



New Mexico Department of Transportation  
Transit and Rail Division

**FY 2014 Statewide Transit  
Application/RPO Prioritization and  
Budget Award Recommendation Meeting**

APRIL 17, 2013 – 10:00 AM  
NMDOT, Transit and Rail Division, 1350 Alta Vista (SB4), 2nd floor

**AGENDA**  
FY 2014 Statewide Transit  
Application/RPO Prioritization and Preliminary Budget Award Recommendation Meeting  
April 17, 2013 – 10:00 AM  
NMDOT, Transit and Rail Division, 1350 Alta Vista (SB4), 2nd floor

- I. Welcome and Introductions
- II. Overview
- III. Section 5310 – Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities
  - a. Review of §5310 Budget Requests and Regional Prioritization
  - b. Budget Recommendation  
Questions and/or discussion
- IV. Section 5311 – Rural Public Transit
  - a. Review of §5311 Budget Requests and Regional Prioritization
  - b. Funding Distribution Index and Ranking
  - c. Budget Recommendation  
Questions and/or discussion
- V. State of Good Repair
  - a. Remaining Balances - First Round
  - b. Remaining Balances - Second Round
- VI. Next Steps To Finalize FY 14 Budgets
- VII. Other Issues
  - a. FY 2015 Transit application process
  - b. Private Non-profit procurement of vehicles
  - c. Section 5311 site visit process
  - d. Title VI
  - e. NMSTARS.org – New Mexico State Transit Accounting and Ridership System (UPDATE)
  - f. Rural National Transit Database
  - g. Transit and Rail Division - Website:
  - h. Questions

## ESTIMATED FEDERAL TRANSIT GRANT BALANCES FY 2014

Grant Type	Requests for Federal Funds	Availability of Federal Funds	Federal Funds Recommended	Balance of Federal Funds
Section 5310 - Total Federal	\$1,546,796.33	\$2,119,418.00	\$1,486,520.33	\$632,897.67
-Section 5310 (Capital) - Rural/Small Urban	\$743,086.25	\$1,529,984.00	\$743,086.25	\$786,897.75
-Section 5310 - Albuquerque	\$803,710.08	\$589,434.00	\$743,434.08	(\$154,000.08)
Section 5311/16/17 - Total Federal	\$14,649,287.42	\$10,756,289.00	\$10,356,937.66	\$399,351.34
-Section 5311 Administration/Operating	\$8,678,237.90	\$9,445,488.00	\$9,016,708.20	\$428,779.80
-Section 5316 Operating*	\$810,598.20	-	-	-
-Section 5317 Operating*	\$485,538.00	-	-	-
-Capital (Section 5339 and 5311)	\$4,361,313.32	\$1,310,801.00	\$1,340,229.46	(\$29,428.46)
-Capital (Section 5317)	\$313,600.00	-	-	-
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$16,196,083.75</b>	<b>\$12,875,707.00</b>	<b>\$11,843,457.99</b>	<b>\$1,032,249.01</b>
Notes: Estimated available federal funds is based on current balance and apportionments under MAP-21 for in FY 13				
*Awarded under Section 5311				

# SECTION 5310

## FY 14 5310 SECTION FUNDS REQUESTED

### RURAL - FY 14 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTED

Subgrantee	City	Total	Federal 80%	Local 20%	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description
Ben Archer	Hatch	\$82,000.00	\$65,600.00	\$16,400.00			(2) - Hightop vans @ \$41,000.00 each. Total \$82,000.00
Coyote Canyon	Gallup	\$74,000.00	\$59,200.00	\$14,800.00			(1) - GMC Yukon @ \$49,000.00 and (1) - Dodge Caravan @ \$25,000.00. Total \$74,000.00
Door of Opportunity	Artesia	\$97,425.00	\$77,940.00	\$19,485.00			(1) - Eldorado Aerolite 13 passenger van @ \$45,925.00 and (1) - Eldorado Aerolite 12 passenger w/wc @ \$51,500.00. Total \$97,425.00
EnSuenos Y Los Angelitos	Taos	\$33,500.00	\$26,800.00	\$6,700.00			(1) - Minivan with wheelchair ramps @ \$33,500.00. Total \$33,500.00
Los Alamos County	Los Alamos	\$86,000.00	\$68,800.00	\$17,200.00			(3) - Dispatch Computer Sations @ \$2,000 total \$6,000 and (1) - Paratransit Software System @ \$80,000.00. Total \$86,000.00
PMS - McKinley County	Gallup	\$37,748.71	\$30,198.97	\$7,549.74			(1) - 11 Passenger Paratransit van @ \$37,748.71. Total \$37,748.71
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$410,673.71</b>	<b>\$328,538.97</b>	<b>\$82,134.74</b>			

### ALBUQUERQUE - FY 14 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL/OPERATING BUDGET REQUESTED

Subgrantee	City	Total	Federal 80%	Local 20%	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description
Adelante	Albuquerque	\$226,680.00	\$181,344.00	\$45,336.00			(6) - 10 Passenger Vans @ \$37,780.00 each. Total \$226,680.00
ARCA	Albuquerque	\$189,673.00	\$151,738.40	\$37,934.60	\$56,768.00	\$56,768.00	(2) - Eldorado National Amivans @ \$34,745 each and (2) - Braun Hightop Vans @ \$45,847.47 each, total for vehicles \$165,784 total. Smartphones \$23,889 total (at 80/20 ratio) and training for smartphones \$113,536 total (at 50/50 ratio)
Casa Angelica	Albuquerque	\$42,000.00	\$33,600.00	\$8,400.00			(1) - Braun Hightop @ \$42,000.00. Total \$42,000.00
Go-Fors, Inc. TOO	Albuquerque	\$99,324.60	\$79,459.68	\$19,864.92			(3) - Mini-vans w/wheelchair ramps @ \$33,108.20 each. Total \$99,324.60
Mandy's Special Farm	Albuquerque	\$112,000.00	\$89,600.00	\$22,400.00			(1) - Braun paratransit van @ \$40,000.00 and (2) - 7 Passenger vans @ \$36,000.00 each. Total \$112,000.00
Rio Metro RTD	Albuquerque	\$264,000.00	\$211,200.00	\$52,800.00			(3) - Cutaway vans @ \$88,000.00 each. Total \$264,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$933,677.60</b>	<b>\$746,942.08</b>	<b>\$186,735.52</b>	<b>\$56,768.00</b>	<b>\$56,768.00</b>	
		Total Capital & Operating	Total Federal	Total Local			
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$1,047,213.60</b>	<b>\$803,710.08</b>	<b>\$243,503.52</b>			

### SMALL URBAN - FY 14 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTED

Subgrantee	City	Total	Federal 80%	Local 20%	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description
Families and Youth	Las Cruces	\$72,000.00	\$57,600.00	\$14,400.00			(2) - Braun EnterVan: PV5 walk on 1 w/c + driver @ \$36,000.00 each. Total \$72,000.00
La Vida Felicidad	Los Lunas	\$82,036.00	\$65,628.80	\$16,407.20			(2) - Braun EnterVans @ \$41,018.00 each. Total \$82,036.00
PMS - San Juan County	Farmington	\$113,399.41	\$90,719.53	\$22,679.88			(2) - 10 Passenger Paratransit vans @ \$37,825.35 each and (1) - 11 Passenger Paratransit van @ \$37,748.71. Total \$113,399.41
PMS - Santa Fe County	Santa Fe	\$37,748.71	\$30,198.97	\$7,549.74			(1) - 11 Passenger Paratransit van @ \$37,748.71 Total \$37,748.71
Tresco, Inc	Las Cruces	\$212,999.99	\$170,399.99	\$42,600.00			(3) - Low-floor mini van @ \$34,521.00 each and (3) - ADA high-top vans @ \$36,478.98 each. Total \$212,999.98
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$518,184.11</b>	<b>\$414,547.29</b>	<b>\$103,636.82</b>			

### ALBUQUERQUE, RURAL, AND SMALL URBAN - FY 14 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTED

	Total Capital & Operating	Federal 80%	Local 20%	Federal 50%	Local 50%
	\$1,976,071.42	\$1,546,796.33	\$429,275.08	\$56,768.00	\$56,768.00

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS  
AND APPLICANTS

FISCAL YEAR 2014  
FOR 49 U.S.C. §5310 APPLICATIONS ONLY

Name of Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_

**§5310: Transportation for Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities**

Please circle score as applicable:

1. Planning and Coordination:                   HIGH  
  Medium High  
  MEDIUM  
  Medium Low  
  LOW

2. Regional Need and Justification:   HIGH  
  Medium High  
  MEDIUM  
  Medium Low  
  LOW

3. Maintenance, Safety, and ADA:       HIGH  
  Medium High  
  MEDIUM  
  Medium Low  
  LOW

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS  
AND APPLICANTS

FISCAL YEAR 2014  
FOR 49 U.S.C. §5310 APPLICATIONS ONLY

**§5310: Transportation for Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities**

1. Planning and Coordination:

- Does Applicant coordinate services with other disabled and elderly providers?
- Do they have a plan for anticipated disabled and elderly growth in the community?
- Is the project included in the RPO/MPO Coordinated Public Transit Human Services Transportation Plan?

2. Regional Need and Justification:

- Does applicant serve regional market demands?
- Is there a clear and defined need for this service?

3. Maintenance, Safety, and ADA:

- Does the applicant have comprehensive maintenance plan?
- Does the applicant have someone trained to recognize maintenance concerns?
- Are applicant's drivers trained in passenger safety and sensitivity?  
in wheelchair lift operations?

## SECTION 5310 RPO PRIORITIZATION

FY 14

Program	Applicant	RPO	Prioritization
5310	Adelante Development Center, Inc.	MRRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	ARCA	MRRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	Ben Archer	SCRPO	HIGH
5310	Casa Angelica	MRRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	Coyote Canyon	NWRPO	MEDIUM
5310	Door of Opportunity, Inc.	SERPO	HIGH
5310	EnSuenos Y Los Angelitos	NPRPO	HIGH
5310	Families and Youth, Inc.	SCRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	Go Fors Inc. TOO	MRRPO	MEDIUM
5310	La Vida Felicidad	MRRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	Los Alamos County	NPRPO	HIGH
5310	Mandy's Special Farm	MRRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	PMS - McKinley County	NWRPO	HIGH
5310	PMS - San Juan County	NWRPO	HIGH
5310	PMS - Santa Fe	NPRPO	HIGH
5310	Rio Metro RTD	MRRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5310	Tresco	SCRPO	HIGH



**FY 14 SECTION 5310 BUDGET RECOMMENDATION**

**RURAL - FY 14 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDED**

Subgrantee	City	Total	Federal 80%	Local 20%	Total Operating	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description
Ben Archer	Hatch	\$82,000.00	\$65,600.00	\$16,400.00				(2) - Hightop vans @ \$41,000.00 each Total \$82,000.00
Coyote Canyon	Gallup	\$74,000.00	\$59,200.00	\$14,800.00				(1) - GMC Yukon @ \$49,000.00 and (1) - Dodge Caravan @ \$25,000.00 Total \$74,000.00
Door of Opportunity	Artesia	\$97,425.00	\$77,940.00	\$19,485.00				(1) - Eldorado Aerolite 13 passenger van @ \$45,925.00 and (1) - Eldorado Aerolite 12 passenger w/wc @ \$51,500.00 Total \$97,425.00
EnSuenos Y Los Angelitos	Taos	\$33,500.00	\$26,800.00	\$6,700.00				(1) - Minivan with wheelchair ramp @ \$33,500.00 Total \$33,500.00
Los Alamos County	Los Alamos	\$86,000.00	\$68,800.00	\$17,200.00				(3) - Dispatch Computer Sations @ \$2,000 total \$6,000 and (1) - Paratransit Software System @ \$80,000.00 Total \$86,000.00
PMS - McKinley County	Gallup	\$37,748.71	\$30,198.97	\$7,549.74				(1) - 11 Passenger Paratransit van @ \$37,748.71 Total \$37,748.71
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$410,673.71</b>	<b>\$328,538.97</b>	<b>\$82,134.74</b>				

