia Therapy	Alamogordo	\$58,000.00	\$46,400.00	\$11,600.00				(1) 13 Passenger Bus with w/c lift. TOTAL \$58,000.00
Empowerment, Inc.	Gallup	\$106,143.00	\$84,914.40	\$21,228.60				(1) Mini van with w/c lift @ \$32,394.70, (2) vans with w/c lift @ \$36,874 each. TOTAL \$106,143.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$543,139.00</b>	<b>\$434,511.20</b>	<b>\$108,627.80</b>				

### ALBUQUERQUE - FY 15 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL/OPERATING BUDGET REQUESTED

Subgrantee	City	Total Capital	Federal Capital 80%	Local Capital 20%	Total Federal & Local	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description			
Adelante	Albuquerque	\$260,544.00	\$208,435.20	\$52,108.80				(6) 10 Passenger vans with w/c lift @ 4\$3,424. TOTAL \$260,544.00			
ARCA	Albuquerque	\$167,284.00	\$133,827.20	\$33,456.80				\$125,976.00	\$62,988.00	\$62,988.00	(4) Eldorado National Mini vans @ \$36,951 each and Capital for Smartphones @ \$19,480. TOTAL \$167,284.00.
Rio Metro RTD	Albuquerque	\$630,000.00	\$504,000.00	\$126,000.00							(7) Cut-way vans @ \$90,000 each. TOTAL \$630,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$1,057,828.00</b>	<b>\$846,262.40</b>	<b>\$211,565.60</b>				<b>\$1,183,804.00</b>	<b>\$909,250.40</b>	<b>\$274,553.60</b>	

### SMALL URBAN - FY 15 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTED

Subgrantee	City	Total Capital	Federal Capital 80%	Local Capital 20%	Total Federal & Local	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description
PMS - San Juan County	Farmington	\$158,706.00	\$126,964.80	\$31,741.20				(2) 8+2 w/c Paratransit Vans @ \$39,716.50 each and (2) 10+1 w/c Paratransit Van \$39,636 each. TOTAL \$158,706.00
PMS - Santa Fe County	Santa Fe	\$39,636.00	\$31,708.80	\$7,927.20				(1) 10+1 w/c Paratransit Van @ \$36,636. TOTAL \$36,636.00
Progressive Residential Services	Las Cruces	\$76,000.00	\$60,800.00	\$15,200.00				(1) Mini Van @ \$37,000 and (1) 15-Passenger Van @ \$39,000. TOTAL \$76,000.00
Tresco, Inc	Las Cruces	\$213,000.00	\$170,400.00	\$42,600.00				(3) Low Floor vans @ \$34,521 each and (3) ADA Compliant High Top Vans @ \$36,479 each. TOTAL \$213,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$487,342.00</b>	<b>\$389,873.60</b>	<b>\$97,468.40</b>				

### ALBUQUERQUE, RURAL, AND SMALL URBAN - FY 15 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL/OPERATING BUDGET REQUESTED

	Total Federal & Local Capital	Federal Capital 80%	Local Capital 20%	Total Federal & Local Operating	Federal Operating 50%	Local Operating 50%	Total Capital & Operating - Federal and Local
	\$2,088,309.00	\$1,670,647.20	\$417,661.80	\$125,976.00	\$62,988.00	\$62,988.00	<b>\$2,214,285.00</b>

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY  
REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS

FISCAL YEAR 2015  
FOR 49 U.S.C. §5310 APPLICATIONS ONLY

**§5310: Enhanced Mobility of Seniors & Individuals with Disabilities Transportation Services**

1. Planning and Coordination:

- Does Applicant coordinate services with other disabled and elderly providers?
- Do they have a plan for anticipated disabled and elderly growth in the community?
- Is the project included in the RPO/MPO Coordinated Public Transit Human Services Transportation Plan?

2. Regional Need and Justification:

- Does applicant serve regional market demands?
- Is there a clear and defined need for this service?

3. Maintenance, Safety, and ADA:

- Does the applicant have comprehensive maintenance plan?
- Does the applicant have someone trained to recognize maintenance concerns?
- Are applicant's drivers trained in passenger safety and sensitivity?  
in wheelchair lift operations?

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY  
REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS

FISCAL YEAR 2015  
FOR 49 U.S.C. §5310 APPLICATIONS ONLY

Name of Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_

**§5310: Enhanced Mobility of Seniors & Individuals with Disabilities Transportation Services**

Please circle score as applicable:

1. Planning and Coordination:                   HIGH  
  Medium High  
  MEDIUM  
  Medium Low  
  LOW

2. Regional Need and Justification:   HIGH  
  Medium High  
  MEDIUM  
  Medium Low  
  LOW

3. Maintenance, Safety, and ADA:       HIGH  
  Medium High  
  MEDIUM  
  Medium Low  
  LOW

## SECTION 5310 RPO PRIORITIZATION

**FY 15**

Program	Applicant	RPO	Prioritization
5310	Adelante Development Center, Inc.	MRRPO	MEDIUM
5310	ARCA	MRRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	Los Alamos County	NPRTPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	Pueblo of Isleta	MRRPO	HIGH
5310	PMS - San Juan County	NWRTPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	PMS - Santa Fe	NPRTPO	HIGH
5310	Progressive Residential Services	SCRTPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	Rio Metro RTD	MRRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	Santa Clara Pueblo Senior Center	NPRTPO	HIGH
5310	Tresco	SCRTPO	HIGH
5310	ZEE Inc. / Empowerment Inc.	NWRTPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	Zia Therapy	SERTPO	HIGH



**FY 15 SECTION 5310 BUDGET RECOMMENDATION**

**RURAL - FY 15 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDED**

Subgrantee	City	Total	Federal 80%	Local 20%	Total Operating	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description
Los Alamos County	Los Alamos	\$40,000.00	\$32,000.00	\$8,000.00				(1) Paratransit Mini Van @ \$40,000. TOTAL \$40,000.00
Santa Clara Pueblo	Espanola	\$153,996.00	\$123,196.80	\$30,799.20				(1) Large Passenger Bus. TOTAL 153,996.00
Pueblo of Isleta	Albuquerque	\$185,000.00	\$148,000.00	\$37,000.00				(1) 36 Passenger Bus with 2w/c @ \$134,000, radios and base stations @ \$15,000, (1) Passenger van without w/c @ \$36,000. TOTAL \$185,000.00
Zia Therapy	Alamogordo	\$58,000.00	\$46,400.00	\$11,600.00				(1) 13 Passenger Bus with w/c lift. TOTAL \$58,000.00
Empowerment, Inc.	Gallup	\$69,269.00	\$55,415.20	\$13,853.80				(1) mini van with w/c lift @ \$32,395.00 & (1) van with w/c lift @ \$36,874.00. TOTAL \$69,269.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$506,265.00</b>	<b>\$405,012.00</b>	<b>\$101,253.00</b>				

**ALBUQUERQUE - FY 15 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL/OPERATING BUDGET RECOMMENDED**

Subgrantee	City	Total Capital	Federal 80%	Local 20%	Total Operating	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment & Operating Description
Adelante	Albuquerque	\$260,544.00	\$208,435.20	\$52,108.80				(6) 10 Passenger vans with w/c lift @ 4\$3,424. TOTAL \$260,544.00
ARCA	Albuquerque	\$167,284.00	\$133,827.20	\$33,456.80	\$125,976.00	\$62,988.00	\$62,988.00	(4) Eldorado National Mini vans @ \$36,951 each and Capital for Smartphones @ \$19,480. TOTAL \$167,284.00.
Rio Metro RTD	Albuquerque	\$360,000.00	\$288,000.00	\$72,000.00				(4) Cut-way vans @ \$90,000 each. TOTAL \$360,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$787,828.00</b>	<b>\$630,262.40</b>	<b>\$157,565.60</b>	<b>\$125,976.00</b>	<b>\$62,988.00</b>	<b>\$62,988.00</b>	
		<b>Total Capital and Operating</b>	<b>Total Federal Capital and Operating</b>	<b>Total Local Capital and Operating</b>				
		<b>\$913,804.00</b>	<b>\$693,250.40</b>	<b>\$220,553.60</b>				