**ALBUQUERQUE - FY 14 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL/OPERATING BUDGET RECOMMENDED**

Subgrantee	City	Total Capital	Federal 80%	Local 20%	Total Operating	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment & Operating Description			
Adelante	Albuquerque	\$226,680.00	\$181,344.00	\$45,336.00				(6) - 10 Passenger Vans @ \$37,780.00 each Total \$226,680.00			
ARCA	Albuquerque	\$150,328.00	\$120,262.40	\$30,065.60				\$113,536.00	\$56,768.00	\$56,768.00	(1) - Eldorado National Amivans @ \$34,745 and (2) - Braun Hightop Vans @ \$45,847 each. Total for vehicles \$126,439. Smartphones \$23,889 total (at 80/20 ratio) and training for smartphones \$113,536 total (at 50/50 ratio) Total \$263,864.00
Casa Angelica	Albuquerque	\$42,000.00	\$33,600.00	\$8,400.00				(1) - Braun Hightop @ \$42,000.00 Total \$42,000.00			
Go-Fors, Inc. TOO	Albuquerque	\$99,324.60	\$79,459.68	\$19,864.92				(3) - Mini-vans w/wheelchair ramps @ \$33,108.20 each Total \$99,324.60			
Mandy's Special Farm	Albuquerque	\$76,000.00	\$60,800.00	\$15,200.00				(1) - Braun paratransit van @ \$40,000.00 and (1) - 7 Passenger van @ \$36,000.00 Total \$76,000.00			
Rio Metro RTD	Albuquerque	\$264,000.00	\$211,200.00	\$52,800.00				(3) - Cutaway vans @ \$88,000.00 each Total \$264,000.00			
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$858,332.60</b>	<b>\$686,666.08</b>	<b>\$171,666.52</b>				<b>\$113,536.00</b>	<b>\$56,768.00</b>	<b>\$56,768.00</b>	
		<b>Total Capital and Operating</b>	<b>Total Federal Capital and Operating</b>	<b>Total Local Capital and Operating</b>							
		\$971,868.60	\$743,434.08	\$228,434.52							

**SMALL URBAN - FY 14 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDED**

Subgrantee	City	Total	Federal 80%	Local 20%	Total Operating	Federal 50%	Local 50%	Capital Equipment Description
Families and Youth	Las Cruces	\$72,000.00	\$57,600.00	\$14,400.00				(2) - Braun EnterVan: PV5 walk on 1 w/c + driver @ \$36,000.00 each Total \$72,000.00
La Vida Felicidad	Los Lunas	\$82,036.00	\$65,628.80	\$16,407.20				(2) - Braun EnterVans @ \$41,018.00 each Total \$82,036.00
PMS - San Juan County	Farmington	\$113,399.41	\$90,719.53	\$22,679.88				(2) - 10 Passenger Paratransit vans @ \$37,825.35 each and (1) 11 Passenger Paratransit van @ \$37,748.71 Total \$113,399.41
PMS - Santa Fe County	Santa Fe	\$37,748.71	\$30,198.97	\$7,549.74				(1) - 11 Passenger Paratransit van @ \$37,748.71 Total \$37,748.71
Tresco, Inc	Las Cruces	\$212,999.98	\$170,399.98	\$42,600.00				(3) - Low-floor mini van @ \$34,521.00 each and (3) - ADA high-top vans @ \$36,478.98 each. Total \$212,999.98
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$518,184.10</b>	<b>\$414,547.28</b>	<b>\$103,636.82</b>				

**ALBUQUERQUE, RURAL, AND SMALL URBAN - FY 14 SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTED**

	Total Capital and Operating	Federal 80%	Local 20%	Total Operating	Federal 50%	Local 50%
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$1,900,726.41	\$1,486,520.33	\$414,206.08	\$113,536.00	\$56,768.00	\$56,768.00

**SECTION 5310 RECOMMENDATION HISTORY FY 2014**

Program Applicants	City	Modified Vehicles	Lift / ramp	Other Request	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total Current and Previous Awards
Adelante	Albuquerque	(6) - 10 Passenger Vans	X		1	1	4	2	2	6	2	4	6	6	3	6	6	49
ARCA	Albuquerque	(1) - Eldorado National Amivans (2) - Braun Hightop Vans	X	Smartphones and training for smartphones	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	2	2	3	3	4	19
Ben Archer	Hatch	(2) - Hightop vans	X														2	2
Casa Angelica	Albuquerque	(1) - Braun Hightop	X														1	1
Coyote Canyon	Gallup	(1) - GMC Yukon (1) - Dodge Caravan	X		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	6
Door of Opportunity	Artesia	(1) - Eldorado Aerolite 13 passenger van (1) - Eldorado Aerolite 12 passenger w/wc	X		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	7
EnSuenos Y Los Angelitos	Taos	(1) - Minivan with wheelchair ramp	X														2	2
Families and Youth, Inc.	Las Cruces	(2) - Braun EnterVan: PV5 walk on 1 w/c + driver	X		0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	16
Go-Fors, Inc. TOO	Albuquerque	(3) - Mini-vans w/wheelchair ramps	X		0	0	0	0	3	0	1	2	0	2	2	2	3	15
La Vida Felicidad	Los Lunas	(2) - Braun EnterVans	X														2	2
Los Alamos County	Los Alamos			(3) - Dispatch Computer Sations (1) - Paratransit Software System	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
Mandy's Special Farm	Albuquerque	(1) - Braun paratransit van (1) - 7 Passenger van	X														2	2
PMS - McKinley County	Gallup	(1) - 11 Passenger Paratransit van	X														1	1
PMS - San Juan County	Farmington	(2) - 10 Passenger Paratransit vans (1) 11 Passenger Paratransit van	X														3	3
PMS - Santa Fe County	Santa Fe	(1) - 11 Passenger Paratransit van	X														1	1
Rio Metro RTD	Albuquerque	(3) - Cutaway vans	X														3	3
Tresco Inc.	Las Cruces	(3) - Low-floor mini van (3) - ADA high-top vans	X		0	1	0	1	0	2	2	2	2	6	3	4	6	29
<b>TOTAL</b>					<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>176</b>

# SECTION 5311

REQUESTED - FY 14 SECTION 5311 ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING BUDGET										RATIO
Subgrantees	Total Administration (w/ D+A)	Federal Share Administration	Local Share Administration	Total Net Operating	Federal Share Operating	Local Share Operating	Total Requests	Total Federal	Total Local	RATIO - ADMIN to OP
Ben Archer	\$36,773.00	\$29,418.40	\$7,354.60	\$213,227.00	\$106,613.50	\$106,613.50	\$250,000.00	\$136,031.90	\$113,968.10	0.17
Carlsbad, City of	\$171,070.00	\$136,856.00	\$34,214.00	\$295,081.00	\$147,540.50	\$147,540.50	\$466,151.00	\$284,396.50	\$181,754.50	0.58
Clovis, City of	\$321,494.00	\$257,195.20	\$64,298.80	\$544,885.00	\$272,442.50	\$272,442.50	\$866,379.00	\$529,637.70	\$336,741.30	0.59
Golden Spread	\$72,039.00	\$57,631.20	\$14,407.80	\$106,367.00	\$53,183.50	\$53,183.50	\$178,406.00	\$110,814.70	\$67,591.30	0.68
Hobbs, City of	\$116,400.00	\$93,120.00	\$23,280.00	\$554,100.00	\$277,050.00	\$277,050.00	\$670,500.00	\$370,170.00	\$300,330.00	0.21
Pueblo of Laguna	\$36,225.00	\$28,980.00	\$7,245.00	\$149,260.00	\$74,630.00	\$74,630.00	\$185,485.00	\$103,610.00	\$81,875.00	0.24
Las Vegas, City of	\$88,900.00	\$71,120.00	\$17,780.00	\$168,100.00	\$84,050.00	\$84,050.00	\$257,000.00	\$155,170.00	\$101,830.00	0.53
Los Alamos County	\$194,910.00	\$155,928.00	\$38,982.00	\$1,811,770.00	\$905,885.00	\$905,885.00	\$2,006,680.00	\$1,061,813.00	\$944,867.00	0.11
Milan, Village of	\$100,626.00	\$80,500.80	\$20,125.20	\$252,490.00	\$126,245.00	\$126,245.00	\$353,116.00	\$206,745.80	\$146,370.20	0.40
Navajo Nation	\$143,379.00	\$114,703.20	\$28,675.80	\$623,452.00	\$311,726.00	\$311,726.00	\$766,831.00	\$426,429.20	\$340,401.80	0.23
NCI	\$99,500.00	\$79,600.00	\$19,900.00	\$231,000.00	\$115,500.00	\$115,500.00	\$330,500.00	\$195,100.00	\$135,400.00	0.43
NCRTD	\$723,060.00	\$578,448.00	\$144,612.00	\$1,452,000.00	\$726,000.00	\$726,000.00	\$2,175,060.00	\$1,304,448.00	\$870,612.00	0.50
Portales, City of	\$78,417.00	\$62,733.60	\$15,683.40	\$169,463.00	\$84,731.50	\$84,731.50	\$247,880.00	\$147,465.10	\$100,414.90	0.46
Red River, Town of	\$63,074.00	\$50,459.20	\$12,614.80	\$109,505.00	\$54,752.50	\$54,752.50	\$172,579.00	\$105,211.70	\$67,367.30	0.58
Rio Metro RTD	\$243,635.00	\$194,908.00	\$48,727.00	\$1,534,421.00	\$767,210.50	\$767,210.50	\$1,778,056.00	\$962,118.50	\$815,937.50	0.16
Roswell, City of	\$249,775.00	\$199,820.00	\$49,955.00	\$1,049,539.00	\$524,769.50	\$524,769.50	\$1,299,314.00	\$724,589.50	\$574,724.50	0.24
Ruidoso Downs	\$103,652.00	\$82,921.60	\$20,730.40	\$140,880.00	\$70,440.00	\$70,440.00	\$244,532.00	\$153,361.60	\$91,170.40	0.74
Socorro, City of	\$78,156.00	\$62,524.80	\$15,631.20	\$177,600.00	\$88,800.00	\$88,800.00	\$255,756.00	\$151,324.80	\$104,431.20	0.44
SWRTD	\$289,630.00	\$231,704.00	\$57,926.00	\$920,100.00	\$460,050.00	\$460,050.00	\$1,209,730.00	\$691,754.00	\$517,976.00	0.31
Taos, Town of	\$170,900.00	\$136,720.00	\$34,180.00	\$441,318.00	\$220,659.00	\$220,659.00	\$612,218.00	\$357,379.00	\$254,839.00	0.39
Zia Therapy	\$215,108.00	\$172,086.40	\$43,021.60	\$657,161.00	\$328,580.50	\$328,580.50	\$872,269.00	\$500,666.90	\$371,602.10	0.33
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,596,723.00</b>	<b>\$2,877,378.40</b>	<b>\$719,344.60</b>	<b>\$11,601,719.00</b>	<b>\$5,800,859.50</b>	<b>\$5,800,859.50</b>	<b>\$15,198,442.00</b>	<b>\$8,678,237.90</b>	<b>\$6,520,204.10</b>	<b>0.31</b>

REQUESTED - FY 14 SECTION 53II OPERATING BUDGET FOR SECTION 53I6 APPLICANTS							
Subgrantees		Total Net Operating	Federal Share Operating	Local Share Operating	Total Requests	Total Federal	Total Local
Carlsbad, City of		\$93,984.00	\$46,992.00	\$46,992.00	\$93,984.00	\$46,992.00	\$46,992.00
Los Alamos County		\$787,822.00	\$393,911.00	\$393,911.00	\$787,822.00	\$393,911.00	\$393,911.00
NCRTD		\$557,630.40	\$278,815.20	\$278,815.20	\$557,630.40	\$278,815.20	\$278,815.20
Milan, Village of		Withdraw Application					
Rio Metro RTD		\$453,000.00	\$226,500.00	\$226,500.00	\$453,000.00	\$226,500.00	\$226,500.00
SWRTD		\$140,760.00	\$70,380.00	\$70,380.00	\$140,760.00	\$70,380.00	\$70,380.00
Zia Therapy		\$41,000.00	\$20,500.00	\$20,500.00	\$41,000.00	\$20,500.00	\$20,500.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$2,074,196.40</b>	<b>\$1,037,098.20</b>	<b>\$1,037,098.20</b>	<b>\$2,074,196.40</b>	<b>\$1,037,098.20</b>	<b>\$1,037,098.20</b>

REQUESTED - FY 14 SECTION 53II OPERATING BUDGET FOR 53I7 APPLICANTS							
Subgrantee		Total Net Operating	Federal Share Operating	Local Share Operating	Total Requests	Total Federal	Total Local
Carlsbad, City of		\$38,448.00	\$19,224.00	\$19,224.00	\$38,448.00	\$19,224.00	\$19,224.00
Hobbs, City of		\$200,500.00	\$100,250.00	\$100,250.00	\$200,500.00	\$100,250.00	\$100,250.00
Los Alamos County		\$582,128.00	\$291,064.00	\$291,064.00	\$582,128.00	\$291,064.00	\$291,064.00
Rio Metro RTD		\$150,000.00	\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$971,076.00</b>	<b>\$485,538.00</b>	<b>\$485,538.00</b>	<b>\$971,076.00</b>	<b>\$485,538.00</b>	<b>\$485,538.00</b>

TOTAL REQUESTED FY 14 SECTION 53II ADMINISTRATION AND OPERATING									
Subgrantees	Total Administration (w/ D+A)	Federal Share Administration	Local Share Administration	Total Net Operating	Federal Share Operating	Local Share Operating	Total Requests	Total Federal	Total Local
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,596,723.00</b>	<b>\$2,877,378.40</b>	<b>\$719,344.60</b>	<b>\$14,646,991.40</b>	<b>\$7,323,495.70</b>	<b>\$7,323,495.70</b>	<b>\$18,243,714.40</b>	<b>\$10,200,874.10</b>	<b>\$8,042,840.30</b>

### FY 14 SECTION 5311 CAPITAL REQUEST

Subgrantees	Capital Total Cost	Federal Share at 80%	Local Share at 20%	Capital to Vendor at 80%	Capital to Subgrantee at 80%	Vehicles Total	Vehicle 80%	Capital Equipment Description
Ben Archer				No Capital Requested				
Carlsbad, City of	\$ 26,358.00	\$ 21,086.40	\$ 5,271.60		\$ 21,086.40	NO VEHICLES REQUESTED		Bus Stop Benches \$2,600, Bus Schedule Holders \$3,958, (18) - Surveillance Systems for Buses @ \$1,100.00 each total \$19,800
Clovis, City of	\$ 102,000.00	\$ 81,600.00	\$ 20,400.00		\$ 81,600.00	NO VEHICLES REQUESTED		Dispatching Software \$80,000.00 Transit Facility Improvements \$22,000
Golden Spread	\$ 5,238.00	\$ 4,190.40	\$ 1,047.60		\$ 4,190.40	NO VEHICLES REQUESTED		Surveillance System \$5,238
Hobbs, City of	\$ 141,000.00	\$ 112,800.00	\$ 28,200.00		\$ 112,800.00	\$ 138,000.00	\$ 110,400.00	(1) - Computer total \$3,000, (2) - Passenger Bus @ \$69,000.00 total \$138,000
Laguna Pueblo	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 2,400.00	\$ 600.00			NO VEHICLES REQUESTED		(2) - Office chairs @ \$140 total \$280, (1) - Microwave for transit office @ \$100, (4) - Benches at sites at \$400 each total \$1,600.00, (4) - signage for benches @ \$200 each total \$800 and (1) - office signage @ \$200, and (1) - office shelf at \$20.00
Las Vegas, City of	\$ 70,036.00	\$ 56,028.80	\$ 14,007.20	\$ 56,028.00		\$ 70,036.00	\$ 56,028.80	(2) - 15 Passenger Van (W/Lift) @ \$70,036
Los Alamos County	\$ 1,636,000.00	\$ 1,308,800.00	\$ 327,200.00		\$ 1,308,800.00	\$ 1,526,000.00	\$ 1,220,800.00	(2) - Large fixed-route buses @ \$295,000 total \$590,000, (2) - large tripper buses @ 468,000 each total \$936,000, bus shelters and amenities \$90,000, Brenches and signage \$20,000
Milan, Village of				No Capital Requested				
Navajo Nation	\$ 258,800.00	\$ 207,040.00	\$ 51,760.00	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 138,800.00	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 96,000.00	(1) - 15 Passenger Van (W/Lift) \$45,000, (1) - 15 Passenger Van (WO/Lift) @ \$40,000, (1) - Mini Van (W/Ramp) @ \$35,000, (4) - Bus Sureillance Ssystem @ 2,500 each total \$10,000, (4) - Desktop computers @ \$3,000 each total \$12,000, (2) - Laptop Computers @ \$3,000 total \$6,000, (1) - Computer Printer @ \$800, (10) - Bus Shelters @ \$4,000 each total \$40,000, (2) - Storage Buildings @ \$5,000 total \$10,000, Shop Tools \$50,000, Office Furniture \$10,000
NCI	\$ 14,000.00	\$ 11,200.00	\$ 2,800.00			NO VEHICLES REQUESTED		Benches/Signs \$7,000 and Bus Shelter \$7,000
North Central RTD	\$ 1,457,500.00	\$ 1,166,000.00	\$ 291,500.00	\$ 785,000.00	\$ 672,500.00	\$ 785,000.00	\$ 628,000.00	Paving for bus parking lot \$90,000, Satellite facility in Taos to store vehicles \$75,000, Bus awning to park vehicles \$422,500, Benches/Signage \$60,000 Installation costs on bench/signage \$25,000, (3) - 12 Passenger Cutawat @ \$75,000 each total \$225,000, (4) - 18 passenger @ \$80,000 each total \$320,000, (2) - 32 Passenger @ \$120,000 each total \$240,000
Portales, City of	\$ 57,000.00	\$ 45,600.00	\$ 11,400.00	\$ 41,600.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 52,000.00	\$ 41,600.00	(1) - Paratransit Bus \$52,000, (1) - office desk \$1,000, (2) - file cabinets @ \$500 each total \$1,000, radios and (1) - base station \$3,000
Red River, Town of				No Capital Requested				
Rio Metro RTD	\$ 792,000.00	\$ 633,600.00	\$ 158,400.00		\$ 633,600.00	\$ 792,000.00	\$ 633,600.00	(9) - Cutaway Vans (W/Lifts) @ \$88,000 each total \$792,000
Roswell, City of	\$ 190,000.00	\$ 152,000.00	\$ 38,000.00		\$ 152,000.00			(3) - Computers @ \$1,333.33 each total \$4,000, (1) - Passenger Bus \$131,000, (1) - 15 Passenger Van (W/Lift) \$55,000
Ruidoso Downs	\$ 47,100.00	\$ 37,680.00	\$ 9,420.00	\$ 37,680.00		\$ 37,680.00	\$ 30,144.00	(1) - 15 Passenger Van (W/Lift) \$47,100
Socorro, City of	\$ 101,500.00	\$ 81,200.00	\$ 20,300.00		\$ 81,200.00	\$ 95,000.00	\$ 76,000.00	(1) - Laptop Computer \$1,500, Fixtures and Furniture \$2,000, (1) - 20 Passenger Bus \$95,000, and (1) - Surveillance System for new Bus \$3,000
South West RTD	\$ 204,609.65	\$ 163,687.72	\$ 40,921.93	\$ 161,527.72	\$ 2,160.00	\$ 201,909.65	\$ 161,527.72	(1) - Computer \$1,500, Radios & Base Station \$1,200, (2) - Passenger Buses @ \$100,954.83 total \$201,909.65
Taos, Town of	\$ 206,500.00	\$ 165,200.00	\$ 41,300.00		\$ 165,200.00	\$ 115,000.00	\$ 92,000.00	Wireless Routers and Antenaena (AVL SYSTEM) \$11,000, Radios & Base Stations \$500, Other Capital (software & hardware) \$80,000, (1) - 28 Passenger Bus \$115,000
Zia Therapy	\$ 139,000.00	\$ 111,200.00	\$ 27,800.00		\$ 111,200.00	\$ 130,000.00	\$ 104,000.00	(1) - Computers \$3,500, Benches/Signs \$5,000, (1) - 25 Passenger Bus \$130,000, Radios \$500
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$5,451,641.65</b>	<b>\$ 4,361,313.32</b>	<b>\$ 1,090,328.33</b>	<b>\$ 1,201,835.72</b>	<b>\$ 3,490,136.80</b>	<b>\$ 4,062,625.65</b>	<b>\$ 3,250,100.52</b>	

### FY 14 SECTION 5317 CAPITAL REQUEST

Subgrantees	Capital Total Cost	Federal Share at 80%	Local Share at 20%	Capital to Vendor at 80%	Capital to Subgrantee at 80%	Vehicles Total	Vehicle 80%	Capital Equipment Description
Los Alamos County	\$ 86,000.00	\$ 68,800.00	\$ 17,200.00		\$ 68,800.00			(1) - Paratransit Software \$80,000.00 and (3) - Dispatch Computer Stations @ \$2,000 total \$6,000
City of Hobbs	\$ 130,000.00	\$ 104,000.00	\$ 26,000.00		\$ 104,000.00	\$ 128,000.00	\$ 102,400.00	(1) - Large Passenger Bus for new route to Lovington, NM \$128,000 and Desk Computer \$2,000
Rio Metro RTD	\$ 176,000.00	\$ 140,800.00	\$ 35,200.00		\$ 140,800.00	\$ 176,000.00	\$ 140,000.00	(2) - Cutaway vans \$88,000 each total \$176,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 392,000.00</b>	<b>\$ 313,600.00</b>	<b>\$ 78,400.00</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 312,800.00</b>	<b>\$ 304,000.00</b>	<b>\$ 242,400.00</b>	

### FY 14 TOTAL CAPITAL REQUEST

Subgrantees	Capital Total Cost	Federal Share at 80%	Local Share at 20%	Capital to Vendor at 80%	Capital to Subgrantee at 80%	Vehicles Total	Vehicle 80%	Capital Equipment Description
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 5,843,641.65</b>	<b>\$ 4,674,913.32</b>	<b>\$ 1,168,728.33</b>	<b>\$ 1,201,835.72</b>	<b>\$ 3,802,936.80</b>	<b>\$ 4,366,625.65</b>	<b>\$ 3,492,500.52</b>	

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY  
REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS

**FISCAL YEAR 2014**  
FOR 49 U.S.C. §5311 APPLICATIONS ONLY

**§5311: Rural Public Transit**

1. Rural Public Transportation Planning and Regional Coordination:

- Does the applicant have a short/long term transit plan?
- Is this plan adopted by the applicant's governing body?
- Is there coordination with other transit systems and other modes of transportation, including tribal entities?
- Is ongoing funding part of the plan?
- Has a formal process been established for public input?