**SMALL URBAN - FY 15 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDED**

Subgrantee	City	Total	Federal 80%	Local 20%	Total Operating	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description
PMS - San Juan County	Farmington	\$158,706.00	\$126,964.80	\$31,741.20				(2) 8+2 w/c Paratransit Vans @ \$39,716.50 each and (2) 10+1 w/c Paratransit Van @ \$39,636 each. TOTAL \$158,706.00
PMS - Santa Fe County	Santa Fe	\$39,636.00	\$31,708.80	\$7,927.20				(1) 10+1 w/c Paratransit Van @ \$36,636. TOTAL \$39,636.00
Progressive Residential Services	Las Cruces	\$76,000.00	\$60,800.00	\$15,200.00				(1) Mini Van @ \$37,000 and (1) 15-Passenger Van @ \$39,000. TOTAL \$76,000.00
Tresco, Inc	Las Cruces	\$213,000.00	\$170,400.00	\$42,600.00				(3) Low Floor vans @ \$34,521 each and (3) ADA Compliant High Top Vans @ \$36,479 each. TOTAL \$213,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$487,342.00</b>	<b>\$389,873.60</b>	<b>\$97,468.40</b>				

**ALBUQUERQUE, RURAL, AND SMALL URBAN - FY 15 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDED**

	Total Capital	Federal 80%	Local 20%	Total Operating	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Total Capital and Operating
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,781,435.00</b>	<b>\$1,425,148.00</b>	<b>\$356,287.00</b>	<b>\$125,976.00</b>	<b>\$62,988.00</b>	<b>\$62,988.00</b>	<b>\$1,907,411.00</b>

## SECTION 5310 RECOMMENDATION HISTORY FY 2015

Program Applicants	City	Vehicles	Lift / ramp	Other Request	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total Current and Previous Awards
Adelante	Albuquerque	(6) 10 Passenger vans	X		4	2	2	6	2	4	6	6	3	6	8	6	57
ARCA	Albuquerque	(4) Mini van	X	Smartphones and training for smartphones					2	3	2	2	3	3	4	4	23
Empowerment, Inc.	Gallup	(1) Mini Van & (1) Van	X													2	2
Los Alamos County	Los Alamos	(1) Paratransit Mini Van	X									1	0	1	0	1	3
PMS - San Juan County	Farmington	(2) 8+2 Paratransit Vans & (2) 10+1 Paratransit Van	X												3	4	7
PMS - Santa Fe County	Santa Fe	(1) 10+1 Paratransit Van	X												1	1	2
Progressive Residential Services	Las Cruces	(1) Mini Van & (1) 15-Passenger Van	X													2	2
Pueblo of Isleta	Isleta	(1) 36 Passenger Bus & (1) Passenger	X											3	2	2	7
Rio Metro RTD	Albuquerque	(7) Cut- away vans	X												3	4	7
Santa Clara Pueblo Senior Center	Española	(1) Large Passenger Bus	X													1	1
Tresco Inc.	Las Cruces	(3) Low Floor vans & (3) ADA Compliant High Top Vans	X		0	1	0	2	2	2	2	6	3	4	6	6	35
Zia Therapy	Alamogordo	(1) 13 Passenger Bus	X							1	1	1	1	2	0	1	7
<b>TOTAL</b>					<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>178</b>

# SECTION 5311

REQUESTED - FY 15 SECTION 5311 ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING BUDGET										RATIO
Subgrantees	Total Administration (w/ D+A)	Federal Share Administration	Local Share Administration	Total Net Operating	Federal Share Operating	Local Share Operating	Total Requests	Total Federal	Total Local	RATIO - ADMIN to OP
Ben Archer	\$65,461.00	\$52,368.80	\$13,092.20	\$205,600.00	\$102,800.00	\$102,800.00	\$271,061.00	\$155,168.80	\$115,892.20	0.32
Carlsbad, City of	\$181,113.00	\$144,890.40	\$36,222.60	\$445,028.00	\$222,514.00	\$222,514.00	\$626,141.00	\$367,404.40	\$258,736.60	0.41
Clovis, City of	\$336,913.00	\$269,530.40	\$67,382.60	\$551,823.00	\$275,911.50	\$275,911.50	\$888,736.00	\$545,441.90	\$343,294.10	0.61
Gallup, City of	\$153,000.00	\$122,400.00	\$30,600.00	\$542,600.00	\$271,300.00	\$271,300.00	\$695,600.00	\$393,700.00	\$301,900.00	0.28
Golden Spread	\$103,174.00	\$82,539.20	\$20,634.80	\$146,109.00	\$73,054.50	\$73,054.50	\$249,283.00	\$155,593.70	\$93,689.30	0.71
Hobbs, City of	\$119,300.00	\$95,440.00	\$23,860.00	\$680,900.00	\$340,450.00	\$340,450.00	\$800,200.00	\$435,890.00	\$364,310.00	0.18
Pueblo of Laguna	\$39,016.00	\$31,212.80	\$7,803.20	\$193,515.00	\$96,757.50	\$96,757.50	\$232,531.00	\$127,970.30	\$104,560.70	0.20
Las Vegas, City of	\$108,386.00	\$86,708.80	\$21,677.20	\$194,099.00	\$97,049.50	\$97,049.50	\$302,485.00	\$183,758.30	\$118,726.70	0.56
Los Alamos County	\$194,910.00	\$155,928.00	\$38,982.00	\$3,181,720.00	\$1,590,860.00	\$1,590,860.00	\$3,376,630.00	\$1,746,788.00	\$1,629,842.00	0.06
Milan, Village of	\$94,900.00	\$75,920.00	\$18,980.00	\$325,500.00	\$162,750.00	\$162,750.00	\$420,400.00	\$238,670.00	\$181,730.00	0.29
Navajo Nation	\$161,125.00	\$128,900.00	\$32,225.00	\$669,022.00	\$334,511.00	\$334,511.00	\$830,147.00	\$463,411.00	\$366,736.00	0.24
NCRID	\$751,982.00	\$601,585.60	\$150,396.40	\$2,125,790.00	\$1,062,895.00	\$1,062,895.00	\$2,877,772.00	\$1,664,480.60	\$1,213,291.40	0.35
Ohkay Owingeh	\$71,983.00	\$57,586.40	\$14,396.60	\$147,859.00	\$73,929.50	\$73,929.50	\$219,842.00	\$131,515.90	\$88,326.10	0.49
Portales, City of	\$101,499.00	\$81,199.20	\$20,299.80	\$207,848.00	\$103,924.00	\$103,924.00	\$309,347.00	\$185,123.20	\$124,223.80	0.49
Red River, Town of	\$67,853.00	\$54,282.40	\$13,570.60	\$96,082.00	\$48,041.00	\$48,041.00	\$163,935.00	\$102,323.40	\$61,611.60	0.71
Rio Metro RTD	\$141,000.00	\$112,800.00	\$28,200.00	\$1,350,000.00	\$675,000.00	\$675,000.00	\$1,491,000.00	\$787,800.00	\$703,200.00	0.10
Roswell, City of	\$290,774.00	\$232,619.20	\$58,154.80	\$1,275,189.00	\$637,594.50	\$637,594.50	\$1,565,963.00	\$870,213.70	\$695,749.30	0.23
Ruidoso Downs	\$102,595.00	\$82,076.00	\$20,519.00	\$148,075.00	\$74,037.50	\$74,037.50	\$250,670.00	\$156,113.50	\$94,556.50	0.69
SCRID	\$390,900.00	\$312,720.00	\$78,180.00	\$459,000.00	\$229,500.00	\$229,500.00	\$849,900.00	\$542,220.00	\$307,680.00	0.85
Socorro, City of	\$79,312.00	\$63,449.60	\$15,862.40	\$156,850.00	\$78,425.00	\$78,425.00	\$236,162.00	\$141,874.60	\$94,287.40	0.51
SWRID	\$314,760.00	\$251,808.00	\$62,952.00	\$867,517.00	\$433,758.50	\$433,758.50	\$1,182,277.00	\$685,566.50	\$496,710.50	0.36
Taos, Town of	\$153,800.00	\$123,040.00	\$30,760.00	\$454,458.00	\$227,229.00	\$227,229.00	\$608,258.00	\$350,269.00	\$257,989.00	0.34
Zia Therapy	\$218,894.00	\$175,115.20	\$43,778.80	\$809,293.00	\$404,646.50	\$404,646.50	\$1,028,187.00	\$579,761.70	\$448,425.30	0.27
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$4,242,650.00</b>	<b>\$3,394,120.00</b>	<b>\$848,530.00</b>	<b>\$15,233,877.00</b>	<b>\$7,616,938.50</b>	<b>\$7,616,938.50</b>	<b>\$19,476,527.00</b>	<b>\$11,011,058.50</b>	<b>\$8,465,468.50</b>	<b>0.28</b>