2. Regional Need and Justification for the System:

- Does a regional transit/transportation plan exist that includes this service? If not, what is the basis for the need for this service?
- Does a regional need exist to serve the demand in this area?
- How strong is the need to continue/begin this system?

3. Level of marketing of the Transit System - Public Served (ridership):

- Does the transit system have a marketing plan?
- Has it been implemented?
- Is there a clear direction to increase ridership?  
including paratransit?

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY  
REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS

**FISCAL YEAR 2014**  
FOR 49 U.S.C. §5311 APPLICATIONS ONLY

**Name of Applicant:** \_\_\_\_\_

1. Rural Public Transportation Planning and Regional Coordination:

HIGH

Medium High

MEDIUM

Medium Low

LOW

2. Regional Need and Justification for the System:

HIGH

Medium High

MEDIUM

Medium Low

LOW

3. Level of Marketing of the Transit System – Public Served (Ridership):

HIGH

Medium High

MEDIUM

Medium Low

LOW



**FY 14 SECTION 5311 APPLICATION  
RPO PRIORITIZATION**

<b>Program</b>	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>RPO</b>	<b>Prioritization</b>
5311	Ben Archer Health Center	SCRPO	HIGH
5311	City of Carlsbad (Carlsbad Municipal Transit)	SERPO	HIGH
5311	City of Clovis (Clovis Area Transit System)	SERPO	HIGH
5311	Golden Spread Coalition Rural Frontier Coalition	NERPO	HIGH
5311	City of Hobbs (Hobbs Express Public Transportation)	SERPO	HIGH
5311	Pueblo of Laguna	NWRPO	HIGH
5311	City of Las Vegas (Meadow City Express)	NERPO	HIGH
5311	Los Alamos County (Atomic City Transit)	NPRPO	HIGH
5311	Village of Milan (Cibola Area Transit System)	NWRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5311	Navajo Nation (Navajo Transit System)	NWRPO	HIGH
5311	Na 'Nizhoozhi Center, Inc. (Gallup Express)	NWRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5311	NCRTD (North Central Regional Transit District)	NPRPO	HIGH
5311	City of Portales (Portales Area Transit)	SERPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5311	Town of Red River (Red River Miner's Transit)	NPRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5311	RMRTD (Rio Metro Regional Transit District)	MRRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5311	City of Roswell (Pecos Trails Transit)	SERPO	MEDIUM
5311	City of Ruidoso Downs (Lincoln County Transit)	SERPO	HIGH
5311	City of Socorro (Socorro Public Transportation)	SCRPO	HIGH
5311	SWRTD (Southwest Regional Transit District)	SWRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5311	Town of Taos (Chile Line)	NPRPO	HIGH
5311	Zia Therapy Center, Inc. (Z-Trans)	SERPO	HIGH
5316	City of Carlsbad (Carlsbad Municipal Transit)	SERPO	HIGH
5316	Los Alamos County (Atomic City Transit)	NPRPO	HIGH
5316	NCRTD (North Central Regional Transit District)	NPRPO	HIGH
5316	RMRTD (Rio Metro Regional Transit District)	MRRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5316	SWRTD (Southwest Regional Transit District)	SWRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5316	Zia Therapy Center, Inc. (Z-Trans)	SERPO	HIGH
5317	City of Carlsbad (Carlsbad Municipal Transit)	SERPO	HIGH
5317	Los Alamos County (Atomic City Transit)	NPRPO	HIGH
5317	SWRTD (Southwest Regional Transit District)	SWRPO	MEDIUM HIGH
5317	RMRTD (Rio Metro Regional Transit District)	MRRPO	HIGH

## SECTION 5311 FUNDING DISTRIBUTION INDEX

### Individual Performance Indicators:

1. FFY 2012 §5311 Ridership
2. Total Administration/Operations (A/O) Ratio Based on FFY 13 Award
3. Cost Per Passenger Trip Based on FFY 2012 Ridership and FFY 2012 Expended Total A/O Budget
4. FFY 2012 Total A/O Cost Per Vehicle Mile
5. FFY 2014 Regional Planning Organization Prioritization
6. Percent FFY 2012 A/O Federal Award Expended
7. Percent of Total State §5311 Ridership FFY 2012: Percent of State Total FFY 2012 Federal §5311 A/O Award Ratio
  - In early March 2013, Rural Transit Providers were sent their individual data to review and provide comments.
  - After validating the data, transit providers were ranked in each performance indicator and the ranks were added up to create a composite §5311 Transit Funding Index Rank.
  - The §5311 Transit Funding Index Rank will then place the transit providers into groups that reflect their performance.
  - Funding decisions were based on available funding, and an applicant's Transit Funding Index Rank.

- Apart from the final §5311 Transit Funding Index Rank, all providers were targeted at a maximum Administration/Operations ratio (A:O ratio) based on the size of their respective FY 2012 vehicle fleets **regardless of funding source for the vehicles.**
  - ❖ Five transit vehicles or less: Maximum A:O ratio of 0.80
  - ❖ Six to 10 transit vehicles: Maximum A:O ratio of 0.75
  - ❖ 11 to 20 transit vehicles: Maximum A:O ratio of 0.70
  - ❖ 21 to 30 transit vehicles: Maximum A:O ratio of 0.65
  - ❖ 31 transit vehicles or more: Maximum A:O ratio of 0.60
  
- All providers receiving a total federal administration and operating award over \$500,000, but less than \$1,000,000 will be limited to a maximum A:O ratio of 0.6. Providers receiving a total federal administration and operating award of \$1,000,000 or more will be limited to a maximum A:O ratio of 0.5.
  
- In order to conserve federal transit grant funding, beginning in FY 2014 rural transit agencies will no longer be able to utilize Capital Cost of Contracting as a funding mechanism through NMDOT's Transit and Rail Division.
  
- Subgrantees with incomplete data for the Transit Funding Index Rank will be held at a flat budget and a vehicle fleet size appropriate A:O ratio (i.e., the program started in FFY 2013).

# 1) FY 12 RIDERSHIP

Subgrantee	Ridership	Subgrantee	Rank (1 = Highest)
Adelante	492	Adelante	n/a
Ben Archer	n/a	Ben Archer	n/a
Carlsbad, City of	44,599	Carlsbad, City of	11
Clovis, City of	64,126	Clovis, City of	8
Golden Spread	7,458	Golden Spread	20
Hobbs, City of	37,104	Hobbs, City of	12
Pueblo of Laguna	8,031	Pueblo of Laguna	19
Las Vegas, City of	18,212	Las Vegas, City of	18
Los Alamos County	425,707	Los Alamos County	1
Milan, Village of	31,768	Milan, Village of	14
Navajo Nation	70,485	Navajo Nation	7
NCI	50,091	NCI	10
NCRTD	187,757	NCRTD	3
Ohkay Owingeh	13,289	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a
Portales, City of	19,847	Portales, City of	17
Red River, Town of	32,550	Red River, Town of	13
Rio Metro RTD	113,575	Rio Metro RTD	5
Roswell, City of	210,517	Roswell, City of	2
Ruidoso Downs	24,872	Ruidoso Downs	15
Socorro, City of	20,702	Socorro, City of	16
SWRTD	114,016	SWRTD	4
Taos, Town of	61,593	Taos, Town of	9
Zia Therapy	104,088	Zia Therapy	6
Zuni EE	32,231	Zuni EE	n/a
<b>FY 12 TOTAL</b>	<b>1,693,110</b>	<b>% CHANGE FROM FY 11</b>	
<b>FY 11 TOTAL</b>	<b>1,499,539</b>	<b>+ 12.9%</b>	

## 2) ADMINISTRATIVE/OPERATING (A/O) RATIO BASED ON FY 13 AWARD

Subgrantee	Administrative (Federal & Local)	Operating (Federal & Local)	Ratio – A to O	Subgrantee	Rank
Adelante	n/a	n/a	n/a	Adelante	n/a
Ben Archer	\$33,075.00	\$191,925.00	0.17	Ben Archer	n/a
Carlsbad, City of	\$166,903.00	\$284,414.00	0.59	Carlsbad, City of	16
Clovis, City of	\$296,431.00	\$485,400.00	0.61	Clovis, City of	17
Golden Spread	\$60,614.00	\$105,742.00	0.57	Golden Spread	14
Hobbs, City of	\$74,026.00	\$404,611.00	0.18	Hobbs, City of	3
Pueblo of Laguna	\$28,356.00	\$138,861.00	0.20	Pueblo of Laguna	4
Las Vegas, City of	\$86,041.00	\$148,410.00	0.58	Las Vegas, City of	15
Los Alamos County	\$166,674.00	\$1,152,691.00	0.14	Los Alamos County	1
Milan, Village of	\$93,050.00	\$124,827.00	0.75	Milan, Village of	19
Navajo Nation	\$123,938.00	\$512,436.00	0.24	Navajo Nation	6
NCI	\$138,236.00	\$444,113.00	0.31	NCI	7
NCRTD	\$602,550.00	\$1,210,000.00	0.50	NCRTD	12
Ohkay Owingeh	\$37,212.00	\$103,348.00	0.36	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a
Portales, City of	\$48,390.00	\$93,548.00	0.52	Portales, City of	13
Red River, Town of	\$58,760.00	\$92,150.00	0.64	Red River, Town of	18
Rio Metro RTD	\$221,486.00	\$1,394,928.00	0.16	Rio Metro RTD	2
Roswell, City of	\$222,156.00	\$984,200.00	0.23	Roswell, City of	5
Ruidoso Downs	\$99,739.00	\$133,349.00	0.75	Ruidoso Downs	20
Socorro, City of	\$42,032.00	\$124,667.00	0.34	Socorro, City of	8
SWRTD	\$264,820.00	\$668,640.00	0.40	SWRTD	11
Taos, Town of	\$146,972.00	\$432,818.00	0.34	Taos, Town of	9
Zia Therapy	\$207,603.00	\$576,052.00	0.36	Zia Therapy	10
Zuni (ZEE)	n/a	n/a	n/a	Zuni (ZEE)	n/a
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,219,064.00</b>	<b>\$9,807,130.00</b>	<b>0.33</b>		
		<b>STATE AVERAGE FY 13</b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>% CHANGE FROM FY 12</b>	
		<b>STATE AVERAGE FY 12</b>	<b>0.39</b>	<b>-15.8%</b>	

### 3) COST PER PASSENGER TRIP BASED ON FY 12 RIDERSHIP AND FY 12 EXPENDED TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING BUDGET

Subgrantee	Ridership	A + O Expended (Federal & Local)	Cost Per Trip	Subgrantee	Rank (lowest cost = best = 1)
Adelante	492	\$26,476.72	\$53.81	Adelante	n/a
Ben Archer	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ben Archer	n/a
Carlsbad, City of	44,599	\$435,433.78	\$9.76	Carlsbad, City of	14
Clovis, City of	64,126	\$737,977.53	\$11.51	Clovis, City of	16
Golden Spread	7,458	\$166,151.45	\$22.28	Golden Spread	20
Hobbs, City of	37,104	\$473,387.95	\$12.76	Hobbs, City of	18
Pueblo of Laguna	8,031	\$123,944.75	\$15.43	Pueblo of Laguna	19
Las Vegas, City of	18,212	\$185,130.29	\$10.17	Las Vegas, City of	15
Los Alamos County	425,707	\$1,319,115.20	\$3.10	Los Alamos County	1
Milan, Village of	31,768	\$210,107.69	\$6.61	Milan, Village of	6
Navajo Nation	70,485	\$582,965.17	\$8.27	Navajo Nation	11
NCI	50,091	\$233,867.04	\$4.67	NCI	3
NCRTD	187,857	\$1,720,026.53	\$9.16	NCRTD	13
Ohkay Owingeh	13,289	\$135,759.95	\$10.22	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a
Portales, City of	19,847	\$136,225.95	\$6.86	Portales, City of	7
Red River, Town of	32,550	\$139,010.26	\$4.27	Red River, Town of	2
Rio Metro RTD	113,575	\$1,301,749.48	\$11.46	Rio Metro RTD	17
Roswell, City of	210,517	\$1,089,846.58	\$5.18	Roswell, City of	4
Ruidoso Downs	24,872	\$226,332.84	\$9.10	Ruidoso Downs	12
Socorro, City of	20,702	\$158,797.11	\$7.67	Socorro, City of	8
SWRTD	114,016	\$925,678.04	\$8.12	SWRTD	10
Taos, Town of	61,593	\$481,861.48	\$7.82	Taos, Town of	9
Zia Therapy	104,088	\$651,275.52	\$6.26	Zia Therapy	5
Zuni (ZEE)	32,231	\$194,525.97	\$6.04	Zuni (ZEE)	n/a
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,693,210</b>	<b>\$11,655,647.28</b>	<b>\$6.88</b>		
		<b>FY 12 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>\$6.88</b>	<b>% CHANGE FROM FY 11</b>	
		<b>FY 11 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>\$7.40</b>	<b>-7.0%</b>	

#### 4) FY 12 SECTION 5311 TOTAL A/O COST PER VEHICLE MILE

Subgrantee	A + O Expended (Federal +Local)	Vehicle Miles	Cost Per Vehicle Miles	Subgrantee	Rank (lowest cost = best = 1)
Adelante	\$26,476.72	18,007	\$1.47	Adelante	n/a
Ben Archer	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ben Archer	n/a
Carlsbad, City of	\$435,433.78	227,833	\$1.91	Carlsbad, City of	7
Clovis, City of	\$737,977.53	204,764	\$3.60	Clovis, City of	17
Golden Spread	\$166,151.45	34,396	\$4.83	Golden Spread	19
Hobbs, City of	\$473,387.95	151,963	\$3.12	Hobbs, City of	16
Pueblo of Laguna	\$123,944.75	93,314	\$1.33	Pueblo of Laguna	2
Las Vegas, City of	\$185,130.29	78,538	\$2.36	Las Vegas, City of	12
Los Alamos County	\$1,319,115.20	434,279	\$3.04	Los Alamos County	15
Milan, Village of	\$210,107.69	48,546	\$4.33	Milan, Village of	18
Navajo Nation	\$582,965.17	328,377	\$1.78	Navajo Nation	4
NCI	\$233,867.04	111,463	\$2.10	NCI	9
NCRTD	\$1,720,026.53	940,457	\$1.83	NCRTD	5
Ohkay Owingeh	\$135,759.95	98,686	\$1.38	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a
Portales, City of	\$136,225.95	59,901	\$2.27	Portales, City of	11
Red River, Town of	\$139,010.26	26,956	\$5.16	Red River, Town of	20
Rio Metro RTD	\$1,301,749.48	584,220	\$2.23	Rio Metro RTD	10
Roswell, City of	\$1,089,846.58	417,006	\$2.61	Roswell, City of	13
Ruidoso Downs	\$226,332.84	155,453	\$1.46	Ruidoso Downs	3
Socorro, City of	\$158,797.11	121,153	\$1.31	Socorro, City of	1
SWRTD	\$925,678.04	488,124	\$1.90	SWRTD	6
Taos, Town of	\$481,861.48	183,759	\$2.62	Taos, Town of	14
Zia Therapy	\$651,275.52	316,432	\$2.06	Zia Therapy	8
Zuni (ZEE)	\$194,525.97	184,363	\$1.06	Zuni (ZEE)	n/a
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$11,655,647.28</b>	<b>5,307,990</b>	<b>\$2.20</b>		
		<b>FY 12 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>\$2.20</b>	<b>% CHANGE FROM FY 11</b>	
		<b>FY 11 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>\$2.16</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	

## 5) RPO PRIORITIZATION OF FY 14 APPLICATIONS

APPLICANT	PRIORITIZATION	SCORE
Ben Archer	HIGH	1
Carlsbad, City of	HIGH	1
Clovis, City of	HIGH	1
Golden Spread	HIGH	1
Hobbs, City of	HIGH	1
Pueblo of Laguna	HIGH	1
Las Vegas, City of	HIGH	1
Los Alamos County	HIGH	1
Milan, Village of	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Navajo Nation	HIGH	1
NCI	MEDIUM HIGH	2
NCRTD	HIGH	1
Portales, City of	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Red River, Town of	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Rio Metro RTD	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Roswell, City of	MEDIUM	3
Ruidoso Downs	HIGH	1
Socorro, City of	HIGH	1
SWRTD	MEDIUM HIGH	2
Taos, Town of	HIGH	1
Zia Therapy	HIGH	1

Pts.	Rank
1	H=High
2	MH=Medium High
3	M=Medium
4	ML= Medium Low
5	L=Low



## 6) PERCENT FY 12 ADMINISTRATIVE/OPERATING BUDGET EXPENDED

Subgrantee	Total A + O Budget (Federal + Local)	A + O Expended (Federal + Local)	Percentage Spent	Subgrantee	Rank (Highest Percentage = best = 1)
Adelante	\$28,370.64	\$26,476.72	93.32%	Adelante	n/a
Ben Archer	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ben Archer	n/a
Carlsbad, City of	\$451,317.20	\$435,433.78	96.48%	Carlsbad, City of	8
Clovis, City of	\$781,831.01	\$737,977.53	94.39%	Clovis, City of	11
Golden Spread	\$166,355.70	\$166,151.45	99.88%	Golden Spread	3
Hobbs, City of	\$478,637.33	\$473,387.95	98.90%	Hobbs, City of	5
Pueblo of Laguna	\$167,217.30	\$123,944.75	74.12%	Pueblo of Laguna	19
Las Vegas, City of	\$234,451.08	\$185,130.29	78.96%	Las Vegas, City of	18
Los Alamos County	\$1,319,364.46	\$1,319,115.20	99.98%	Los Alamos County	1
Milan, Village of	\$216,087.00	\$210,107.69	97.23%	Milan, Village of	6
Navajo Nation	\$636,374.37	\$582,965.17	91.61%	Navajo Nation	13
NCI	\$327,219.00	\$233,867.04	71.47%	NCI	20
NCRTD	\$1,720,382.40	\$1,720,026.53	99.98%	NCRTD	1
Ohkay Owingeh	\$140,561.50	\$135,759.95	96.58%	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a
Portales, City of	\$141,938.00	\$136,225.95	95.98%	Portales, City of	9
Red River, Town of	\$150,910.00	\$139,010.26	92.11%	Red River, Town of	12
Rio Metro RTD	\$1,422,922.99	\$1,301,749.48	91.48%	Rio Metro RTD	14
Roswell, City of	\$1,206,356.00	\$1,089,846.58	90.34%	Roswell, City of	15
Ruidoso Downs	\$233,087.55	\$226,332.84	97.10%	Ruidoso Downs	7
Socorro, City of	\$166,699.47	\$158,797.11	95.26%	Socorro, City of	10
SWRTD	\$933,459.80	\$925,678.04	99.17%	SWRTD	4
Taos, Town of	\$579,790.08	\$481,861.48	83.11%	Taos, Town of	16
Zia Therapy	\$783,654.84	\$651,275.52	83.11%	Zia Therapy	17
Zuni (ZEE)	\$252,630.00	\$194,525.97	77.00%	Zuni (ZEE)	n/a
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$12,539,617.72</b>	<b>\$11,655,647.28</b>	<b>92.95%</b>		
		<b>FY 12 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>92.95%</b>	<b>% CHANGE FROM FY 11</b>	
		<b>FY 11 STATE AVERAGE</b>	<b>89.50%</b>	<b>3.45%</b>	

## 7) PERCENT OF TOTAL STATE SECTION 5311 RIDERSHIP FY 12, PERCENT OF TOTAL STATE SECTION 5311 ADMINISTRATIVE/OPERATING FY 12 AWARD RATIO

Subgrantee	Ridership	Percentage of State Total Ridership	Subgrantee	Total A+O Budget	A / O Percentage of State Total	Percentage Ridership: Percentage Total Federal Award Ratio	Subgrantee	Rank (Biggest Ratio = Best = 1)
Adelante	492	0.0%	Adelante	\$26,476.72	0.2%	0.13	Adelante	n/a
Ben Archer	n/a	n/a	Ben Archer	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ben Archer	n/a
Carlsbad, City of	44,599	2.6%	Carlsbad, City of	\$435,433.78	3.7%	0.70	Carlsbad, City of	14
Clovis, City of	64,126	3.8%	Clovis, City of	\$737,977.53	6.3%	0.60	Clovis, City of	16
Golden Spread	7,458	0.4%	Golden Spread	\$166,151.45	1.4%	0.31	Golden Spread	20
Hobbs, City of	37,104	2.2%	Hobbs, City of	\$473,387.95	4.1%	0.54	Hobbs, City of	18
Pueblo of Laguna	8,031	0.5%	Pueblo of Laguna	\$123,944.75	1.1%	0.45	Pueblo of Laguna	19
Las Vegas, City of	18,212	1.1%	Las Vegas, City of	\$185,130.29	1.6%	0.68	Las Vegas, City of	15
Los Alamos	426,707	25.2%	Los Alamos	\$1,319,115.20	11.3%	2.23	Los Alamos	1
Milan Transit	31,768	1.9%	Milan Transit	\$210,107.69	1.8%	1.04	Milan Transit	6
Navajo Nation	70,485	4.2%	Navajo Nation	\$582,965.17	5.0%	0.83	Navajo Nation	11
NCI	50,091	3.0%	NCI	\$233,867.04	2.0%	1.47	NCI	3
NCRTD	187,857	11.1%	NCRTD	\$1,720,026.53	14.8%	0.75	NCRTD	13
Ohkay Owingeh	13,289	0.8%	Ohkay Owingeh	\$135,759.95	1.2%	0.67	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a
Portales, City of	19,847	1.2%	Portales, City of	\$136,225.95	1.2%	1.00	Portales, City of	7
Red River, Town of	32,550	1.9%	Red River, Town of	\$139,010.26	1.2%	1.61	Red River, Town of	2
Rio Metro RTD	113,575	6.7%	Rio Metro RTD	\$1,301,749.48	11.2%	0.60	Rio Metro RTD	17
Roswell, City of	210,517	12.4%	Roswell, City of	\$1,089,846.58	9.4%	1.33	Roswell, City of	4
Ruidoso Downs	24,872	1.5%	Ruidoso Downs	\$226,332.84	1.9%	0.76	Ruidoso Downs	12
Socorro, City of	20,702	1.2%	Socorro, City of	\$158,797.11	1.4%	0.90	Socorro, City of	8
SWRTD	114,016	6.7%	SWRTD	\$925,678.04	7.9%	0.85	SWRTD	10
Taos, Town of	61,593	3.6%	Taos, Town of	\$481,861.48	4.1%	0.88	Taos, Town of	9
Zia Therapy	104,088	6.1%	Zia Therapy	\$651,275.52	5.6%	1.10	Zia Therapy	5
Zuni (ZEE)	32,231	1.9%	Zuni (ZEE)	\$194,525.97	1.7%	1.14	Zuni (ZEE)	n/a
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,694,210</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$11,655,647.28</b>	<b>100.0%</b>			