## FY 15 SECTION 5311 CAPITAL REQUEST

Subgrantees	TOTAL CAPITAL	Federal Share at 80%	Local Share at 20%	Capital to Vendor at 80%	Capital to Subgrantee at 80%	Vehicles Total	Vehicle 80%	Capital Equipment Description
Ben Archer								<b>NO CAPITAL REQUESTED</b>
Carlsbad	\$61,500.00	\$49,200.00	\$12,300.00	\$0.00	\$49,200.00	\$54,000.00	\$43,200.00	Surveillance System -\$7,500.00 (1) Passenger bus \$54,000.00. Total \$61,500.00
Clovis	\$14,500.00	\$11,600.00	\$2,900.00	\$0.00	\$14,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Computers \$7,500.00, Other software \$7,000.00 Total \$14,500.00
Gallup	\$2,400.00	\$1,920.00	\$480.00	\$0.00	\$1,920.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Computers \$1,400.00, Benches/Signage \$1,000.00. Total \$2,400.00
Golden Spread	\$92,381.00	\$73,904.80	\$18,476.20	\$73,904.80	\$0.00	\$92,381.00	\$73,904.80	(1) 12-Passenger Bus with w/c , (1) 6-Passenger Van (W/Lift)
Hobbs	\$139,000.00	\$111,200.00	\$27,800.00	\$0.00	\$111,200.00	\$139,000.00	\$111,200.00	(3) Computers @ \$1,000.00 each, total \$3,000, (2) 18-Passenger Vehicles with w/c lift @ \$68,000.00 each. Total \$139,000.00
Laguna Pueblo	\$3,000.00	\$2,400.00	\$600.00		\$2,400.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Benches/Signage. Total \$3,000.00
Las Vegas	\$52,647.00	\$42,117.60	\$10,529.40	\$42,117.60	\$0.00	\$52,647.00	\$42,117.60	(1) 15-Passenger Van with w/c lift -- Price Should Be Checked-- Showing Significant Price Decrease From FY14. Total \$52,647.00
Los Alamos Co.	\$1,841,000.00	\$1,472,800.00	\$368,200.00	\$0.00	\$1,472,800.00	\$1,821,000.00	\$1,456,800.00	(3) Large fixed Route Buses @ \$295,000.00 each, (2) Large Tripper Buses @ \$468,000.00 each, Benches and signs \$20,000.00. Total \$1,841,000.00
Milan, Village of	\$148,650.00	\$118,920.00	\$29,730.00	\$118,920.00	\$0.00	\$148,650.00	\$118,920.00	(3) El Dorado Aerolite Buses with w/c lift @ \$49,550.00 each. Total \$148,650.00
Navajo Nation	\$785,000.00	\$628,000.00	\$157,000.00		\$628,000.00	\$650,000.00	\$520,000.00	(6) Computers @ \$2,500 total \$15,000, (1) Motor Coach Bus @ \$650,000, Radios @ \$10,000 total \$30,000, Surveillance System @ \$45,000, Mobile Radios \$5,000, and Shop equipment @ \$40,000. TOTAL \$785,000.00
North Central RTD	\$1,129,329.00	\$903,463.20	\$225,865.80		\$903,461.00		\$430,400.00	(1) 12-Passenger Van, (1) Cargo Van, (1) 25- Passenger Bus, (3) 13-Passenger Buses, Total vehicles total \$538,000.00. (1) Fueling facility \$112,326.00, (1) Satellite facility to store vehicle \$75,000.00, Bus shelter \$60,000.00 and (1) Skid loader \$54,000.00. Total \$1,129,329.00
Ohkay Owingeh								<b>NO CAPITAL REQUESTED</b>
Portales	\$65,000.00	\$52,000.00	\$13,000.00	\$0.00	\$52,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$48,000.00	(2) Radios, (1) Base Station - \$5,000.00 (1) Senator Paratransit Bus @ \$60,000.00. Total \$65,000.00
Red River	\$55,865.00	\$44,692.00	\$11,173.00	\$55,865.00	\$0.00	\$55,865.00	\$44,692.00	(1) Passenger Bus. Total \$55,865.00
Rio Metro RTD	\$270,000.00	\$216,000.00	\$54,000.00	\$216,000.00	\$54,000.00	\$270,000.00	\$216,000.00	(3) 15-Passenger Van with w/c lift @ \$90,000. Total \$270,000.00
Roswell	\$200,000.00	\$160,000.00	\$40,000.00	\$0.00	\$160,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$160,000.00	(2) Buses Total \$100,000.00 each. Total \$200,000.00
Ruidoso Downs	\$57,318.00	\$45,854.40	\$11,463.60	\$44,574.00	\$1,280.00	\$55,718.00	\$44,574.40	(1) Allstar 22' - 12-Passenger van w/lift \$55,718.00, Two-way mounted radios, and office computers \$1,600.00. Total \$57,318.00
SCRTD	\$617,000.00	\$493,600.00	\$123,400.00	\$0.00	\$617,000.00	\$490,000.00	\$392,000.00	(7) Cut a-way Buses @ \$70,000 each, (7) computers, (7) office furniture, (7) radios and (1) Base Station, (25) Bus Shelters, (100) Bus signs, 25 Bus benches Total \$617,000.00
Socorro, City of	\$97,000.00	\$77,600.00	\$19,400.00	\$0.00	\$77,600.00	\$90,000.00	\$72,000.00	(1) 16-Passenger Bus \$90,000.00, Fiber optic installation \$5,000.00, Computers/copier \$2,000.00 Total 97,000.00
South West RTD	\$220,457.44	\$176,365.95	\$44,091.49	\$167,405.95	\$8,960.00	\$209,257.44	\$167,405.95	(2) 12-Passenger Bus with 2 w/c light duty low floor buses,
Taos, Town of	\$98,000.00	\$78,400.00	\$19,600.00	\$0.00	\$78,400.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Bus Shelters. Total \$98,000.00
Zia Therapy	\$136,200.00	\$108,960.00	\$27,240.00	\$104,000.00	\$4,960.00	\$130,000.00	\$104,000.00	(7) 30" Computer monitors at \$600.00 each, (1) laptop computer @ \$1,000.00, Office furniture \$1,000.00 and (1) Arboc Bus \$130,000.00. Total 136,200.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$6,086,247.44</b>	<b>\$4,868,997.95</b>	<b>\$1,217,249.49</b>	<b>\$822,787.35</b>	<b>\$4,237,681.00</b>	<b>\$4,518,518.44</b>	<b>\$4,045,214.75</b>	

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS

FOR 49 U.S.C. §5311 APPLICATIONS ONLY

FY 2015

**§5311: Rural Public Transit**

1. Rural Public Transportation Planning and Regional Coordination:

- Does the applicant have a short/long term transit plan?
- Is this plan adopted by the applicants governing body?
- Is there coordination with other transit systems and other modes of transportation, including tribal entities?
- Is ongoing funding part of the plan?
- Has a formal process been established for public input?

2. Regional Need and Justification for the System:

- Does a regional transit/transportation plan exist that includes this service? If not, what is the basis for the need for this service?
- Does a regional need exist to serve the demand in this area?
- How strong is the need to continue/begin this system?

3. Level of marketing of the Transit System - Public Served (ridership):

- Does the transit system have a marketing plan?
- Has it been implemented?
- Is there a clear direction to increase ridership?  
Including paratransit?

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY REGIONAL PLANNING  
ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS  
FOR 49 U.S.C. §5311 APPLICATIONS ONLY FY 2015

Name of Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_

1. Rural Public Transportation Planning and Regional Coordination:

HIGH

Medium high

MEDIUM

Medium Low

LOW

2. Regional Need and Justification for the System:

HIGH

Medium high

MEDIUM

Medium Low

LOW

3. Level of marketing of the Transit System - Public Served (ridership):

HIGH

Medium high

MEDIUM

Medium Low

LOW

## FY 15 SECTION 5311 APPLICATION RPO PRIORITIZATION

<b>Program</b>	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>RPO</b>	<b>Prioritization</b>
5311	Ben Archer Health Center	SCRPO	Medium High
5311	City of Carlsbad (Carlsbad Municipal Transit)	SERPO	High
5311	City of Clovis (Clovis Area Transit System)	SERPO	High
5311	City of Gallup	NWRPO	Medium High
5311	Golden Spread Coalition Rural Frontier Coalition	NERPO	High
5311	City of Hobbs	SERPO	High
5311	Pueblo of Laguna	NWRPO	Medium High
5311	City of Las Vegas (Meadow City Express)	NERPO	High
5311	Los Alamos County (Atomic City Transit)	NPRPO	Medium High
5311	Village of Milan (Cibola Area Transit System)	NWRPO	High
5311	Navajo Nation	NWRPO	Medium High
5311	NCRTD (North Central Regional Transit District)	NPRPO	High
5311	Ohkay Owingeh	NPRPO	High
5311	City of Portales	SERPO	High
5311	Town of Red River	NPRPO	Medium High
5311	RMRTD (Rio Metro Regional Transit District)	MRRPO	Medium High
5311	City of Roswell (Pecos Trails Transit)	SERPO	Medium High
5311	City of Ruidoso Downs	SERPO	Medium High
5311	South Central Regional Transit District	SCRPO	Medium High
5311	City of Socorro	SCRPO	High
5311	SWRTD (Southwest Regional Transit District)	SWRPO	High
5311	Town of Taos	NPRPO	High
5311	Zia Therapy Center, Inc.	SERPO	High



## SECTION 5311 FUNDING DISTRIBUTION INDEX

### **Individual Performance Indicators:**

1. FFY 2013 §5311 Ridership
2. Total Administration/Operations (A/O) Ratio Based on FFY 14 Award
3. Cost Per Passenger Trip Based on FFY 2013 Ridership and FFY 2013 Expended Total A/O Budget
4. FFY 2013 Total A/O Cost Per Vehicle Mile
5. FFY 2015 Regional Planning Organization Prioritization
6. Percent FFY 2013 A/O Federal Award Expended
7. Percent of Total State §5311 Ridership FFY 2013: Percent of State Total FFY 2013 Federal §5311 A/O Award Ratio
  - In early March 2014, Rural Transit Providers were sent their individual data to review and provide comments.
  - After validating the data, transit providers were ranked in each performance indicator and the ranks were added up to create a composite §5311 Transit Funding Index Rank.
  - The §5311 Transit Funding Index Rank will then place the transit providers into groups that reflect their performance.

- Funding decisions were based on available funding, and an applicant's Transit Funding Index Rank.
- Apart from the final §5311 Transit Funding Index Rank, all providers were targeted at a maximum Administration/Operations ratio (A:O ratio) based on the size of their respective FY 2013 vehicle fleets **regardless of funding source for the vehicles.**
  - ❖ Five transit vehicles or less: Maximum A:O ratio of 0.80
  - ❖ Six to 10 transit vehicles: Maximum A:O ratio of 0.75
  - ❖ 11 to 20 transit vehicles: Maximum A:O ratio of 0.70
  - ❖ 21 to 30 transit vehicles: Maximum A:O ratio of 0.65
  - ❖ 31 transit vehicles or more: Maximum A:O ratio of 0.60
- All providers receiving a total federal administration and operating award over \$500,000, but less than \$1,000,000 will be limited to a maximum A:O ratio of 0.6. Providers receiving a total federal administration and operating award of \$1,000,000 or more will be limited to a maximum A:O ratio of 0.5.
- In order to conserve federal transit grant funding, rural transit agencies will no longer be able to utilize Capital Cost of Contracting as a funding mechanism through NMDOT's Transit and Rail Division.
- Subgrantees with incomplete data for the Transit Funding Index Rank will be held at a flat budget and a vehicle fleet size appropriate A:O ratio (i.e., the program started in FFY 2014).

# 1) FY 13 RIDERSHIP

Subgrantee	Ridership	Subgrantee	Rank (1 = Highest)
Ben Archer	18,023	Ben Archer	17
Carlsbad, City of	46,744	Carlsbad, City of	11
Clovis, City of	65,180	Clovis, City of	10
Gallup, City of	66,527	Gallup, City of	9
Golden Spread	10,791	Golden Spread	21
Hobbs, City of	43,203	Hobbs, City of	12
Pueblo of Laguna	8,384	Pueblo of Laguna	22
Las Vegas, City of	16,552	Las Vegas, City of	18
Los Alamos County	398,663	Los Alamos County	1
Milan, Village of	38,614	Milan, Village of	13
Navajo Nation	78,526	Navajo Nation	7
NCRTD	198,684	NCRTD	3
Ohkay Owingeh	12,808	Ohkay Owingeh	20
Portales, City of	19,959	Portales, City of	16
Red River, Town of	29,009	Red River, Town of	15
Rio Metro RTD	98,750	Rio Metro RTD	6
Roswell, City of	215,847	Roswell, City of	2
Ruidoso Downs	29,873	Ruidoso Downs	14
SCRTD	n/a	SCRTD	n/a
Socorro, City of	15,864	Socorro, City of	19
SWRTD	108,834	SWRTD	4
Taos, Town of	72,290	Taos, Town of	8
Zia Therapy	106,614	Zia Therapy	5
<b>FY 13 TOTAL</b>	<b>1,699,739</b>	<b>% CHANGE FROM FY 12</b>	
<b>FY 12 TOTAL</b>	<b>1,693,110</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	

## 2) ADMINISTRATIVE/OPERATING (A/O) RATIO BASED ON FY 14 AWARD

Subgrantee	Administrative (Federal & Local)	Operating (Federal & Local)	Ratio – A to O	Subgrantee	Rank
Ben Archer	\$38,575.00	\$219,424.00	0.18	Ben Archer	4
Carlsbad	\$171,075.06	\$420,552.70	0.41	Carlsbad	14
Clovis	\$302,359.62	\$504,816.00	0.60	Clovis	18
Golden Spread	\$79,472.18	\$124,947.68	0.64	Golden Spread	21
Hobbs	\$75,876.78	\$567,840.50	0.13	Hobbs	2
Pueblo of Laguna	\$28,922.10	\$144,414.40	0.20	Pueblo of Laguna	5
Las Vegas	\$87,760.80	\$154,346.40	0.57	Las Vegas	16
Los Alamos	\$176,674.18	\$2,423,140.80	0.07	Los Alamos Co.	1
Milan, Village of	\$96,196.25	\$165,718.36	0.58	Milan, Village of	17
Navajo Nation	\$128,895.00	\$553,430.88	0.23	Navajo Nation	7
City of Gallup	\$143,764.40	\$479,640.96	0.30	City of Gallup	9
NCRTD	\$626,652.00	\$1,771,492.00	0.35	NCRTD	13
Ohkay Owingeh	\$0.00	\$0.00	n/a	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a
Portales	\$49,599.75	\$98,225.40	0.50	Portales	15
Red River	\$60,229.00	\$96,757.50	0.62	Red River	19
Rio Metro RTD	\$283,777.50	\$1,624,739.52	0.17	Rio Metro RTD	3
Roswell	\$231,042.24	\$1,062,936.00	0.22	Roswell	6
Ruidoso Downs	\$50,000.00	\$80,000.00	0.63	Ruidoso Downs	20
SCRTD	\$0.00	\$0.00	n/a	SCRTD	n/a
Socorro	\$43,712.50	\$134,640.00	0.32	Socorro	10
SWRTD	\$275,412.80	\$839,431.20	0.33	SWRTD	11
Taos	\$150,645.54	\$454,458.90	0.33	Taos	11
Zia	\$215,907.12	\$662,396.16	0.28	Zia	8
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,316,549.81</b>	<b>\$12,583,349.36</b>	<b>0.26</b>		
			<b>STATE AVERAGE FY 14</b>	<b>% CHANGE FROM FY 13</b>	
			<b>STATE AVERAGE FY 13</b>	<b>-21.2%</b>	