## SECTION 5311 FUNDING DISTRIBUTION INDEX - FY 14

AGENCY	FY 12 Ridership	Admin - Op. (A/O) Ratio Based on FY13 Award	Cost Per Passenger Trip Based on FY12 Ridership and FY12 Expended Total A/O Budget	FY 12 Total A/O Cost per Vehicle Mile	FY 14 RPO Prioritization	Percent FY 12 A/O Federal Award Expended	% of Total State Section 5311 Ridership FY12: % of State Total FY12 Federal Section 5311 A/O Award Ratio	Total Points	Average Rank	NAMES Based on Alphabetical Order	RANK (lowest # = best =1) (some have same rank)	SUBGRANTEES Based on Rank – Highest Listed First	Average Rank	RANK
Ben Archer	n/a	3	n/a	n/a	1	n/a	n/a	4	0.6	Ben Archer	n/a	Los Alamos County	3.0	1
Carlsbad, City of	11	16	14	7	1	8	14	71	10.1	Carlsbad, City of	14	Roswell, City of	6.6	2
Clovis, City of	8	17	16	17	1	11	16	86	12.3	Clovis, City of	18	SWRTD	6.7	3
Golden Spread	20	14	20	19	1	3	20	97	13.9	Golden Spread	20	NCRTD	6.9	4
Hobbs, City of	12	3	18	16	1	5	18	73	10.4	Hobbs, City of	16	Socorro, City of	7.4	5
Pueblo of Laguna	19	4	19	2	1	19	19	83	11.9	Pueblo of Laguna	17	Zia Therapy	7.4	5
Las Vegas, City of	18	15	15	12	1	18	15	94	13.4	Las Vegas, City of	19	Navajo Nation	7.6	7
Los Alamos County	1	1	1	15	1	1	1	21	3.0	Los Alamos County	1	NCI	7.7	8
Milan, Village of	14	19	6	18	2	6	6	71	10.1	Milan, Village of	14	Portales, City of	9.4	9
Navajo Nation	7	6	11	4	1	13	11	53	7.6	Navajo Nation	7	Rio Metro RTD	9.6	10
NCI	10	7	3	9	2	20	3	54	7.7	NCI	8	Taos, Town of	9.6	10
NCRTD	3	12	13	5	1	1	13	48	6.9	NCRTD	4	Red River, Town of	9.9	12
Portales, City of	17	13	7	11	2	9	7	66	9.4	Portales, City of	9	Ruidoso Downs	10.0	13
Red River, Town of	13	18	2	20	2	12	2	69	9.9	Red River, Town of	12	Carlsbad, City of	10.1	14
Rio Metro RTD	5	2	17	10	2	14	17	67	9.6	Rio Metro RTD	10	Milan, Village of	10.1	14
Roswell, City of	2	5	4	13	3	15	4	46	6.6	Roswell, City of	2	Hobbs, City of	10.4	16
Ruidoso Downs	15	20	12	3	1	7	12	70	10.0	Ruidoso Downs	13	Pueblo of Laguna	11.9	17
Socorro, City of	16	8	8	1	1	10	8	52	7.4	Socorro, City of	5	Clovis, City of	12.3	18
SWRTD	4	11	10	6	2	4	10	47	6.7	SWRTD	3	Las Vegas, City of	13.4	19
Taos, Town of	9	9	9	14	1	16	9	67	9.6	Taos, Town of	10	Golden Spread	13.9	20
Zia Therapy	6	10	5	8	1	17	5	52	7.4	Zia Therapy	5	Ben Archer	0.6	n/a

## SECTION 5311 FUNDING DISTRIBUTION INDEX – FY 14

FY 14 SECTION 5311	Total Points	Average Rank	SUBGRANTEES Based on Alphabetical Order	RANK (lowest # = best =1) (some have same rank)	SUBGRANTEES Based on Rank	RANK (lowest # = best =1) (some have same rank)	Average Rank	Federal Admin Fund Increase	Federal Operating Fund Increase
Ben Archer	4	0.6	Ben Archer	n/a	Los Alamos County	1	3.0	6%	12%
Carlsbad, City of	71	10.1	Carlsbad, City of	14	Roswell, City of	2	6.6	4%	8%
Clovis, City of	86	12.3	Clovis, City of	18	SWRTD	3	6.7	4%	8%
Golden Spread	97	13.9	Golden Spread	20	NCRTD	4	6.9	4%	8%
Hobbs, City of	73	10.4	Hobbs, City of	16	Socorro, City of	5	7.4	4%	8%
Pueblo of Laguna	83	11.9	Las Vegas, City of	17	Zia Therapy	5	7.4	4%	8%
Las Vegas, City of	94	13.4	Los Alamos County	19	Navajo Nation	7	7.6	4%	8%
Los Alamos County	21	3.0	Milan, Village of	1	NCI	8	7.7	4%	8%
Milan, Village of	71	10.1	Navajo Nation	14	Portales, City of	9	9.4	2.5%	5%
Navajo Nation	53	7.6	NCI	7	Rio Metro RTD	10	9.6	2.5%	5%
NCI	54	7.7	NCRTD	8	Taos, Town of	10	9.6	2.5%	5%
NCRTD	48	6.9	Portales, City of	4	Red River, Town of	12	9.9	2.5%	5%
Portales, City of	66	9.4	Pueblo of Laguna	9	Ruidoso Downs	13	10.0	2.5%	5%
Red River, Town of	69	9.9	Red River, Town of	12	Carlsbad, City of	14	10.1	2.5%	5%
Rio Metro RTD	67	9.6	Rio Metro RTD	10	Milan, Village of	14	10.1	2.5%	5%
Roswell, City of	46	6.6	Roswell, City of	2	Hobbs, City of	16	10.4	2.5%	5%
Ruidoso Downs	70	10.0	Ruidoso Downs	13	Pueblo of Laguna	17	11.9	2%	4%
Socorro, City of	52	7.4	Socorro, City of	5	Clovis, City of	18	12.3	2%	4%
SWRTD	47	6.7	SWRTD	3	Las Vegas, City of	19	13.4	2%	4%
Taos, Town of	67	9.6	Taos, Town of	10	Golden Spread	20	13.9	2%	4%
Zia Therapy	52	7.4	Zia Therapy	5	Ben Archer	n/a	0.6	2%	4%

**SECTION 5311 ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING  
BUDGETS RECOMMENDED AWARD FY 14**

Subgrantees	Administration (no D+A, for MOA)	Federal Share Administration	Local Share Administration	Net Operating	Federal Share Operating	Local Share Operating	Total Recommended	Total Federal	Total Local	RATIO - A to O
Ben Archer	\$38,575.00	\$30,860.00	\$7,715.00	\$219,424.00	\$109,712.00	\$109,712.00	\$252,543.30	\$140,572.00	\$117,427.00	0.15
Carlsbad, City of	\$171,075.06	\$136,860.05	\$34,215.01	\$298,634.70	\$149,317.35	\$149,317.35	\$469,542.96	\$286,177.40	\$183,532.36	0.57
Clovis, City of	\$302,359.62	\$241,887.70	\$60,471.92	\$504,816.00	\$252,408.00	\$252,408.00	\$807,175.62	\$494,295.70	\$312,879.92	0.60
Golden Spread	\$79,472.18	\$63,577.74	\$15,894.44	\$124,947.68	\$62,473.84	\$62,473.84	\$200,890.68	\$126,051.58	\$78,368.28	0.61
Hobbs, City of	\$75,876.78	\$60,701.42	\$15,175.36	\$424,840.50	\$212,420.25	\$212,420.25	\$500,643.23	\$273,121.67	\$227,595.61	0.18
Pueblo of Laguna	\$28,922.10	\$23,137.68	\$5,784.42	\$144,414.40	\$72,207.20	\$72,207.20	\$173,336.70	\$95,344.88	\$77,991.62	0.20
Las Vegas, City of	\$87,760.80	\$70,208.64	\$17,552.16	\$154,346.40	\$77,173.20	\$77,173.20	\$242,107.40	\$147,381.84	\$94,725.36	0.57
Los Alamos County	\$176,674.18	\$141,339.34	\$35,334.84	\$1,291,012.80	\$645,506.40	\$645,506.40	\$1,466,353.64	\$786,845.74	\$680,841.24	0.14
Milan, Village of	\$96,196.25	\$76,957.00	\$19,239.25	\$165,718.36	\$82,859.18	\$82,859.18	\$261,657.56	\$159,816.18	\$102,098.43	0.58
Navajo Nation	\$128,895.00	\$103,116.00	\$25,779.00	\$553,430.88	\$276,715.44	\$276,715.44	\$681,830.23	\$379,831.44	\$302,494.44	0.23
NCI	\$143,764.40	\$115,011.52	\$28,752.88	\$479,640.96	\$239,820.48	\$239,820.48	\$622,852.62	\$354,832.00	\$268,573.36	0.30
NCRID	\$626,652.00	\$501,321.60	\$125,330.40	\$1,306,800.00	\$653,400.00	\$653,400.00	\$1,931,041.80	\$1,154,721.60	\$778,730.40	0.48
Portales, City of	\$49,599.75	\$39,679.80	\$9,919.95	\$98,225.40	\$49,112.70	\$49,112.70	\$147,776.76	\$88,792.50	\$59,032.65	0.50
Red River, Town of	\$60,229.00	\$48,183.20	\$12,045.80	\$96,757.50	\$48,378.75	\$48,378.75	\$156,927.74	\$96,561.95	\$60,424.55	0.62
Rio Metro RTD *	\$283,777.50	\$227,022.00	\$56,755.50	\$1,171,739.52	\$585,869.76	\$585,869.76	\$1,443,945.48	\$812,891.76	\$642,625.26	0.23
Roswell, City of	\$231,042.24	\$184,833.79	\$46,208.45	\$1,062,936.00	\$531,468.00	\$531,468.00	\$1,293,089.62	\$716,301.79	\$577,676.45	0.22
Ruidoso Downs	\$102,232.48	\$81,785.98	\$20,446.50	\$140,015.40	\$70,007.70	\$70,007.70	\$242,148.14	\$151,793.68	\$90,454.20	0.73
Socorro, City of	\$43,712.50	\$34,970.00	\$8,742.50	\$134,640.00	\$67,320.00	\$67,320.00	\$178,184.53	\$102,290.00	\$76,062.50	0.32
SWRTD	\$275,412.80	\$220,330.24	\$55,082.56	\$722,131.20	\$361,065.60	\$361,065.60	\$996,484.72	\$581,395.84	\$416,148.16	0.38
Taos, Town of	\$150,645.53	\$120,516.43	\$30,129.11	\$454,458.90	\$227,229.45	\$227,229.45	\$604,957.61	\$347,745.88	\$257,358.56	0.33
Zia Therapy	\$215,907.12	\$172,725.70	\$43,181.42	\$622,136.16	\$311,068.08	\$311,068.08	\$837,212.87	\$483,793.78	\$354,249.50	0.35
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,368,782.28</b>	<b>\$2,695,025.82</b>	<b>\$673,756.46</b>	<b>\$10,171,066.76</b>	<b>\$5,085,533.38</b>	<b>\$5,085,533.38</b>	<b>\$13,510,703.21</b>	<b>\$7,780,559.20</b>	<b>\$5,759,289.84</b>	<b>0.33</b>

\*FY 14 award is based on a 20 % reduction of their FY 13 Operating award due to Los Lunas receiving small urban Section 5307 funds. FY 14 recommendation then added the distribution index percentage increase on page 27