**3) COST PER PASSENGER TRIP BASED ON FY 13 RIDERSHIP AND  
FY 13 EXPENDED TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING  
BUDGET**

Subgrantee	Ridership	A + O Expended (Federal & Local)	Cost Per Trip	Subgrantee	Rank (lowest cost = best = 1)
Ben Archer	18,023	\$248,906.41	\$13.81	Ben Archer	17
Carlsbad, City of	46,744	\$544,007.44	\$11.64	Carlsbad, City of	16
Clovis, City of	65,180	\$738,026.61	\$11.32	Clovis, City of	14
City of Gallup	66,527	\$302,185.20	\$4.54	City of Gallup	1
Golden Spread	10,791	\$196,306.80	\$18.19	Golden Spread	20
Hobbs, City of	43,203	\$621,031.40	\$14.37	Hobbs, City of	19
Pueblo of Laguna	8,384	\$153,889.33	\$18.36	Pueblo of Laguna	21
Las Vegas, City of	16,552	\$234,241.37	\$14.15	Las Vegas, City of	18
Los Alamos County	397,096	\$2,526,645.00	\$6.36	Los Alamos County	4
Milan, Village of	38,614	\$259,877.16	\$6.73	Milan, Village of	5
Navajo Nation	78,526	\$603,128.33	\$7.68	Navajo Nation	8
NCRTD	198,684	\$2,274,412.40	\$11.45	NCRTD	15
Ohkay Owingeh	12,808	\$140,313.35	\$10.96	Ohkay Owingeh	13
Portales, City of	19,959	\$194,000.36	\$9.72	Portales, City of	11
Red River, Town of	29,009	\$138,136.06	\$4.76	Red River, Town of	1
Rio Metro RTD	98,750	\$2,046,368.50	\$20.72	Rio Metro RTD	22
Roswell, City of	215,847	\$1,096,262.67	\$5.08	Roswell, City of	4
Ruidoso Downs	29,873	\$220,022.36	\$7.37	Ruidoso Downs	7
SCRTD	n/a	n/a	n/a	SCRTD	n/a
Socorro, City of	15,864	\$165,864.40	\$10.46	Socorro, City of	12
SWRTD	108,834	\$971,756.34	\$8.93	SWRTD	10
Taos, Town of	72,290	\$517,515.62	\$7.16	Taos, Town of	6
Zia Therapy	106,614	\$875,864.18	\$8.22	Zia Therapy	9
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,698,172</b>	<b>\$15,068,761.29</b>	<b>\$8.87</b>		
		<b>FY 13 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>\$8.87</b>	<b>% CHANGE FROM FY 12</b>	
		<b>FY 12 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>\$6.88</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	

#### 4) FY 13 SECTION 5311 TOTAL A/O COST PER VEHICLE MILE

Subgrantee	A + O Expended (Federal +Local)	Vehicle Miles	Cost Per Vehicle Mile	Subgrantee	Rank (lowest cost = best = 1)
Ben Archer	\$248,906.41	338,698	\$0.73	Ben Archer	1
Carlsbad, City of	\$544,007.44	237,962	\$2.29	Carlsbad, City of	10
Clovis, City of	\$738,026.61	206,812	\$3.57	Clovis, City of	17
Gallup, City of	\$302,185.20	195,429	\$1.55	Gallup, City of	3
Golden Spread	\$196,306.80	35,119	\$5.59	Golden Spread	20
Hobbs, City of	\$621,031.40	156,300	\$3.97	Hobbs, City of	18
Pueblo of Laguna	\$153,889.33	93,905	\$1.64	Pueblo of Laguna	4
Las Vegas, City of	\$234,241.37	69,157	\$3.39	Las Vegas, City of	16
Los Alamos County	\$2,526,645.00	527,974	\$4.79	Los Alamos County	19
Milan, Village of	\$259,877.16	92,180	\$2.82	Milan, Village of	13
Navajo Nation	\$603,128.33	321,491	\$1.88	Navajo Nation	7
NCRTD	\$2,274,412.40	948,809	\$2.40	NCRTD	11
Ohkay Owingeh	\$140,313.35	72,814	\$1.93	Ohkay Owingeh	8
Portales, City of	\$194,000.36	63,007	\$3.08	Portales, City of	15
Red River, Town of	\$138,136.06	21,129	\$6.54	Red River, Town of	22
Rio Metro RTD	\$2,046,368.50	327,164	\$6.25	Rio Metro RTD	21
Roswell, City of	\$1,096,262.67	386,295	\$2.84	Roswell, City of	14
Ruidoso Downs	\$220,022.36	142,579	\$1.54	Ruidoso Downs	2
SCRTRD	n/a	n/a	n/a	SCRTRD	n/a
Socorro, City of	\$165,864.40	94,399	\$1.76	Socorro, City of	5
SWRTD	\$971,756.34	474,258	\$2.05	SWRTD	9
Taos, Town of	\$517,515.62	192,736	\$2.69	Taos, Town of	12
Zia Therapy	\$875,864.18	475,320	\$1.84	Zia Therapy	6
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$15,068,761</b>	<b>5,473,537</b>	<b>\$2.75</b>		
			<b>FY 13 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>\$2.75</b>	<b>% CHANGE FROM FY 12</b>
			<b>FY 12 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>\$2.20</b>	<b>25.0%</b>

**5) RPO PRIORITIZATION OF FY 15 APPLICATIONS**

<b>APPLICANT</b>	<b>PRIORITIZATION</b>	<b>SCORE</b>
Ben Archer	HIGH	1
Carlsbad, City of	HIGH	1
Clovis, City of	HIGH	1
Gallup, City of	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Golden Spread	HIGH	1
Hobbs, City of	HIGH	1
Pueblo of Laguna	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Las Vegas, City of	HIGH	1
Los Alamos County	HIGH	1
Milan, Village of	HIGH	1
Navajo Nation	MEDIUM HIGH	2
NCRTD	HIGH	1
Ohkay Owingeh	HIGH	1
Portales, City of	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Red River, Town of	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Rio Metro RTD	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Roswell, City of	MEDIUM	3
Ruidoso Downs	HIGH	1
SCRTD	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Socorro, City of	HIGH	1
SWRTD	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Taos, Town of	HIGH	1
Zia Therapy	HIGH	1