**SECTION 5311 ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING  
BUDGETS RECOMMENDED AWARD FY 14**

**RECOMMENDED - FY 14 SECTION 5311 OPERATING BUDGET FOR SECTION 5316 APPLICANTS**

Subgrantees	Total Net Operating	Federal Share Operating	Local Share Operating	Total Recommended	Total Federal	Total Local
Carlsbad, City of	\$84,542.00	\$42,271.00	\$42,271.00	\$84,542.00	\$42,271.00	\$42,271.00
Los Alamos County	\$550,000.00	\$275,000.00	\$275,000.00	\$550,000.00	\$275,000.00	\$275,000.00
NCRTD	\$464,692.00	\$232,346.00	\$232,346.00	\$464,692.00	\$232,346.00	\$232,346.00
Milan, Village of	Withdraw Application					
Rio Metro RTD	\$453,000.00	\$226,500.00	\$226,500.00	\$453,000.00	\$226,500.00	\$226,500.00
SWRTD	\$117,300.00	\$58,650.00	\$58,650.00	\$117,300.00	\$58,650.00	\$58,650.00
Zia Therapy	\$40,260.00	\$20,130.00	\$20,130.00	\$40,260.00	\$20,130.00	\$20,130.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,709,794.00</b>	<b>\$854,897.00</b>	<b>\$854,897.00</b>	<b>\$1,709,794.00</b>	<b>\$854,897.00</b>	<b>\$854,897.00</b>

**RECOMMENDED - FY 14 SECTION 5311 OPERATING BUDGET FOR SECTION 5317 APPLICANTS**

Subgrantee	Total Net Operating	Federal Share Operating	Local Share Operating	Total Recommended	Total Federal	Total Local
Carlsbad, City of	\$37,376.00	\$18,688.00	\$18,688.00	\$37,376.00	\$18,688.00	\$18,688.00
Hobbs, City of	\$143,000.00	\$71,500.00	\$71,500.00	\$143,000.00	\$71,500.00	\$71,500.00
Los Alamos County	\$582,128.00	\$291,064.00	\$291,064.00	\$582,128.00	\$291,064.00	\$291,064.00
Rio Metro RTD *	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$762,504.00</b>	<b>\$381,252.00</b>	<b>\$381,252.00</b>	<b>\$762,504.00</b>	<b>\$381,252.00</b>	<b>\$381,252.00</b>

\* Did not receive Section 5317 funds - Recommendation was based on FY 13 award. Applicant did not apply in FY 2013.

**TOTAL RECOMMENDED FY 14 SECTION 5311 ADMINISTRATION AND OPERATING**

Subgrantees	Total Admin (w/ D+A)	Federal Share Admin	Local Share Admin	Total Net Operating	Federal Share Operating	Local Share Operating	Total Recommended	Total Federal	Total Local
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,234,030.99</b>	<b>\$2,695,025.82</b>	<b>\$673,756.46</b>	<b>\$12,643,364.76</b>	<b>\$6,321,682.38</b>	<b>\$6,321,682.38</b>	<b>\$15,877,395.75</b>	<b>\$9,016,708.20</b>	<b>\$6,995,438.84</b>

**TOTAL RECOMMENDED FY 14 SECTION 5311 ADMINISTRATION AND OPERATING FOR MULTIPLE APPLICANTS**

Subgrantees	Total Admin (w/ D+A)	Federal Share Admin	Local Share Admin	Total Net Operating	Federal Share Operating	Local Share Operating	Total Recommended	Total Federal	Total Local
Carlsbad, City of	\$171,075.06	\$136,860.05	\$34,215.01	\$420,552.70	\$210,276.35	\$210,276.35	\$591,460.96	\$347,136.40	\$244,491.36
Hobbs, City of	\$75,876.78	\$60,701.42	\$15,175.36	\$567,840.50	\$283,920.25	\$283,920.25	\$643,643.23	\$344,621.67	\$299,095.61
Los Alamos County	\$176,674.18	\$141,339.34	\$35,334.84	\$2,423,140.80	\$1,211,570.40	\$1,211,570.40	\$2,598,481.64	\$1,352,909.74	\$1,246,905.24
NCRTD	\$626,652.00	\$501,321.60	\$125,330.40	\$1,771,492.00	\$885,746.00	\$885,746.00	\$2,395,733.80	\$1,387,067.60	\$1,011,076.40
Rio Metro RTD	\$283,777.50	\$227,022.00	\$56,755.50	\$1,624,739.52	\$812,369.76	\$812,369.76	\$1,896,945.48	\$1,039,391.76	\$869,125.26
SWRTD	\$275,412.80	\$220,330.24	\$55,082.56	\$839,431.20	\$419,715.60	\$419,715.60	\$1,113,784.72	\$640,045.84	\$474,798.16
Zia Therapy	\$215,907.12	\$172,725.70	\$43,181.42	\$662,396.16	\$331,198.08	\$331,198.08	\$877,472.87	\$503,923.78	\$374,379.50
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,825,375.44</b>	<b>\$1,460,300.35</b>	<b>\$365,075.09</b>	<b>\$8,309,592.88</b>	<b>\$4,154,796.44</b>	<b>\$4,154,796.44</b>	<b>\$10,117,522.69</b>	<b>\$5,615,096.79</b>	<b>\$4,519,871.53</b>

**TOTAL RECOMMENDED FY 14 SECTION 5311 ADMINISTRATION AND OPERATING (ALL GRANT PROGRAMS CONSOLIDATED)**

<b>Subgrantees</b>	<b>Total Admin (w/ D+A)</b>	<b>Federal Share Admin</b>	<b>Local Share Admin</b>	<b>Total Net Operating</b>	<b>Federal Share Operating</b>	<b>Local Share Operating</b>	<b>Total Recommended</b>	<b>Total Federal</b>	<b>Total Local</b>	<b>RATIO - A to O</b>
Ben Archer	\$38,575.00	\$30,860.00	\$7,715.00	\$219,424.00	\$109,712.00	\$109,712.00	\$257,999.00	\$140,572.00	\$117,427.00	0.18
Carlsbad, City of	\$171,075.06	\$136,860.05	\$34,215.01	\$420,552.70	\$210,276.35	\$210,276.35	\$591,627.76	\$347,136.40	\$244,491.36	0.41
Clovis, City of	\$302,359.62	\$241,887.70	\$60,471.92	\$504,816.00	\$252,408.00	\$252,408.00	\$807,175.62	\$494,295.70	\$312,879.92	0.60
Golden Spread	\$79,472.18	\$63,577.74	\$15,894.44	\$124,947.68	\$62,473.84	\$62,473.84	\$204,419.86	\$126,051.58	\$78,368.28	0.64
Hobbs, City of	\$75,876.78	\$60,701.42	\$15,175.36	\$567,840.50	\$283,920.25	\$283,920.25	\$643,717.28	\$344,621.67	\$299,095.61	0.13
Pueblo of Laguna	\$28,922.10	\$23,137.68	\$5,784.42	\$144,414.40	\$72,207.20	\$72,207.20	\$173,336.50	\$95,344.88	\$77,991.62	0.20
Las Vegas, City of	\$87,760.80	\$70,208.64	\$17,552.16	\$154,346.40	\$77,173.20	\$77,173.20	\$242,107.20	\$147,381.84	\$94,725.36	0.57
Los Alamos County	\$176,674.18	\$141,339.34	\$35,334.84	\$2,423,140.80	\$1,211,570.40	\$1,211,570.40	\$2,599,814.98	\$1,352,909.74	\$1,246,905.24	0.07
Milan, Village of	\$96,196.25	\$76,957.00	\$19,239.25	\$165,718.36	\$82,859.18	\$82,859.18	\$261,914.61	\$159,816.18	\$102,098.43	0.58
Navajo Nation	\$128,895.00	\$103,116.00	\$25,779.00	\$553,430.88	\$276,715.44	\$276,715.44	\$682,325.88	\$379,831.44	\$302,494.44	0.23
NCI	\$143,764.40	\$115,011.52	\$28,752.88	\$479,640.96	\$239,820.48	\$239,820.48	\$623,405.36	\$354,832.00	\$268,573.36	0.30
NCRTD	\$626,652.00	\$501,321.60	\$125,330.40	\$1,771,492.00	\$885,746.00	\$885,746.00	\$2,398,144.00	\$1,387,067.60	\$1,011,076.40	0.35
Portales, City of	\$49,599.75	\$39,679.80	\$9,919.95	\$98,225.40	\$49,112.70	\$49,112.70	\$147,825.15	\$88,792.50	\$59,032.65	0.50
Red River, Town of	\$60,229.00	\$48,183.20	\$12,045.80	\$96,757.50	\$48,378.75	\$48,378.75	\$156,986.50	\$96,561.95	\$60,424.55	0.62
Rio Metro RTD	\$283,777.50	\$227,022.00	\$56,755.50	\$1,624,739.52	\$812,369.76	\$812,369.76	\$1,908,517.02	\$1,039,391.76	\$869,125.26	0.17
Roswell, City of	\$231,042.24	\$184,833.79	\$46,208.45	\$1,062,936.00	\$531,468.00	\$531,468.00	\$1,293,978.24	\$716,301.79	\$577,676.45	0.22
Ruidoso Downs	\$102,232.48	\$81,785.98	\$20,446.50	\$140,015.40	\$70,007.70	\$70,007.70	\$242,247.88	\$151,793.68	\$90,454.20	0.73
Socorro, City of	\$43,712.50	\$34,970.00	\$8,742.50	\$134,640.00	\$67,320.00	\$67,320.00	\$178,352.50	\$102,290.00	\$76,062.50	0.32
SWRTD	\$275,412.80	\$220,330.24	\$55,082.56	\$839,431.20	\$419,715.60	\$419,715.60	\$1,114,844.00	\$640,045.84	\$474,798.16	0.33
Taos, Town of	\$150,645.54	\$120,516.43	\$30,129.11	\$454,458.90	\$227,229.45	\$227,229.45	\$605,104.44	\$347,745.88	\$257,358.56	0.33
Zia Therapy	\$215,907.12	\$172,725.70	\$43,181.42	\$662,396.16	\$331,198.08	\$331,198.08	\$878,303.28	\$503,923.78	\$374,379.50	0.33
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,368,782.29</b>	<b>\$2,695,025.82</b>	<b>\$673,756.47</b>	<b>\$12,643,364.76</b>	<b>\$6,321,682.38</b>	<b>\$6,321,682.38</b>	<b>\$16,012,147.05</b>	<b>\$9,016,708.20</b>	<b>\$6,995,438.85</b>	

**FY 14 SECTION 5311 CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDATION**

Subgrantee	Capital Total Cost	Federal Share at 80%	Local Share at 20%	Capital to Vendor at 80%	Capital to Subgrantee at 80%	Vehicles Total	Vehicle 80%	Capital Equipment Description
Ben Archer								No Capital Requested
Carlsbad, City of	\$ 26,358.00	\$ 21,086.40	\$ 5,271.60		\$ 21,086.40	NO VEHICLES REQUESTED		Bus Stop Benches \$2,600, Bus Schedule Holders \$3,958, (18) - Surveillance Systems for Buses @\$1,100 each total \$19,800
Clovis, City of	\$ 22,000.00	\$ 17,600.00	\$ 4,400.00		\$ 17,600.00	NO VEHICLES REQUESTED		Transit Facility Improvements \$22,000
Golden Spread	\$ 5,238.00	\$ 4,190.40	\$ 1,047.60		\$ 4,190.40	NO VEHICLES REQUESTED		Surveillance System \$5,238
Hobbs, City of	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	see 5317 award
Laguna Pueblo	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 2,400.00	\$ 600.00			NO VEHICLES REQUESTED		(2) - Office chairs @ \$140 total \$280, (1) - Microwave for transit office @ \$100,(4) - Benches at sites at \$400 each total \$1,600.00, (4) - signage for benches @\$200 each total \$800 (1) - office signage @ \$200 total \$200, and (1) - office shelf at \$20
Las Vegas, City of	\$ 70,036.00	\$ 56,028.80	\$ 14,007.20	\$ 56,028.00		\$ 70,036.00	\$ 56,028.80	(2) - 15 Passenger Van (W/Lift) @ \$70,036
Los Alamos County	\$ 295,000.00	\$ 236,000.00	\$ 59,000.00		\$ 236,000.00	\$ 295,000.00	\$ 236,000.00	(1) - Large fixed-route buses @ \$295,000
Milan, Village of								No Capital Requested
Navajo Nation	\$ 80,000.00	\$ 64,000.00	\$ 16,000.00		\$ 64,000.00	\$ 80,000.00	\$ 64,000.00	(1) - 15 Passenger Van (W/Lift) @ \$45,000, (1) - Mini Van (W/Ramp) @ \$35,000
NCI	\$ 14,000.00	\$ 11,200.00	\$ 2,800.00			NO VEHICLES REQUESTED		Benches/Signs \$7,000 and Bus Shelter \$7,000
North Central RTD	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 96,000.00	\$ 24,000.00		\$ 96,000.00	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 96,000.00	(1) - 32 Passenger @ \$120,000
Portales, City of	\$ 57,000.00	\$ 45,600.00	\$ 11,400.00	\$ 41,600.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 52,000.00	\$ 41,600.00	(1) - Paratransit Bus @ \$52,000, (1) - office desk @ \$1,000, (2) - file cabinets @ \$500 each total \$1,000, radios and (1) - base station @ \$3,000
Red River, Town of								No Capital Requested
Rio Metro RTD	\$ 176,000.00	\$ 140,800.00	\$ 35,200.00		\$ 140,800.00	\$ 176,000.00	\$ 140,800.00	(2) - Cutaway Vans (W/Lifts) @ \$88,000
Roswell, City of	\$ 186,000.00	\$ 148,800.00	\$ 37,200.00		\$ 148,800.00			(1) - Passenger Bus @ \$131,000, (1) - 15 Passenger Van (W/Lift) @ \$55,000
Ruidoso Downs	\$ 47,100.00	\$ 37,680.00	\$ 9,420.00	\$ 37,680.00	\$ -	\$ 37,680.00	\$ 30,144.00	(1) - 15 Passenger Van (W/Lift) @ \$47,100
Socorro, City of	\$ 98,000.00	\$ 78,400.00	\$ 19,600.00		\$ 78,400.00	\$ 98,000.00	\$ 78,400.00	(1) - 20 Passenger Bus @ \$95,000, and (1) - Surveillance System for new Bus @ \$3,000
South West RTD	\$ 101,554.83	\$ 81,243.86	\$ 20,310.97		\$ 81,243.86	\$ 100,954.83	\$ 80,763.86	(1) - Passenger Buses @ \$100,954.83 w/Radios & Base Station @ \$600
Taos, Town of	\$ 115,500.00	\$ 92,400.00	\$ 23,100.00		\$ 92,400.00	\$ 115,000.00	\$ 92,000.00	(1) - 28 Passenger Bus @ \$115,000 w/Radios & Base Stations \$500
Zia Therapy	\$ 130,500.00	\$ 104,400.00	\$ 26,100.00		\$ 104,400.00	\$ 130,000.00	\$ 104,000.00	(1) - 25 Passenger Bus \$130,000 and Radios \$500
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,547,286.83</b>	<b>\$ 1,237,829.46</b>	<b>\$ 309,457.37</b>	<b>\$ 135,308.00</b>	<b>\$ 1,089,920.66</b>	<b>\$ 1,274,670.83</b>	<b>\$ 1,019,736.66</b>	

**FY 14 SECTION 5317 CAPITAL RECOMMENDATION**

Subgrantees	Capital Total Cost	Federal Share at 80%	Local Share at 20%	Capital to Vendor at 80%	Capital to Subgrantee at 80%	Vehicles Total	Vehicle 80%	Capital Equipment Description
Los Alamos County	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	No Capital Recommended
City of Hobbs	\$ 128,000.00	\$ 102,400.00	\$ 25,600.00		\$ 102,400.00	\$ 128,000.00	\$ 102,400.00	(1) - Large Passenger Bus for new route to Lovington, NM \$128,000
Rio Metro RTD	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	No Capital Recommended
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 128,000.00</b>	<b>\$ 102,400.00</b>	<b>\$ 25,600.00</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 102,400.00</b>	<b>\$ 128,000.00</b>	<b>\$ 102,400.00</b>	

**FY 14 TOTAL CAPITAL RECOMMENDATION**

Subgrantees	Capital Total Cost	Federal Share at 80%	Local Share at 20%	Capital to Vendor at 80%	Capital to Subgrantee at 80%	Vehicles Total	Vehicle 80%	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,675,286.83</b>	<b>\$ 1,340,229.46</b>	<b>\$ 335,057.37</b>	<b>\$ 135,308.00</b>	<b>\$ 1,192,320.66</b>	<b>\$ 1,402,670.83</b>	<b>\$ 1,122,136.66</b>	



## SECTION 5311 FY 2014 RECOMMENDATION HISTORY

Subgrantee	City	Vehicle	Lift/Ramp	Other Capital	Purpose of the Vehicle	1999-2007	2008	2009	2009 ARRA	2010	2011	2012	State of Good Repair (1st Round)	State of Good Repair (2nd Round)	Total Previous and Current Awards
Ben Archer	Hatch	NO CAPITAL REQUEST													
Carlsbad, City of	Carlsbad	No Vehicle Request				12	1	1	5	0	1	0	1	0	21
Clovis, City of	Clovis	No Vehicle Request				6	1	1	2	0	1	0	4	0	15
Golden Spread	Clayton	No Vehicle Request				1	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	6
Hobbs, City of	Hobbs		Yes		Replacement	8	1	1	2	0	1	0	3	1	17
Laguna Pueblo	Laguna Pueblo	No Vehicle Request				7	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	12
Las Vegas, City of	Las Vegas		Yes		Replacement	7	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	10
Los Alamos County	Los Alamos		Yes		Replacement	8	1	1	3	0	4	0	5	3	25
Milan, Village of	Grant	NO CAPITAL REQUEST				2	1	1	0	0	0		2	0	6
Navajo Nation	Navajo Nation				Replacement	6	1	1	2	0	1	1	2	1	17
NCI	Gallup	No Vehicle Request				0		1	4	0	2		4	2	13
North Central RTD	District wide		Yes		Replacement	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	13	7	25
Portales, City of	Portales		Yes		Replacement	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	8
Red River, Town of	Red River	NO CAPITAL REQUEST				5	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	10
Rio Metro RTD	Albuquerque		Yes		Replacement	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	8	0	11
Roswell, City of	Roswell		Yes		Replacement	7	1	1	4	1	0	2	1	2	19
Ruidoso Downs	Ruidoso		Yes		Replacement	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	5
Socorro, City of	Socorro		Yes		Replacement & Increase fleet	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	7
South West RTD	Silver City		Yes		Replacement	8	1	1	2	1	1	1	3	1	21
Taos, Town of	Taos		Yes		Replacement	7		1	5	0	0	0	0	2	16
Zia Therapy	Alamogordo		Yes		Increase Fleet	7	1	1	2		1	1	0	0	15
<b>TOTAL</b>						<b>101</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>295</b>

## V. State of Good Repair – Remaining Balances

AGENCY	TOTAL	FEDERAL	LOCAL	EXPENDED (FED)	REMAINING BALANCE
HOBBS, CITY OF	\$64,000.00	\$51,200.00	\$12,800.00	\$0.00	\$51,200.00
LOS ALAMOS COUNTY	\$610,000.00	\$488,000.00	\$122,000.00	\$0.00	\$488,000.00
NAVAJO NATION	\$500,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$400,000.00
NCI	\$110,000.00	\$88,000.00	\$22,000.00	\$0.00	\$88,000.00
NCRTD	\$350,000.00	\$280,000.00	\$70,000.00	\$0.00	\$280,000.00
ROSWELL, CITY OF	\$190,000.00	\$152,000.00	\$38,000.00	\$0.00	\$152,000.00
RUIDOSO DOWNS	\$36,274.00	\$29,019.00	\$7,255.00	\$0.00	\$29,019.00
SOCORRO, CITY OF	\$39,300.00	\$31,440.00	\$7,860.00	\$0.00	\$31,440.00
SWRTD	\$55,500.00	\$44,400.00	\$11,100.00	\$0.00	\$44,400.00
TAOS, TOWN OF	\$230,000.00	\$184,000.00	\$46,000.00	\$0.00	\$184,000.00
<b>TOTAL – Round 2*</b>	<b>\$2,185,074.00</b>	<b>\$1,748,059.00</b>	<b>\$437,015.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$1,748,059.00</b>
<b>TOTAL – Round 1</b>	<b>\$5,000,000.00</b>	<b>\$4,000,000.00</b>	<b>\$1,000,000.00</b>	<b>\$3,904,781.75</b>	<b>\$95,218.25</b>
<b>TOTAL – Rounds 1 &amp; 2</b>	<b>\$7,185,074.00</b>	<b>\$5,748,059.00</b>	<b>\$1,437,015.00</b>	<b>\$3,904,781.75</b>	<b>\$1,843,277.25</b>
* Some funds may have already be encumbered and vehicles on order; however, no invoices have been processed					

VI. Finalizing FY 14 Budgets

- a. Memorandum of Agreements will be send out in early summer for signatures
- b. Start date: October 1, 2013
- c. End date: September 30, 2014
- d. Finalized budgets will be due back to NMDOT by September 30, 2013

VII. Other Issues

- a. FY 2015 Transit application process - Solicitation for Letters of Intent (§5310 and §5311) will go out in late May/early June 2013
- b. Private Non-profit procurement of vehicles
- c. Section 5311 site visit process
- d. Title VI
- e. NMSTARS.org – New Mexico State Transit Accounting and Ridership System (UPDATE)
- f. Rural National Transit Database
- g. Transit and Rail Division - Website: [http://dot.state.nm.us/content/nmdot/en/Transit\\_Rail.html](http://dot.state.nm.us/content/nmdot/en/Transit_Rail.html)
- h. Questions

# GENERAL APPLICATION AND PROGRAM YEAR TIMELINE

## SECTION 5310 and SECTION 5311

FFY13	FFY13	FFY13	FFY13	FFY13	FFY13	FFY13	FFY14	FFY14	FFY14	FFY14	FFY14	FFY14	FFY14	FFY14	FFY14	FFY14	FFY14	FFY14	
Mar 2013	Apr 2013	May 2013	Jun 2013	Jul 2013	Aug 2013	Sept 2013	Oct 2013	Nov 2013	Dec 2013	Jan 2014	Feb 2014	Mar 2014	Apr 2014	May 2014	Jun 2014	Jul 2014	Aug 2014	Sept 2014	
							CLOSE OUT OF GRANT												
NMDOT will Review & Recomm Budgets	NMDOT will conduct Statewide Budget Meeting	Subgrantee will receive Award Letters for FY 14				NMDOT will develop and send out MOAs													
							FY 2014 PROGRAM YEAR												
FY 15 - Open App. Process		Application Workshop at NMDOT				FY 15 Applications Due to NMDOT	RPO Meetings at RPO location	RPO Meetings at RPO location					NMDOT will Review & Recomm Budgets	NMDOT will conduct Statewide Budget Meeting	Subgrantee will receive Award Letters for FY 15		NMDOT will develop and send out MOAs		
		1. NMDOT will send out notice for Letters of Intent																	
		2. Subgrantees will advertise intent in local newspaper																	
		3. Subgrantee will prepare application																	