Pts.	Rank
1	H=High
2	MH=Medium High
3	M=Medium
4	ML= Medium Low
5	L=Low

## 6) PERCENT FY 13 ADMINISTRATIVE/OPERATING BUDGET EXPENDED

Subgrantee	Total A + O Budget (Federal + Local)	A + O Expended (Federal + Local)	Percentage Spent	Subgrantee	Rank (Highest Percentage = best = 1)
Ben Archer	\$258,500.00	\$248,906.41	96.3%	Ben Archer	11
Carlsbad, City of	\$573,235.00	\$543,607.45	94.8%	Carlsbad, City of	12
Clovis, City of	\$781,831.00	\$738,026.61	94.4%	Clovis, City of	14
Gallup, City of	\$582,349.00	\$302,185.20	51.9%	Gallup, City of	22
Golden Spread	\$198,102.00	\$196,306.80	99.1%	Golden Spread	8
Hobbs, City of	\$621,137.00	\$621,031.40	99.9%	Hobbs, City of	1
Pueblo of Laguna	\$167,217.00	\$153,889.33	92.0%	Pueblo of Laguna	17
Las Vegas, City of	\$234,451.00	\$234,241.37	99.9%	Las Vegas, City of	1
Los Alamos County	\$2,527,915.00	\$2,526,645.00	99.9%	Los Alamos County	1
Milan, Village of	\$301,717.00	\$259,877.16	86.1%	Milan, Village of	20
Navajo Nation	\$636,374.00	\$603,128.33	94.8%	Navajo Nation	12
NCRTD	\$2,277,242.00	\$2,274,412.40	99.9%	NCRTD	1
Ohkay Owingeh	\$140,560.00	\$140,313.35	99.8%	Ohkay Owingeh	5
Portales, City of	\$273,410.00	\$194,000.36	71.0%	Portales, City of	21
Red River, Town of	\$150,910.00	\$138,136.06	91.5%	Red River, Town of	18
Rio Metro RTD	\$2,069,414.00	\$2,046,368.50	98.9%	Rio Metro RTD	9
Roswell, City of	\$1,097,914.00	\$1,096,262.67	99.8%	Roswell, City of	5
Ruidoso Downs	\$233,088.00	\$220,022.36	94.4%	Ruidoso Downs	14
SCRTD	n/a	n/a	n/a	SCRTD	n/a
Socorro, City of	\$169,336.00	\$165,864.40	97.9%	Socorro, City of	10
SWRTD	\$973,376.00	\$971,756.34	99.8%	SWRTD	5
Taos, Town of	\$575,075.00	\$517,515.62	90.0%	Taos, Town of	19
Zia Therapy	\$933,515.00	\$875,864.18	93.8%	Zia Therapy	16
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$15,776,668.00</b>	<b>\$15,068,361.30</b>	<b>95.5%</b>		
		<b>FY 13 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>95.5%</b>	<b>% CHANGE FROM FY 12</b>	
		<b>FY 12 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>93.0%</b>	<b>+2.2%</b>	



**7) PERCENT OF TOTAL STATE SECTION 5311 RIDERSHIP FY 13, PERCENT OF TOTAL STATE SECTION 5311 ADMINISTRATIVE/OPERATING FY 13 AWARD RATIO**

Subgrantee	Ridership	Percentage of State Total Ridership	Subgrantee	Total A+O Budget	A/O Percentage of State Total	Percentage Ridership: Percentage Total Federal Award Ratio	Subgrantee	RANK
Ben Archer	18,023	1.1%	Ben Archer	\$258,000.00	1.6%	0.66	Ben Archer	17
Carlsbad, City of	46,744	2.7%	Carlsbad, City of	\$573,235.00	3.6%	0.77	Carlsbad, City of	14
Clovis, City of	65,180	3.8%	Clovis, City of	\$807,175.62	5.0%	0.76	Clovis, City of	15
Gallup, City of	66,527	3.9%	Gallup, City of	\$469,303.00	2.9%	1.34	Gallup, City of	6
Golden Spread	10,791	0.6%	Golden Spread	\$204,419.86	1.3%	0.50	Golden Spread	20
Hobbs, City of	43,203	2.5%	Hobbs, City of	\$643,717.28	4.0%	0.63	Hobbs, City of	19
Pueblo of Laguna	8,384	0.5%	Pueblo of Laguna	\$173,336.50	1.1%	0.46	Pueblo of Laguna	22
Las Vegas, City of	16,552	1.0%	Las Vegas, City of	\$242,107.20	1.5%	0.64	Las Vegas, City of	18
Los Alamos County	398,663	23.4%	Los Alamos County	\$2,527,915.00	15.8%	1.49	Los Alamos County	4
Milan, Village of	38,614	2.3%	Milan, Village of	\$261,914.61	1.6%	1.39	Milan, Village of	5
Navajo Nation	78,526	4.6%	Navajo Nation	\$682,325.88	4.3%	1.08	Navajo Nation	8
NCRID	198,684	11.7%	NCRID	\$2,398,144.00	15.0%	0.78	NCRID	13
Ohkay Owingeh	12,808	0.8%	Ohkay Owingeh	\$140,560.00	0.9%	0.86	Ohkay Owingeh	11
Portales, City of	19,959	1.2%	Portales, City of	\$273,410.00	1.7%	0.69	Portales, City of	16
Red River, Town of	29,009	1.7%	Red River, Town of	\$156,986.50	1.0%	1.74	Red River, Town of	2
Rio Metro RTD	98,750	5.8%	Rio Metro RTD	\$1,908,517.02	11.9%	0.49	Rio Metro RTD	21
Roswell, City of	215,847	12.7%	Roswell, City of	\$1,293,978.24	8.1%	1.57	Roswell, City of	3
Ruidoso Downs	29,873	1.8%	Ruidoso Downs	\$130,000.00	0.8%	2.17	Ruidoso Downs	1
SCRID	n/a	n/a	SCRID	n/a	n/a	n/a	SCRID	n/a
Socorro, City of	15,864	0.9%	Socorro, City of	\$178,352.50	1.1%	0.84	Socorro, City of	12
SWRTD	108,834	6.4%	SWRTD	\$1,114,844.00	7.0%	0.92	SWRTD	10
Taos, Town of	72,990	4.3%	Taos, Town of	\$605,104.44	3.8%	1.14	Taos, Town of	7
Zia Therapy	106,614	6.3%	Zia Therapy	\$987,903.28	6.2%	1.02	Zia Therapy	9
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,700,439</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$16,031,249.93</b>	<b>100.0%</b>			

## SECTION 5311 FUNDING DISTRIBUTION INDEX - FY 15

AGENCY	FY 13 Ridership	Admin - Op. (A/O) Ratio Based on FY 14 Award	Cost Per Passenger Trip Based on FY13 Ridership and FY13 Expended Total A/O Budget	FY 13 Total A/O Cost per Vehicle Mile	FY 15 RPO Prioritization	Percent FY 13 A/O Federal Award Expended	% of Total State Section 5311 Ridership FY13: % of State Total FY13 Federal Section 5311 A/O Award Ratio	Total Points	Average Rank	NAMES Based on Alphabetical Order	RANK (lowest # = best =1) (some have same rank)	SUBGRANTEES Based on Rank – Highest Listed First	Average Rank	RANK
Ben Archer	17	4	17	1	1	11	17	68	9.7	Ben Archer	11	Roswell, City of	5.3	1
Carlsbad, City of	11	14	16	10	1	12	14	78	11.1	Carlsbad, City of	15	Los Alamos County	6.7	2
Clovis, City of	10	18	14	17	1	14	15	89	12.7	Clovis, City of	18	SWRTD	7.3	3
Gallup, City of	9	21	1	3	2	22	6	64	9.1	Gallup, City of	8	Navajo Nation	7.6	4
Golden Spread	21	2	20	20	1	8	20	92	13.1	Golden Spread	19	Zia Therapy	7.7	5
Hobbs, City of	12	5	19	18	1	1	19	75	10.7	Hobbs, City of	14	NCRTD	8.1	6
Pueblo of Laguna	22	16	21	4	2	17	22	104	14.9	Pueblo of Laguna	21	Ruidoso Downs	8.4	7
Las Vegas, City of	18	1	18	16	1	1	18	73	10.4	Las Vegas, City of	13	Gallup, City of	9.1	8
Los Alamos County	1	17	4	19	1	1	4	47	6.7	Los Alamos County	2	Taos, Town of	9.1	8
Milan, Village of	13	7	5	13	1	20	5	64	9.1	Milan, Village of	8	Milan, Village of	9.1	8
Navajo Nation	7	9	8	7	2	12	8	53	7.6	Navajo Nation	4	Ben Archer	9.7	11
NCRTD	3	13	15	11	1	1	13	57	8.1	NCRTD	6	Socorro, City of	9.9	12
Ohkay Owingeh	20	n/a	13	8	1	5	11	58	9.7	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a	Las Vegas, City of	10.4	13
Portales, City of	16	15	11	15	2	21	16	96	13.7	Portales, City of	20	Hobbs, City of	10.7	14
Red River, Town of	15	19	1	22	2	18	2	79	11.3	Red River, Town of	16	Carlsbad, City of	11.1	15
Rio Metro RTD	6	3	22	21	2	9	21	84	12.0	Rio Metro RTD	18	Red River, Town of	11.3	16
Roswell, City of	2	6	4	14	3	5	3	37	5.3	Roswell, City of	1	Rio Metro RTD	12.0	17
Ruidoso Downs	14	20	7	2	1	14	1	59	8.4	Ruidoso Downs	7	Clovis, City of	12.7	18
SCRITD	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	n/a	n/a	2	0.3	SCRITD	n/a	Golden Spread	13.1	19
Socorro, City of	19	10	12	5	1	10	12	69	9.9	Socorro, City of	13	Portales, City of	13.7	20
SWRTD	4	11	10	9	2	5	10	51	7.3	SWRTD	3	Pueblo of Laguna	14.9	21
Taos, Town of	8	11	6	12	1	19	7	64	9.1	Taos, Town of	8	Ohkay Owingeh*	9.7	n/a
Zia Therapy	5	8	9	6	1	16	9	54	7.7	Zia Therapy	5	SCRITD	n/a	n/a

\* Did not apply in FY14 resulting in incomplete data

## SECTION 5311 FUNDING DISTRIBUTION INDEX - FY 15

RANK	SUBGRANTEES Based on Rank	RANK (lowest # - Best =1) (Some have same rank)	RANK AVERAGE	Potential Federal ADMIN Fund Increase	Potential Federal OPS Fund Increase
1	Roswell, City of	12	5.3	4%	8%
2	Los Alamos County	16	6.9	4%	8%
3	Navajo Nation	20	7.3	4%	8%
4	SWRTD	8	7.3	4%	8%
5	Zia Therapy	19	7.7	4%	8%
6	NCRTD	14	8.3	3%	6%
7	Ruidoso Downs	22	8.4	3%	6%
8	Gallup, City of	15	9.1	3%	6%
9	Taos, Town of	2	9.1	3%	6%
10	Milan, Village of	9	9.3	3%	6%
11	Ben Archer	4	9.7	3%	6%
12	Socorro, City of	6	9.9	3%	6%
13	Las Vegas, City of	7	10.6	2%	4%
14	Hobbs, City of	21	10.7	2%	4%
15	Red River, Town of	17	11.3	2%	4%
16	Carlsbad, City of	18	11.4	2%	4%
17	Rio Metro RTD	1	12.3	2%	4%
18	Clovis, City of	11	12.6	2%	4%
19	Golden Spread	n/a	13.1	2%	4%
20	Portales, City of	12	13.7	2%	4%
21	Pueblo of Laguna	3	14.6	2%	4%
22	SCRTD	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
23	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

**SECTION 5311 ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING  
BUDGETS RECOMMENDED AWARD FY 15**

Subgrantees	Administration (no D+A, for MOA)	Federal Share Administration	Local Share Administration	Net Operating	Federal Share Operating	Local Share Operating	Total Recommended	Total Federal	Total Local	RATIO - A to O
Ben Archer	\$39,732.50	\$31,786.00	\$7,946.50	\$205,600.00	\$102,800.00	\$102,800.00	\$245,332.50	\$134,586.00	\$110,746.50	0.19
Carlsbad, City of	\$176,207.50	\$140,966.00	\$35,241.50	\$437,374.00	\$218,687.00	\$218,687.00	\$613,581.50	\$359,653.00	\$253,928.50	0.40
Clovis, City of	\$308,406.25	\$246,725.00	\$61,681.25	\$525,008.00	\$262,504.00	\$262,504.00	\$833,414.25	\$509,229.00	\$324,185.25	0.59
Community Pantry	\$149,515.00	\$119,612.00	\$29,903.00	\$508,420.00	\$254,210.00	\$254,210.00	\$657,935.00	\$373,822.00	\$284,113.00	0.29
Golden Spread	\$81,061.25	\$64,849.00	\$16,212.25	\$129,946.00	\$64,973.00	\$64,973.00	\$211,007.25	\$129,822.00	\$81,185.25	0.62
Hobbs, City of	\$78,152.50	\$62,522.00	\$15,630.50	\$601,910.00	\$300,955.00	\$300,955.00	\$680,062.50	\$363,477.00	\$316,585.50	0.13
Pueblo of Laguna	\$29,500.00	\$23,600.00	\$5,900.00	\$150,190.00	\$75,095.00	\$75,095.00	\$179,690.00	\$98,695.00	\$80,995.00	0.20
Las Vegas, City of	\$89,516.25	\$71,613.00	\$17,903.25	\$163,608.00	\$81,804.00	\$81,804.00	\$253,124.25	\$153,417.00	\$99,707.25	0.55
Los Alamos County	\$183,741.25	\$146,993.00	\$36,748.25	\$2,616,992.00	\$1,308,496.00	\$1,308,496.00	\$2,800,733.25	\$1,455,489.00	\$1,345,244.25	0.07
Milan, Village of	\$94,900.00	\$75,920.00	\$18,980.00	\$175,662.00	\$87,831.00	\$87,831.00	\$270,562.00	\$163,751.00	\$106,811.00	0.54
Navajo Nation	\$134,051.25	\$107,241.00	\$26,810.25	\$597,706.00	\$298,853.00	\$298,853.00	\$731,757.25	\$406,094.00	\$325,663.25	0.22
NCRID	\$651,717.50	\$521,374.00	\$130,343.50	\$1,913,212.00	\$956,606.00	\$956,606.00	\$2,564,929.50	\$1,477,980.00	\$1,086,949.50	0.34
Ohkay Owingeh	\$38,328.75	\$30,663.00	\$7,665.75	\$109,548.00	\$54,774.00	\$54,774.00	\$147,876.75	\$85,437.00	\$62,439.75	0.35
Portales, City of	\$68,441.25	\$54,753.00	\$13,688.25	\$174,954.00	\$87,477.00	\$87,477.00	\$243,395.25	\$142,230.00	\$101,165.25	0.39
Red River, Town of	\$61,433.75	\$49,147.00	\$12,286.75	\$96,082.00	\$48,041.00	\$48,041.00	\$157,515.75	\$97,188.00	\$60,327.75	0.64
Rio Metro RTD	\$141,000.00	\$112,800.00	\$28,200.00	\$1,350,000.00	\$675,000.00	\$675,000.00	\$1,491,000.00	\$787,800.00	\$703,200.00	0.10
Roswell, City of	\$240,283.75	\$192,227.00	\$48,056.75	\$1,147,970.00	\$573,985.00	\$573,985.00	\$1,388,253.75	\$766,212.00	\$622,041.75	0.21
Ruidoso Downs	\$51,500.00	\$41,200.00	\$10,300.00	\$84,800.00	\$42,400.00	\$42,400.00	\$136,300.00	\$83,600.00	\$52,700.00	0.61
SCRID*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Socorro, City of	\$45,023.75	\$36,019.00	\$9,004.75	\$142,720.00	\$71,360.00	\$71,360.00	\$187,743.75	\$107,379.00	\$80,364.75	0.32
SWRTD	\$283,675.00	\$226,940.00	\$56,735.00	\$867,516.00	\$433,758.00	\$433,758.00	\$1,151,191.00	\$660,698.00	\$490,493.00	0.33
Taos, Town of	\$153,800.00	\$123,040.00	\$30,760.00	\$454,458.00	\$227,229.00	\$227,229.00	\$608,258.00	\$350,269.00	\$257,989.00	0.34
Zia Therapy	\$218,893.75	\$175,115.00	\$43,778.75	\$809,292.00	\$404,646.00	\$404,646.00	\$1,028,185.75	\$579,761.00	\$448,424.75	0.27
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,318,881.25</b>	<b>\$2,655,105.00</b>	<b>\$663,776.25</b>	<b>\$13,262,968.00</b>	<b>\$6,631,484.00</b>	<b>\$6,631,484.00</b>	<b>\$16,581,849.25</b>	<b>\$9,286,589.00</b>	<b>\$7,295,260.25</b>	

\* Business and Service Plan not completed as of 4/23/2014

## FY 15 SECTION 5311 CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDATION

Subgrantee	Capital Total Cost	Federal Share at 80%	Local Share at 20%	Capital to Vendor at 80%	Capital to Subgrantee at 80%	Vehicles Total	Vehicle 80%	Capital Equipment Description
Ben Archer								NO CAPITAL REQUESTED
Carlsbad	\$54,000.00	\$43,200.00	\$10,800.00		\$43,200.00	\$54,000.00	\$43,200.00	(1) Passenger Bus @ \$54,000.00
Clovis								No capital awarded
Golden Spread	\$55,718.00	\$44,574.40	\$11,143.60	\$44,574.40		\$55,718.00	\$44,574.40	(1) 14 or 12 Passenger Van w/2wc @ \$55,718.00
City of Gallup	\$1,000.00	\$800.00	\$200.00		\$800.00			Benches and Signs @ \$1,000.
Hobbs	\$68,000.00	\$54,400.00	\$13,600.00		\$54,400.00	\$68,000.00	\$54,400.00	(1) 18 Passenger Bus @ \$68,000.00
Laguna Pueblo	\$3,000.00	\$2,400.00	\$600.00		\$2,400.00			Benches and Signs @ \$3,000.00
Las Vegas	\$52,647.00	\$42,117.60	\$10,529.40	\$42,117.60		\$52,647.00	\$42,117.60	(1) 12 Passenger Van without wheelchair lift \$52,647.00
Las Alamos	\$295,000.00	\$236,000.00	\$59,000.00		\$236,000.00	\$295,000.00	\$236,000.00	(1) Large fixed-Route Bus @ \$295,000.00
Milan, Village of	\$49,550.00	\$39,640.00	\$9,910.00	\$39,640.00		\$49,550.00	\$39,640.00	(1) ElDorado Aerolite Bus with w/c lift @ \$49,550.00
Navajo Nation	\$300,000.00	\$240,000.00	\$60,000.00		\$240,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$240,000.00	(1) Motor Coach Bus @ \$300,000.00
North Central RTD	\$300,000.00	\$240,000.00	\$60,000.00		\$240,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$240,000.00	(1) Large Vehicle @ \$300,000.00
Portales	\$60,000.00	\$48,000.00	\$12,000.00		\$48,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$48,000.00	(1) Senator Paratransit Bus @ \$60,000.00
Ohkay Owingeh								NO CAPITAL REQUESTED
Red River	\$55,865.00	\$44,692.00	\$11,173.00	\$44,692.00		\$55,865.00	\$44,692.00	(1) Passenger Bus @ \$55,865.00
Rio Metro RTD	\$90,000.00	\$72,000.00	\$18,000.00		\$72,000.00	\$90,000.00	\$72,000.00	(1) Cut-away Bus @ \$90,000.00
Roswell	\$100,000.00	\$80,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$0.00	\$80,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$80,000.00	(1) Large Bus @ \$100,000.00
Ruidoso Downs	\$55,718.00	\$44,574.40	\$11,143.60	\$44,574.40		\$55,718.00	\$44,574.40	(1) Allstar 22' - 12 Passenger Van w/lift @ \$55,718.00
SCRTD*								No capital awarded
Socorro	\$90,000.00	\$72,000.00	\$18,000.00	\$0.00	\$72,000.00	\$90,000.00	\$72,000.00	(1) 14 Passenger Bus with 2 w/c @ \$90,000.00
South West RTD	\$220,458.00	\$176,366.40	\$44,091.60	\$167,405.95	\$8,960.00	\$209,257.44	\$167,405.95	(1) 12 Passenger Bus @ \$104,728.50
Taos	\$98,000.00	\$78,400.00	\$19,600.00		\$78,400.00			Bus Shelters @ \$98,000.00
Zia	\$130,000.00	\$104,000.00	\$26,000.00	104,000.00		\$130,000.00	\$104,000.00	(1) Arboc Bus @ \$130,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$2,078,956.00</b>	<b>\$1,663,164.80</b>	<b>\$415,791.20</b>	<b>\$487,003.95</b>	<b>\$1,176,160.00</b>	<b>\$1,965,755.44</b>	<b>\$1,572,604.35</b>	

\* Business and Service Plan not completed as of 4/23/2014

## SECTION 5311 FY 2015 RECOMMENDATION HISTORY

Subgrantee	Vehicle	Lift	Other Capital	2009 ARRA	2010	2011	2012	State of Good Repair (1st Round)	State of Good Repair (2nd Round)	2013	2014	2015	Total Previous and Current Awards
Ben Archer				0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3
Carlsbad, City of	(1) Passenger Bus			5	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	8
Clovis, City of				2	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	7
Gallup, City of			Benshes and Signs	4	0	2	0	4	2	0	0	0	12
Golden Spread Coalition	(1) 14 Passenger Van	Yes		1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
Hobbs, City of	(1) 18 Passenger Bus			2	0	1	0	3	1	0	0	1	8
Pueblo of Laguna			Benches and Signs	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
Las Vegas, City of	(1) 12 Passenger Van			2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
Los Alamos, County of	(1) Large fixed-Route Bus			3	0	4	0	5	3	0	1	1	17
Milan, Village of	(1) Passenger Bus	Yes		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
Navajo Nation	(1) Motor Coach Bus			2	0	1	1	2	1	0	2	1	10
North Central RTD	(1) Large Vehicle			0	0	1	1	13	7	0	1	1	24
Portales, City of	(1) Paratransit Bus	Yes		2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	5
Ohkay Owingeh				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Red River, Town of	(1) Passenger Bus			3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
Rio Metro RTD	(1) Cut-away Bus			0	0	3	0	8	0	0	2	1	14
Roswell, City of	(1) Large Bus			4	1	0	2	1	2	0	2	1	13
Ruidoso Downs	(1) 12 Passenger Van	Yes		3	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	7
SCRTD				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Socorro, City of	(1) 14 Passenger Bus	Yes		1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	6
SWRTD/Grant County	(1) 12 Passenger Bus			2	1	1	1	3	1	0	1	1	11
Taos, Town of			Bus Shelters	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	8
Zia Therapy	(1) Large Bus			2	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	7
<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>44</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>178</b>

VI. Finalizing FY 15 Budgets

- a. Memorandum of Agreements will be send out in early summer for signatures
- b. Start date: October 1, 2014
- c. End date: September 30, 2015
- d. Final line item budgets will be due back to NMDOT by September 30, 2014

VII. Other Issues

- a. FY 2016 Transit application process - Solicitation for Letters of Intent (§5310 and §5311) will go out in late May/early June 2014
- b. Private Non-profit procurement of vehicles
- c. Section 5311 new site visit process
- d. Title VI
- e. NMSTARS.org – New Mexico State Transit Accounting and Ridership System (UPDATE)
- f. Rural National Transit Database
- g. Transit and Rail Division - Website: [http://dot.state.nm.us/content/nmdot/en/Transit\\_Rail.html](http://dot.state.nm.us/content/nmdot/en/Transit_Rail.html)
- h. Questions



## GENERAL APPLICATION AND PROGRAM YEAR TIMELINE SECTION 5310 and SECTION 5311

FFY14	FFY14	FFY14	FFY14	FFY14	FFY14	FFY14	FFY15	FFY15	FFY15	FFY15	FFY15	FFY15	FFY15	FFY15	FFY15	FFY15	FFY15	FFY15		
Mar 2014	Apr 2014	May 2014	Jun 2014	Jul 2014	Aug 2014	Sept 2014	Oct 2014	Nov 2014	Dec 2014	Jan 2015	Feb 2015	Mar 2015	Apr 2015	May 2015	Jun 2015	Jul 2015	Aug 2015	Sept 2015		
							CLOSE OUT FY 14 GRANT													
NMDOT will Review & Recomm Budgets		Statewide Budget Meeting followed by Award Letters for FY 15		NMDOT will develop and send out MOAs																
							FY 2015 PROGRAM YEAR													
FY 16 - Open Application Process		Application Workshop at NMDOT				FY 16 Applications Due to NMDOT	RPO Meetings at RPO location	RPO Meetings at RPO location					NMDOT will Review & Recomm Budgets	NMDOT will conduct Statewide Budget Meeting	Subgrantee will receive Award Letters for FY 16		NMDOT will develop and send out MOAs			
1. NMDOT will send out notice for Letters of Intent																				
2. Subgrantees will advertise intent in local newspaper																				
3. Subgrantee will prepare application																				